

TECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR HIGHWAYS

DRAFT TRH12: 1997

**FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT
REHABILITATION
INVESTIGATION
AND DESIGN**

1997

DRAFT TRH12, pp 1 - 202, Pretoria, South Africa, 1997

Published by

Department of Transport

P O Box 415

PRETORIA

0001

Republic of South Africa

For the

Committee of Land Transport Officials (COLTO)

PUBLISHED 1983

REPRINTED 1984

REVISED 1989/90/91

REVISED 1997

PRINTED 1997

PREFACE

TECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HIGHWAYS (TRH) have traditionally been aimed at informing the practising engineer about current, recommended practise in selected aspects of highway engineering, based on proven South African experience.

It is suggested that reference also been made to the TRH4, TRH6, TRH14, UTG3 and TMH9 document series to provide back-up information on pavement design, materials and evaluation aspects.

Companion TRH, TMH and UTG documents to TRH12 are given on the next pages.

This document was produced by a subcommittee of the Road Materials Committee and to continue its validity in practice is circulated in draft form for a trial period.

Any comments on this document can be addressed to the *Director General: Transport, Chief Director: Roads, P O Box 415, Pretoria, 0001, Republic of South Africa.*

TRH1	TRH13
Prime coats and bituminous curing membranes	Cementitious stabilisers in road construction
TRH2	TRH14
Geotechnical and soil engineering mapping for roads and the storage of materials data	Guidelines for road construction materials
TRH3	TRH15
Surfacing seals for rural and urban roads and compendium of design methods for surfacing seals used in the Republic of South Africa	Subsurface drainage for roads
TRH4	TRH16
Structural design of interurban and rural road pavements	Traffic loading for pavement and rehabilitation design
TRH5	TRH17
Statistical concepts of quality control and their application in road construction	Geometric design for rural roads
TRH6	TRH18
Nomenclature and methods for describing the condition of asphalt pavements	The investigation, design, construction and maintenance of road cuttings
TRH7	TRH19
Use of bitumen emulsions in the construction and maintenance of roads	Standard nomenclature and methods for describing the condition of jointed concrete pavements
TRH8	TRH20
Design and use of hot-mix asphalt in pavements	The structural design, construction and maintenance of unpaved roads
TRH9	TRH21
Construction of road embankments	Hot-mix recycling
TRH10	TRH22
Design of road embankments	Pavement Management Systems
TRH11	TRH23
Guidelines for the conveyance of abnormal loads	Concrete Pavement Maintenance
TRH12	
Flexible pavement rehabilitation investigation and design	

List of TRH Documents

TMH1	TMH6
Standard methods of testing road construction materials	Special methods for testing roads
TMH2	TMH7
National standard for the spraying performance of binder distributors	Code of practice for the design of highway bridges and culverts in South Africa Parts 1 and 2
TMH3	TMH7
Traffic axle load surveys for pavement design	Code of practice for the design of highway bridges and culverts in South Africa Part 3
TMH4	TMH8
Superseded by TRH17	Traffic counting procedures for rural roads
TMH5	TMH9
Sampling methods for road construction materials	Pavement management systems: Standard visual assessment manual
	TMH10
	Manual for the completion of as-built materials data sheets

List of TMH Documents

UTG1	UTG7
Guidelines for the geometric design of urban arterial roads	Geometric design of urban local residential streets
UTG2	UTG8
Structural design of segmental block pavements for southern Africa	Guidelines for the preparation of an urban transport plan - first amendment 1989
UTG3	UTG9
Structural design of urban roads	Guidelines for the transportation system management process
UTG4	UTG10
Guidelines for urban stormwater management	Guidelines for the geometric design of commercial and industrial local streets
UTG5	UTG11
Geometric design of urban collector roads	Public participation in land use / transport planning
UTG6	
Maintenance management for large municipalities	

List of UTG Documents

SYNOPSIS

The main road transport network in the Republic of South Africa has been established over the last half century and has been planned, constructed and maintained with a high degree of technological sophistication. The level of service provided is comparable with that in most developed countries. However, an acute shortage of funds available for pavement rehabilitation is endangering the integrity of this network, making continuous research for improved and more economical rehabilitation procedures necessary.

This document provides guidelines for the main aspects of pavement rehabilitation design applicable to South African conditions. The procedure advocated contains a systematic approach to the investigation, evaluation and analysis of the existing pavement, to rehabilitation design and to the economic appraisal of applicable options. In the recommended procedure, use is made of past pavement behaviour and pavement condition, thereby making possible an early assessment of additional information needed. Much emphasis is placed on the optimum utilisation of available resources in designing the best applicable remedy to an existing problem.

SINOPSISIS

Die hoofvervoernetwerk van die Republiek van Suid-Afrika is oor die afgelope halfeeu gevestig en is beplan, gebou en onderhou met 'n hoe graad van tegnologiese vaardigheid. Sodanig so, dat die diens gelewer goed vergelyk met die meeste ontwikkelde lande. Die huidige tekort aan beskikbare fondse vir plaveiselrehabilitasie bedreig egter die integriteit van hierdie netwerk. Gevolglik geniet navorsing met die klem op die daarstelling van verbeterde en meer ekonomiese rehabilitasie-prosedures hoë prioriteit.

Die dokument gee riglyne vir die belangrike fases in die ondersoek na plaveiselrehabilitasie toepaslik vir Suid-Afrikaanse toestande. Die aanbevole prosedure bevat 'n sistematiese benadering tot die ondersoek, die evaluering en die analisering van huidige plaveisels, tot rehabilitasieontwerp en tot die ekonomiese analisering van geskikte rehabilitasie-alternatiewes. In die voorgestelde metode word voorsiening gemaak vir die volle benutting van die gedragsgeskiedenis en toestand van die plaveisel, om sodoende vroegtydig te bepaal welke addisionele inligting benodig sal word in die ondersoek. Deur die waarde van bekombare inligting te ontleed, word die optimale benutting van beskikbare middele verseker in die ontwerp van die mees toepaslike oplossing vir 'n bestaande probleem.

KEYWORDS

pavement rehabilitation, condition, evaluation, analysis, cracking, deformation, economic analysis, distress, investigation.

FOREWORD

During the next decade the need for pavement rehabilitation will increase progressively as the South African road network construction programme nears completion. The demands for pavement rehabilitation have increased while funds for roads have become more difficult to secure, thereby making it even more important to update and upgrade existing road facilities.

The publication of this Technical Recommendation for Highways is therefore very timely and necessary to ensure that the best available engineering knowledge is used to select the most economical rehabilitation option available to the engineer.

The product embodies a systematic procedure of investigation, evaluation, analysis and rehabilitation design, which makes provision for incorporating engineering experience and judgment.

This document does not cover in detail design methods for pavement evaluation and rehabilitation and the user is advised to consult the documentation referred to. In addition, ongoing research and input from practice in this important field will ensure that this document will be revised and improved from time to time.

**CHAIRMAN
HIGHWAY MATERIALS COMMITTEE**

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 BACKGROUND	2
1.2 SCOPE	2
1.3 MANAGING PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN	3
1.3.1 <i>General</i>	3
1.3.2 <i>Network level management</i>	4
1.3.3 <i>Project level investigations: design considerations</i>	6
1.4 RECOMMENDED APPROACH	8
1.4.1 <i>General</i>	8
1.4.2 <i>Pavement Condition Assessment</i>	10
1.4.3 <i>Rehabilitation design and option</i>	13
1.4.4 <i>The economic analysis</i>	13
1.5 MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS	14
1.5.1 <i>Interaction of Systems</i>	14
1.5.2 <i>Management Inputs</i>	16
1.5.2.1 <i>General</i>	16
1.5.2.2 <i>Funding</i>	16
1.5.2.3 <i>Policy</i>	17
1.5.2.4 <i>Standards</i>	18
1.5.2.5 <i>Planning</i>	18
1.5.3 <i>Logistical Inputs</i>	19
1.5.3.1 <i>General</i>	19
1.5.3.2 <i>Equipment</i>	19
1.5.3.3 <i>Terrain</i>	20
1.5.3.4 <i>Materials</i>	21
1.5.4 <i>Engineering inputs from other sub-systems</i>	21
2. PAVEMENT CONDITION ASSESSMENT	24
2.1 GENERAL	24
2.2 INITIAL ASSESSMENT	25
2.2.1 <i>Objectives and Scope</i>	25
2.2.2 <i>Gathering of Information</i>	27
2.2.2.1 <i>General</i>	27
2.2.2.2 <i>The Preliminary Investigation</i>	27
2.2.2.3 <i>The Detailed Visual Inspection</i>	27
2.2.2.4 <i>Pavement Surveillance Measurements</i>	33
2.2.3 <i>Data processing</i>	33
2.2.3.1 <i>General</i>	33
2.2.3.2 <i>Performance Criteria</i>	34
2.2.3.3 <i>Traffic Loading</i>	38

2.2.4	<i>Pavement evaluation and initial structural capacity analysis of uniform sections</i>	42
2.2.4.1	Pavement Evaluation	42
2.2.4.2	Initial Structural Capacity Analysis	46
2.3	DETAILED ASSESSMENT	55
2.3.1	<i>Objectives and Scope</i>	55
2.3.2	<i>Cause and Mechanism of Distress</i>	58
2.3.2.1	General	58
2.3.2.2	Pavement Behaviour	60
2.3.2.3	Distress Manifestations	76
2.3.3	<i>Pavement Situation Identification</i> ^{3,49}	84
3.	REHABILITATION DESIGN APPROACH AND OPTIONS	87
3.1	OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE	87
3.2	REHABILITATION OPTIONS	87
3.3	METHOD APPLICABILITY	89
3.3.1	<i>General</i>	89
3.3.2	<i>Design Variables</i>	90
3.3.2.1	General	90
3.3.2.2	Structural variables	90
3.3.2.3	Load variables	90
3.3.2.4	Environmental variables	90
3.3.3	<i>Performance Variables</i>	91
3.4	PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS	92
3.4.1	<i>Available Approaches</i>	92
3.4.1.1	General	92
3.4.1.2	Methods based on empirical concepts	93
3.4.1.3	Methods based on theoretical concepts	97
3.4.2	<i>Rehabilitation Design Methods Used in South Africa</i>	100
3.4.2.1	General	100
3.4.2.2	Empirically derived methods	100
3.4.2.3	Theoretically derived methods	102
3.4.3	<i>Recommendations</i>	103
4.	PRACTICAL AND FUNCTIONAL ASPECTS	108
4.1	INTRODUCTION	108
4.2	APPLICABILITY	108
4.2.1	<i>General</i>	108
4.2.2	<i>Methods of Investigation and Design</i>	109
4.2.3	<i>Materials</i>	109
4.2.4	<i>Rehabilitation Options</i>	109
4.3	CONSTRUCTABILITY	109
4.3.1	<i>General</i>	109

4.3.2	<i>Accommodation of Traffic</i>	110
4.3.3	<i>Widening of the Paved Surface</i>	110
4.3.4	<i>Layer Thickness Constraints</i>	110
4.3.5	<i>Availability of Resources</i>	110
4.3.6	<i>Environmental Influence</i>	111
4.4	PERFORMANCE ADEQUACY	111
4.4.1	<i>General</i>	111
4.4.2	<i>Drainage</i>	111
4.4.2.1	<i>General</i>	111
4.4.2.2	<i>Surface drainage</i>	111
4.4.2.3	<i>Side drains</i>	112
4.4.2.4	<i>Sub-surface drainage</i>	112
4.4.2.5	<i>Cross drainage</i>	112
4.4.3	<i>Surfacing and Wearing Course Selection</i>	112
4.5	MAINTAINABILITY	113
5.	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	115
5.1	INTRODUCTION	115
5.2	AGENCY COSTS	116
5.2.1	<i>Rehabilitation costs</i>	116
5.2.2	<i>Maintenance Costs</i>	116
5.2.3	<i>Future Capital Costs</i>	118
5.3	ROAD USER COSTS	120
5.3.1	<i>General</i>	120
5.3.2	<i>Delay Costs Due to Rehabilitation Activities</i>	120
5.3.2.1	<i>General</i>	120
5.3.2.2	<i>Vehicle operating costs</i>	121
5.3.2.3	<i>Time costs</i>	121
5.3.3	<i>Accident Costs Due to Rehabilitation Activities</i>	122
5.4	PRINCIPLES OF THE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	122
5.4.1	<i>Present Worth of Costs (PWOC)</i>	122
5.4.2	<i>Specific Aspects</i>	123
5.4.2.1	<i>Analysis period</i>	123
5.4.2.2	<i>Inflation</i>	123
5.4.2.3	<i>Discount rate</i>	124
5.5	INCORPORATING UNCERTAINTY	124
6.	BIBLIOGRAPHY	127
7.	REFERENCES	129
8.	GLOSSARY OF TERMS	138

CONTENTS

SECTION 1

	Page
	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 BACKGROUND	2
1.2 SCOPE	2
1.3 MANAGING PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN	3
1.3.1 <i>General</i>	3
1.3.2 <i>Network level management</i>	4
1.3.3 <i>Project level investigations: design considerations</i>	6
1.4 RECOMMENDED APPROACH	8
1.4.1 <i>General</i>	8
1.4.2 <i>Pavement Condition Assessment</i>	10
1.4.3 <i>Rehabilitation design and option</i>	13
1.4.4 <i>The economic analysis</i>	13
1.5 MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS	14
1.5.1 <i>Interaction of Systems</i>	14
1.5.2 <i>Management Inputs</i>	16
1.5.2.1 <i>General</i>	16
1.5.2.2 <i>Funding</i>	16
1.5.2.3 <i>Policy</i>	17
1.5.2.4 <i>Standards</i>	18
1.5.2.5 <i>Planning</i>	18
1.5.3 <i>Logistical Inputs</i>	19
1.5.3.1 <i>General</i>	19
1.5.3.2 <i>Equipment</i>	19
1.5.3.3 <i>Terrain</i>	20
1.5.3.4 <i>Materials</i>	21
1.5.4 <i>Engineering inputs from other sub-systems</i>	21

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

An increase in economic activity in South Africa during the past few decades has led to major expansion and improvement of the road network throughout the country. A wide variety of pavement types have been used for these roads, ranging from mere sand-sealed gravel roads through waterbound macadam pavements and well-designed natural and stabilized gravel pavements, to sophisticated crushed stone and other composite pavements for the more heavily trafficked routes.

After many years of good service, an increasing number of these roads are reaching a condition which warrants further attention and improvements in terms of riding quality and strengthening of the pavement structure. To protect the integrity of these existing roads, a shift in emphasis has taken place from the design and construction of new roads to the rehabilitation design and reconstruction of existing roads.

Experience has shown that if a deficient pavement is rehabilitated timeously, the costs involved often amount to a mere fraction of the cost of reconstruction. This is achieved by using the remaining structural strength and behaviour of the existing pavement in the rehabilitation design for the road. Hence, rehabilitation design should include procedures that allow for the quantification and evaluation of the behaviour of the existing pavement structure. This information can then be incorporated into the rehabilitation design.

1.2 SCOPE

Pavement rehabilitation involves measures used to restore, improve, strengthen or salvage existing deficient pavements so that these may continue, with routine maintenance, to carry traffic with adequate speed, safety and comfort. Pavement rehabilitation is a part of road rehabilitation which also includes the rehabilitation of additional aspects such as geometrics, safety, etc.

The main categories of pavement rehabilitation are:

- complete pavement reconstruction,

- partial reconstruction involving the strengthening of existing pavement layers, with or without stabilization, before resurfacing,
- asphaltic or granular overlays,
- surfacing rehabilitation, and
- provision of drainage, and/or improvements to existing drainage facilities.

Any combination of the above mentioned rehabilitation activities could be applicable to a specific pavement. Furthermore, several available options exist within each of the main rehabilitation categories from which a selection could be made. With all these alternatives available, the project level rehabilitation investigation procedure must identify the most applicable option from both a structural and economical point of view.

The project level rehabilitation investigation procedure should aim to fully utilize all available information on the existing pavements. This include information on the design of the pavement, materials used, previous maintenance on the road, history of traffic loading and information available from the Pavement Management System of the Road Authority. Companion documents covering all these aspects have been prepared in the TRH, TMH and UTG document series as is clear from the lists in the front of this document.

The purpose of this TRH12 is to provide guidance for project level rehabilitation investigations of flexible pavements. In this document only surfaced pavements are considered. Although design methods are recommended, no details thereof are included. Full references to applicable rehabilitation design documents are included in Section 3 (Rehabilitation design approach and options) of this document. Main characteristics of some pavement rehabilitation design methods in use in Southern Africa are also included in Appendix 2.

1.3 MANAGING PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN

1.3.1 *General*

A pavement is usually identified for rehabilitation by the various road authorities on the basis of information received from their regional offices as part of a pavement management system (PMS). Usually this information is related to the general visual condition and riding quality of a pavement. Depending on various factors

such as the type of pavement structure and the severity of the problem, several rehabilitation options may be applicable.

Pavement management is defined¹ as "the total range of activities required to provide the pavement portion of the public works programme". As such, all activities relating to the rehabilitation of pavements are considered part of pavement management. This includes monitoring the network as well as the design and economic appraisal of individual projects. Understandably, the dimension of the problem and the number of uncertainties involved are of such magnitude that it would be very difficult to incorporate everything in a single analytical system. It follows that the subdivision of the pavement rehabilitation process into sub-problems would be beneficial to the development of a system for pavement rehabilitation management.

Since not all roads within a network can be expected to be at the same level of deterioration, or even deteriorate at the same rate, the management of rehabilitation could sensibly be divided into network and project level activities, the latter of which are covered in detail by this document. Monitoring the condition of the pavement at network level would be limited to measurements aimed at identifying specific roads that may require structural rehabilitation.

1.3.2 Network level management

Most of the major road authorities in South Africa use a network level pavement management system (PMS). These systems vary in their level of sophistication and detail, but all aim to provide the necessary information for the effective funding and planning of operations needed to protect the integrity of the network.

It is not the aim of this document to discuss the objectives, workings, systems and implementation of network level PMSs, as these are discussed in detail in TRH22². However, the basic elements of a network level PMS are briefly discussed to demonstrate its role in pavement rehabilitation design.

The main objective of the network level study within the context of rehabilitation is to identify pavements possibly requiring rehabilitation for further detailed investigation at the project level. A flow diagram of typical procedures in a network level study is shown in Figure 1³.




FIGURE 1³
SOME ELEMENTS OF PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT AT A NETWORK LEVEL
AIMING AT EFFECTIVE REHABILITATION DESIGN

PMSs at the network level use models and algorithms based on the average expected condition of the pavements within the network. The system could include models predicting expected performance (serviceability); models predicting deterioration based on a number of distress manifestations, i.e. cracking, deformation, etc.; and models on cost effects, taking into account average costs of variables such as the type of pavement and its effect on the expected performance and distress manifestations of the pavement.

The PMS developed for use in network level pavement rehabilitation design can gradually be expanded to include various sub-systems which could help to:

- optimize long-term planning of operations,
- optimize the level of operations (e.g. condition assessments),
- determine budget requirements and limitations, and
- determine the cost implications of deferred rehabilitation.

It is important to note that the network level study is generally used to identify roads requiring rehabilitation. Specific projects are identified for project level investigations after an economic evaluation (cost-benefit analysis) has shown the improvement of the specific roads to be justifiable. However, a more detailed project level study is required to identify specific needs and to facilitate proper rehabilitation design.

1.3.3 Project level investigations: design considerations

A wrong decision on the required rehabilitation for a project could have substantial economic consequences. Therefore, more detailed pavement condition tests could be warranted in a project level study to improve confidence in the design and selection of an appropriate rehabilitation option. Many of the design variables which have to be assumed or estimated for a new pavement can be determined with reasonable accuracy on existing pavements. These include traffic conditions and the in-situ strength parameters of the pavement components. The aim of rehabilitation can in fact be regarded as modifying the behaviour of a pavement. The more fully this behaviour is evaluated, the more accurate and therefore the more economical the rehabilitation should be.

All relevant factors which could contribute to distress must be considered during the evaluation of the pavement. These include:

- traffic loading,
- drainage problems,
- non-traffic-induced cracks and the action of pumping under traffic,
- inadequate in-situ properties of pavement materials, and
- expansive subgrades.

Although in some formal procedures these factors may be explicitly or tacitly recognized, they are frequently not satisfactorily incorporated by the practising engineer. It is often difficult to identify whether such factors have contributed to the cause and mechanism of distress or to determine the way in which they should be dealt with in the rehabilitation design.

To determine the best rehabilitation alternative it is essential to recognize that the future behaviour of a pavement cannot be predicted with certainty due to the variability of pavement materials and inadequate knowledge of their properties. Such uncertainty can be countered to some extent through the use of extensive testing. However, it is necessary to be **very selective about the types and number of tests to keep the investigation within acceptable logistic and economic limits**. The designer achieves this by progressively determining the contribution of additional testing until the degree of confidence necessary to determine the appropriate rehabilitation options has been obtained.

The ability of models based on pavement condition tests to predict the behaviour of pavements is very limited for reasons such as:

- the varied nature of materials,
- differences between specified values and those actually achieved,
- the simplistic nature of models in the face of many factors affecting the behaviour of materials in pavements, and
- uncertainty of traffic prediction.

The value of the additional information to be gained by further tests can be calculated explicitly by statistical analysis when the problem is well-defined and has a simple structure. However, in pavement rehabilitation this is not always possible because of the complexity of the pavement, and the value of such information

can often be assessed well enough for practical purposes by considering the cost of obtaining additional information in relation to:

- the consequences of not making the optimal decision (in terms of cost and performance of rehabilitation measure),
- the probability of not making the optimal decision without additional information, and
- the probability of not making the optimal decision in spite of having additional information.

Experience and engineering judgement can be used to assess these factors and decide whether further tests are justified.

All tests should be aimed to improving the understanding of the behaviour of the pavement, rather than providing absolute information. **Moreover, no form of test or analysis is precluded, provided that it is appropriate and that it can be justified by the value of the information that will add to the understanding of the problem.**

Based on the above principles and design considerations, the project level pavement rehabilitation approach outlined in this document will enable suitable rehabilitation options to be determined through a systematic process of testing and analysis. This involves an assessment of the pavement condition, determination of existing structural capacity, identification of the cause and mechanism of distress, use of suitable rehabilitation design methods and finally, the comparison of applicable options and strategies.

1.4 RECOMMENDED APPROACH

1.4.1 General

The intention is not to prescribe rigid procedures that should be followed at all times. Pavement rehabilitation projects differ considerably, which makes it impossible to give a recipe approach encompassing all possibilities. However, the principles contained in this document generally represent accepted practice in South Africa.

From the preceding sections it follows that a particular length of road is normally identified for possible rehabilitation because of an excessive need for routine maintenance, poor riding quality, increasing traffic-induced distress, or a combination of these factors. However, at the stage of the project-level study it cannot be taken for granted that a length of road identified for attention is uniform in respect of its rehabilitation needs. Some sections within a length of road may be distressed due to localized factors, others may be exhibiting a problem limited to the surfacing, and sound sections may well exist between the distressed sections.

Where possible and appropriate, lengths of pavement under consideration for rehabilitation should be divided into separate viable sections requiring different remedial treatments. In the absence of a systematic assessment, analysis and evaluation procedure, a "safer", albeit less economical, blanket approach is likely to be adopted. This will naturally cater for the sections in poorer condition, but it will over-provide for the rest of the road.

The objectives of the project level rehabilitation design procedure are, firstly, to divide the pavement into distinct lengths requiring different rehabilitation measures, and then to determine the most suitable measure for each length. Since the tests that will be needed to establish the appropriate rehabilitation measures for each different section of the road will not be known beforehand, the analysis should be carried out in iterative, increasingly detailed steps.

These will entail:

- i. pavement condition assessment
(initial followed by more detailed analysis),
- ii. rehabilitation design, and
- iii. economic analysis.

A detailed flow diagram and a discussion of each stage are given in the various sections of this document.

Technically, the objectives of a project level rehabilitation investigation will be fully met by following the three stages of the investigation as recommended. However, in practice, decisions and the process of investigations are continuously influenced by managerial and practical aspects.

It is not the intention of this document to discuss these aspects in detail, but their influence should be recognized and will be briefly discussed in Sections 1 and 4 of this document. A flow diagram showing the various stages of a pavement rehabilitation investigation and the influence of related technical and non-technical considerations is given in Figure 2⁴.

1.4.2 *Pavement Condition Assessment*

The pavement condition assessment is divided into an initial assessment covering the whole of the project length, followed by more detailed investigations of sections exhibiting structural deficiencies. The aim is to concentrate more detailed and expensive testing on those pavement sections which actually require structural strengthening.

The initial assessment will normally entail a preliminary site visit and an examination of available records, which will determine the need for tests such as deflection and profile measurements and a detailed visual inspection. (Guidance for undertaking a detailed visual inspection is provided in Section 2 of this document).

The objectives of the initial assessments are:

- i. to identify sections where significant problems exist and the nature of these problems. This will establish:
 - sections where there is no significant problem,
 - sections where the distress is obviously limited to the surfacing,
 - sections where localized factors are the cause of the distress, and
 - sections which may be structurally inadequate.
- ii. to recommend:
 - appropriate rehabilitation options for the surface distress,
 - remedial action for the localized distress, and
 - further tests for the sections where structural improvements may be required.




FIGURE 2⁴
FLOW DIAGRAM OF THE PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN
PROCEDURE CONTAINED IN THE TRH12

iii. to provide:

- a convenient record of initial measurements taken to describe the condition of the pavement, and
- input into the further analysis of sections in need of structural improvements.

In the context of pavement rehabilitation, distress is considered a significant problem if it cannot be adequately dealt with through routine maintenance as practised by the road authority concerned. In some cases the cause of distress is limited to the properties of the surfacing and is unrelated to the structural capacity of the pavement. Rehabilitation options, in such cases, can range from surface treatments to bituminous overlays, or even replacement of the surfacing, and could include recycling or application of surface rejuvenators. Usually the best option can only be determined after further detailed testing of the distressed layer.

Deficient drainage⁵ is often the main cause of isolated distress. However, this type of distress may also be due to design inadequacies, poor materials, or poor construction of a localized section. It is important to establish the exact cause of localized problems before attempting to select the most economical remedial measure. This will prevent expensive rehabilitation of the whole pavement length when only specific distressed areas need rehabilitation.

The initial assessment will have identified lengths of pavement in probable need of structural improvement. These lengths are examined further in order to ascertain the probable cause and mechanism of distress and the remaining structural capacity of the pavement. The distress may originate in any component of the pavement. For example, the deformation of the surface may have been caused by the deformation of the subgrade or base, or cracking may have started in the base and be reflected through the surfacing. Moreover, in each case there may be a number of reasons for the distress. The cause and mechanism of distress determines the type of rehabilitation which should be used on a pavement and is also used to assess the applicability of rehabilitation design methods for use on the pavement. Hence, enough testing should be done at this stage of the investigation confidently to determine and identify the pavement situation on each uniform pavement section.

1.4.3 *Rehabilitation design and option*

The cause and mechanism of distress, together with the available test results, the pavement condition, environment and traffic loading determine the pavement situation which is used to select rehabilitation design methods for the required structural strengthening of each uniform pavement section. More detailed testing may be required at this stage of an investigation.

The fundamental reasons for each factor causing distress should be considered in determining the possible rehabilitation options. For subgrade deformation as discussed above, the appropriate options could well range from a levelling course overlay to a substantial strengthening of the pavement structure, depending on the particular reasons for the subgrade deformation.

1.4.4 *The economic analysis*

A choice is made among the viable rehabilitation strategies and measures by examining their cost and the consequences of the use of the measure in terms of the expected future behaviour of the pavement. (It should be noted that a full economic analysis [e.g. a cost-benefit analysis^{6,7,8}] according to which a project is justified in terms of the whole network, is done prior to the commissioning of a project level investigation.) After determining the expected costs of the project as shown in Appendix 4, a cost-benefit analysis should again be done to verify the justification of the project. The economic analysis includes:

a. Selection of an option

Normally, various rehabilitation options would be applicable for use on a particular pavement. Because of the variable nature of pavements, the effect of any treatment on the future behaviour of the pavement cannot be determined absolutely. The costs of each rehabilitation option and the likely consequences of the treatment have to be weighed against the probability with which these consequences would occur. Normally the option that results in the lowest expected present worth of costs is selected for further analysis. However, traffic delay⁶ and other road user costs⁶ should also be taken into account.

b. Selection of a strategy

Several strategies may be followed using a specific rehabilitation option. The difference in cost will often not be universal and the selection of a specific strategy usually depends on local circumstances and management considerations. Only after all factors have been considered can the most appropriate strategy be determined.

1.5 MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

1.5.1 *Interaction of Systems*

This document aims to provide guidelines for the evaluation and rehabilitation design of the pavement structure. As previously shown, the need for such an investigation is usually identified through the pavement management system of a road authority. However, various other sub-systems, policy and practical aspects should be taken into account when decisions concerning the rehabilitation of a pavement are made. All of these aspects should form an integral part of the pavement or road investigation.

The PMS, together with the various other road related systems, form a Road Management System⁹ which provides the framework for the planning of maintenance, rehabilitation and the general upgrading of the road network. Each sub-system is influenced by other related sub-systems. It follows that projects identified for pavement rehabilitation through a PMS should take full account of related aspects, such as the geometrics of the road.

The PMS, which is used to identify pavements requiring rehabilitation, is mainly a tool assisting management in taking meaningful and rational decisions. The PMS provides input for and is influenced by functions such as planning, budgeting, resource allocation, etc.

The various inputs affecting pavement rehabilitation design are more accurately illustrated in Figure 3⁴. It is seen that pavement rehabilitation is influenced by pavement engineering inputs, pavement-related engineering inputs, management inputs and logistical inputs.




FIGURE 3⁴
MAIN ELEMENTS OF THE VARIOUS INPUTS
INFLUENCING REHABILITATION DESIGN

All these inputs should be noted at the earliest possible opportunity because of their possible overriding influence on an investigation. The main elements of each of these inputs are discussed in detail below.

1.5.2 *Management Inputs*

1.5.2.1 General

Management inputs include all the non-engineering and non-logistical aspects that could influence a rehabilitation project. These include¹⁰ available funds, the importance (economical, political, strategic) of a project as well as the future planning for that specific road and for other roads in the area. Equally important is the policy of the road authority with regard to standards, including safety and liaison with other road authorities, taking into account their specific future planning in cases when a route could be influenced by their actions.

As shown in Figure 3⁴, management inputs are also influenced by the specifics of any project. Hence, it is important to keep management informed and to discuss the consequences of technical as well as financial decisions. Close co-operation between management and the designer should also ensure that management is supplied with the information it needs to make timeous decisions if and when the scope of a project needs to be changed.

1.5.2.2 Funding

In the past, road funding was provided according to the demands of the various government agencies. This system worked well during periods when funding kept pace with the needs as identified by the various road authorities. However, this is no longer the case and road authorities are finding it increasingly difficult to meet their commitments and to convince financing authorities of the need for funds to upgrade their networks¹¹. Hence, financial planning should form an integral part of road network planning as well as of road maintenance and rehabilitation planning. For example, limited funds may make it impractical to investigate in detail relatively expensive long-term solutions. In such a case the management input of "limited funds" should guide the designer to concentrate his investigation on relatively less expensive short-term solutions.

Proper financial planning¹¹ should identify needs, develop managerial strategies, make the best use of limited resources, reduce uncertainty and help educate the public and public officials. Up-to-date information on the condition of the road network, future transportation needs and consequences of funding levels must form part of financial planning, thus enabling road authorities to negotiate funds in competition with other government agencies and departments such as housing, education, etc.

The identification and selection of rehabilitation projects should be based on sound economic principles^{6,7,8}. For this purpose, the anticipated costs and benefits of projects must be determined to enable the calculation of feasible indicators such as the cost-benefit ratio, the Internal Rate of Return (IRR), Present Worth of Costs (PWOC) and Nett Present Value (NPV).

1.5.2.3 Policy

The policy of a road authority is normally based on many years of practical experience. This may concern practical aspects such as the use of certain materials, equipment and/or procedures. Certain policy aspects may evolve or change with time due to new developments such as improved techniques, materials, equipment, better information or even because of forced changes related to government policy such as lower levels of funding or strategic development of certain areas or industries.

Hence, policy is an integral part of the planning of the transportation system. Changes in input could, in a well organized structure, lead to changes in policy to accommodate and perhaps counter these influences. Policy aspects should be considered as inputs into a project-level rehabilitation study and the designer should keep informed of the policies of specific road authorities.

However, because of the "uniqueness" of every rehabilitation investigation and the technical expertise required from the designers involved in rehabilitation projects, management is not advised to formulate a rigid policy regarding technical prescriptions for pavement rehabilitation projects. Nevertheless, policy can be made regarding:

- the extent to which the various levels of an investigation should be carried out and the general approach the investigator should follow,

- the standards applicable to specific roads (including design life) and the extent to which road-related aspects such as safety should be investigated, and
- the appointment and method of payment of the designer (rehabilitation projects sometimes require more expertise and input than new projects, and the policies regarding compensation of the designer should take this into account).

1.5.2.4 Standards

Different road authorities may have different policies regarding applicable standards for pavement rehabilitation projects. These standards would normally relate to the pavement category, taking into account pavement structure, traffic loading and the riding quality of the road. The standards should be a function of the class of pavement and relate to the importance of the road and the traffic (both volume and load) the pavement carries.

The duty of the road authority is not only to set specific standards according to which roads should be evaluated, but also to prescribe standards to which a road should be rehabilitated. Understandably, policy changes and changes in level of funding could influence standards. However, the lowering of standards to "balance the books" is not advisable due to the possibility of some "sub-standard" rehabilitated road sections in a network. Road authorities should aim to maintain appropriate standards, taking cost implications into account.

Standards for the rehabilitation of pavements should not only refer to aspects of the structure of the pavement, but also to related technical matters such as safety, geometrics and capacity. Road authorities should prescribe appropriate standards for the assessment and improvement of these aspects during rehabilitation projects.

1.5.2.5 Planning

The above-mentioned aspects related to funding, policy and standards all form an integral part of the planning of a road authority. In addition, many other aspects should be taken into account during the planning of rehabilitation projects. These also include non-technical aspects not necessarily concerning the designer, but important to management. These include:

- programmes of road authorities, neighbouring states and provinces: projects should not be decided upon in isolation. The planning of road authorities "across the border", concerning one or several other roads in the same area which could influence the project, must be taken into account.
- strategic roads: the need for the improvement of a road could also depend on non-structural and non-traffic associated considerations such as strategic planning. In this regard state departments should liaise with each other and road authorities should have insight into the transportation requirements as influenced by government policy such as strategic roads, accessibility to distant markets and decentralization.

1.5.3 *Logistical Inputs*

1.5.3.1 General

In pavement rehabilitation investigations, cognizance should also be taken of logistical aspects. These include all the non-engineering and non-management inputs that could affect the project. It is evident that these aspects could seriously influence the cost of a project and should be taken into consideration in the total cost-benefit analysis of a project.

1.5.3.2 Equipment

The investigation should take cognisance of the availability of special equipment needed for investigation and the construction of rehabilitation. Some rehabilitation options, such as the in-place hot-mix recycling of asphaltic layers, may require highly sophisticated and/or expensive equipment which is not as yet available in this country or which is in short supply. In cases where equipment is not available, the cost to the contractor, and thus to the project, of manufacturing, importing or buying such equipment should be taken into account in the evaluation of specific options.

Only a limited number of pieces of specialized equipment used for the measuring of pavement condition are available in the country. These include measuring equipment e.g. a Deflectograph and Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD). Rehabilitation investigations should be planned around the availability of this equipment.

In order to assess the availability of specialized equipment the impact of all ongoing and planned projects needs to be considered. Good communication between the respective road authorities and their designers should ensure that projects are planned taking into account the availability of equipment.

1.5.3.3 Terrain

Terrain conditions and associated restrictions could limit the rehabilitation options which can be considered for a specific project. The early identification of such terrain-associated problems could save considerable time in the design and consideration of possible rehabilitation options. These problems include:

- *traffic accommodation problems:* the characteristics of a project could be such that traffic cannot be accommodated at a reasonable cost other than on the existing road. In these cases the designer should concentrate on options which will cause minimum disruption to the traffic using the route. Aspects that could contribute to such a situation include:
 - . congested areas in cities where traffic can only be accommodated with great difficulty, and
 - . mountainous areas where alternatives for the accommodation of traffic can be very expensive.
- *bridge or obstacle clearance:* the rehabilitation design must ensure that adequate clearance under any obstacle such as a bridge is maintained. Specifications of road authorities should be adhered to and possible problems should be identified early in the investigation to allow for these cases to be accommodated in the design.
- *roadside furniture:* the presence of and height of roadside furniture such as curbs could influence a decision on the applicability of a rehabilitation option, especially in an urban situation. The placement of asphalt overlays on roads with curbs could lead to the pavement being considerably higher than the curb. In such cases the removal or recycling of the asphalt layer could be considered. Similar problems could occur on rural roads with concrete side drains adjacent to the surfaced area.

- *services*: the presence of services crossing or alongside the surfaced road should be noted and allowed for in the design of pavement rehabilitation options.

1.5.3.4 Materials

During the pavement condition assessment a survey of road-building materials available in the vicinity of the road should be made. The abundance of good quality materials or the absence thereof could have serious economic implications for a specific project. The early identification of material resources could save considerable effort during the design phase of an investigation.

1.5.4 *Engineering inputs from other sub-systems*

Traditionally, rehabilitation design projects have mainly been identified as a result of problems associated with the pavement structure. Although road authorities often require an evaluation of the geometric aspects of a road during a rehabilitation investigation, safety and associated aspects are sometimes neglected. Input from a related sub-system such as geometrics could make it unnecessary to investigate the pavement structure over certain lengths of the road which will be influenced by geometric changes.

Many of our roads considered for rehabilitation were not originally designed to modern standards. The opportunity presented by a rehabilitation investigation should also be used to make a full appraisal of the safety, capacity and geometric aspects of the road. However, experience has shown that many "required" improvements are not cost-effective and, if accommodated, may change the cost-benefit ratio of a project and render it uneconomic. Improvements such as drastic re-alignment, geometric improvements, drainage and perhaps even the widening of the pavement may fall into this category. However, many opportunities for low-cost and hence cost-effective safety improvements may exist for these older roads.

The responsibility rests with the authority and the designer to ensure that the road adheres to reasonable safety standards, although these may not necessarily be the same as those applicable to new roads. Many aspects such as improved signboards, removal of obstacles close to the road, improvement of shoulders, etc, could be addressed at low additional cost during

pavement rehabilitation. These improvements could be based on "appropriate" standards for pavement rehabilitation projects.

Alternative solutions for the improvement of the safety of roads may include methods and guidelines to improve aspects¹² related to:

- lane widths,
- shoulder widths,
- horizontal alignment and super-elevations,
- vertical alignment and sight distance,
- bridge widths,
- sideslopes and clear zones,
- pavement shoulder condition, including edge drops and drainage,
- intersections,
- pavement surface condition, and
- climbing lanes.

Applicable standards for the consideration of improvements related to the above could depend on:

- changes in accident rates, user time and vehicle operating costs that can be expected with the improvement of a specific geometric aspect,
- increases in accident rates that can be expected if the riding surface is improved without addressing safety aspects, and
- safety benefits of low-cost alternatives, such as traffic signals and markings, compared to more expensive improvements.

In many cases the traffic volume expected on the road may warrant upgrading of the road in terms of widening or surfacing of shoulders. Again, the cost implications of such improvements should be carefully considered and the assessment of improvements required in terms of capacity should be carried out in conjunction with related traffic engineering aspects such as geometry and safety.

CONTENTS

SECTION 2

	Page
2. PAVEMENT CONDITION ASSESSMENT	24
2.1 GENERAL	24
2.2 INITIAL ASSESSMENT	25
2.2.1 <i>Objectives and Scope</i>	25
2.2.2 <i>Gathering of Information</i>	27
2.2.2.1 General	27
2.2.2.2 The Preliminary Investigation	27
2.2.2.3 The Detailed Visual Inspection	27
2.2.2.4 Pavement Surveillance Measurements	33
2.2.3 <i>Data processing</i>	33
2.2.3.1 General	33
2.2.3.2 Performance Criteria	34
2.2.3.3 Traffic Loading	38
2.2.4 <i>Pavement evaluation and initial structural capacity analysis of uniform sections</i>	42
2.2.4.1 Pavement Evaluation	42
2.2.4.2 Initial Structural Capacity Analysis	46
2.3 DETAILED ASSESSMENT	55
2.3.1 <i>Objectives and Scope</i>	55
2.3.2 <i>Cause and Mechanism of Distress</i>	58
2.3.2.1 General	58
2.3.2.2 Pavement Behaviour	60
2.3.2.3 Distress Manifestations	76
2.3.3 <i>Pavement Situation Identification</i> ^{3,49}	84

2. PAVEMENT CONDITION ASSESSMENT

2.1 GENERAL

The condition assessment forms the foundation of the investigation and design procedure recommended in this document. A road identified for possible rehabilitation through a network PMS cannot be assumed to be uniform in its structural needs. Hence, the primary aims of the condition assessment are to identify uniform pavement sections and to establish general structural and/or functional needs through an initial assessment of the road, as well as to determine the cause and mechanism of distress and the pavement situation through a more detailed assessment. Generally, the initial assessment will cover the whole length of the road, while the detailed assessment will concentrate on sections exhibiting structural deficiencies. This approach ensures that more detailed testing is concentrated on pavement sections about which detailed information is required.

The nature and level of detail of the condition assessment should take into account :

- the class of road,
- the resources available, and
- the quantity and nature of the data already available concerning the pavement.

Depending on the requirements of the client, a preliminary report may be requested at the end of the condition assessment. Such a report may require the identification of preliminary rehabilitation needs and their economic implications. In these cases aspects of Section 3 (Rehabilitation design) and Section 5 (Economic analysis) of this document will have to be consulted in the preparation of the report.

The initial and detailed assessments are often interwoven and therefore grouped together as the condition assessment. However, for the purpose of clarity, the objectives and scope of each are discussed separately.

2.2 INITIAL ASSESSMENT

2.2.1 *Objectives and Scope*

The objectives of the initial assessment are accomplished by:

- recording and processing of data in a form that can be readily used in subsequent detailed investigations,
- dividing the pavement length under examination into sections requiring different measures,
- recommending appropriate measures for the sections that obviously exhibit only surfacing distress or isolated distress, and
- identifying appropriate tests required on specific sections of the pavement for determining, with confidence, the cause and mechanism of distress and the pavement situation.

In achieving the above objectives the initial assessment is divided into:

- gathering of information,
- data processing, and
- pavement evaluation and initial structural capacity analysis of uniform sections.

The recommended approach for the initial assessment is outlined in Figure 4¹³. From this figure it is clear that the gathering of information and the processing and evaluation of data are integrated to allow for the optimal use of available data before embarking on any further testing of the pavement.

The division between network level and project level tasks is not, and should not, be clearly defined. With Pavement Management Systems becoming more advanced, some of the tasks shown in Figure 4¹³ could in time be incorporated into network level assessments.




FIGURE 4¹³
FLOW DIAGRAM OF THE PAVEMENT INITIAL ASSESSMENT AS PART
OF THE CONDITION ASSESSMENT

2.2.2 *Gathering of Information*

2.2.2.1 General

For the condition assessment information is usually obtained by :

- preliminary investigations,
- a detailed visual inspection, and
- pavement surveillance measurements.

2.2.2.2 The Preliminary Investigation

This investigation entails the collection of all available information such as as-built data, pavement structure data and traffic loadings on the pavement, as well as any results from tests previously done on the road (such as information about the history of the pavement condition). Existing PMSs are usually an excellent source for obtaining this information.

A preliminary site inspection is essential especially if the assessor is not familiar with the pavement under investigation.

2.2.2.3 The Detailed Visual Inspection

a) General

The information contained in PMSs with reference to visual inspections is generally of inadequate detail for use in project level rehabilitation investigations. Hence a detailed visual inspection is considered an essential part of a condition assessment.

Distress visible on the pavement surface is recorded in great detail in a way compatible with the eventual evaluation of the data. Of particular importance is the recording of visual clues to the cause and mechanism of distress. These include details such as construction aspects (e.g. cuttings, high embankments, etc), drainage facilities and obvious topography and geology features.

b) Basic requirements

To permit observation of the required detail, it is recommended that the visual survey be carried out by walking to ensure

quality results in relation to the type of distress and length of the project.

It is recommended that the inspection be carried out by two persons who both have a comprehensive knowledge of pavement distress and its causes. It should be carried out in a way that will ensure that confidence exists in the accuracy of the data obtained. Such an investigation should eventually be aimed at including all pertinent detail in the road reserve from fence to fence, although this will usually entail several inspections.

The different modes and types of distress are discussed in detail in TRH6¹⁴ and TMH9¹⁵. These documents, together with the applicable documentation issued by the various road authorities, should be studied before the visual inspection.

The actual visual inspection should also be carefully planned. A form, an example of which is shown in Appendix 1, must be prepared for recording all the relevant information. However, it is important that the detail and the number of variables considered are kept within manageable proportions.

Knowledge of the local geology and of the pavement structure will assist in the interpretation of field observations.

c) Recording of distress in pavements

Visible signs of distress as well as possible clues to the cause of the distress must be recorded. For this purpose, the following information is relevant:

- i. Position of distress,
- ii. Mode and type of distress,
- iii. Degree and extent of distress,
- iv. Position and spacing of distress,
- v. Pertinent construction details and deficiencies,
- vi. Topographical, geological and vegetational clues to the cause of distress, and
- vii. Drainage structures/facilities.

i. *Position of distress*

The inspection must provide an accurate record of the

position of each particular mode and type of distress that is evident. The position is given in relation to the width of the traffic lane, e.g. on the shoulder, in the wheelpath or near the centre line and to its location along the length of the road, i.e. km posts (pre-marking may be necessary).

ii. *Mode and type of distress*

With respect to visible evidence of distress, TRH6¹⁴ and TMH9¹⁵ identifies four main modes. These are:

- Deformation or unevenness,
- Cracking (surfacing or structural problems),
- Disintegration (surfacing or structural problems), and
- Smoothing of the surface texture.

These modes of distress are manifested in several typical ways. These types are listed in Table 1, together with the codes generally used to identify the types on an inspection form (see Appendix 1 for typical forms).

It must be noted that different types of distress within the same mode are generally brought about by different causes. It is therefore important that individual types be recorded separately where practicable. However, a differentiation into all the types listed above will not always be relevant for an assessment, and therefore not essential for every visual survey.

iii. *Degree and extent of distress*

The degree of distress is an indication of the seriousness of the problem. Explanations of the degree into which each type of distress is classified according to TMH9¹⁵ are given in Table 2. More specific criteria for individual distress types are given in TRH6¹⁴ and TMH9¹⁵.

The extent of distress can be given as a proportion of either the length or area of the pavement affected.

iv. *Position and spacing of distress*

The position of distress is given in relation to the width of a traffic lane, e.g. on the shoulder, in the wheelpath, or

near the centre line.

Spacing indicates the distance between the occurrences of a similar type of distress. For example, for transverse cracks the spacing indicates the average distance between the cracks.

TABLE 1: MODES AND TYPES OF DISTRESS AND THEIR TYPICAL CODES

Mode of Distress*	Type of distress	Code
Deformation	Depressions	DE
	Mounds	M
	Ruts	RU
	Ridges	RI
	Displacements	DI
	Corrugations	Co
	Undulations	U
Cracking	Transverse cracks	T
	Longitudinal cracks	L
	Block cracks	B
	Map cracks	MA
	Crocodile cracks	C
	Parabolic cracks	P
	Star cracks	S
	Meandering cracks	ME
	Multiple cracks	MU
Disintegration of surfacing	Ravelling	R
	Potholes	PH
	Edge breaks	EB
	Patches	PA
Smoothing of surface texture	Bleeding	BL
	Polishing	PO

* where possible the origin of the distress within the pavement should be identified during the detailed visual inspection.

TABLE 2: CLASSIFICATION OF DEGREES OF DISTRESS

Degree	Severity	Description
0	-	No distress visible.
1	Slight	Distress difficult to discern. Only slight signs of distress visible.
2	Between slight and warning	Easily discernible distress but of little immediate consequence.
3	Warning	Distress is notable with respect to possible consequences. Start of secondary defects; maintenance is already possible or needed e.g. cracks can be sealed.
4	Between warning and severe	Distress is serious with respect to possible consequences. Secondary defects have developed (noticeable secondary defects) and/or primary defect is serious.
5	Severe	Secondary defects have developed (noticeable secondary defects) and/or extreme degree of primary defect.

v. Pertinent construction details and deficiencies

Visible construction details in the areas of distress, such as the occurrence of distress in a cut or fill, can be important in the assessment of the pavement and must be recorded.

Pavement distress can arise from visible construction deficiencies which should be rectified as part of any rehabilitation strategy. Examples of such deficiencies are: insufficient crossfall, blocked drains, inadequate side drains, etc.

vi. *Topography, geology and vegetation*

Topographical and geological observations and noting of vegetation may provide useful indications of insufficient drainage. Examples of such indications are given below:

- i. Topography: Pavement layers intersect the geological strata and thus obstruct the normal flow of water in the ground. This problem, if present, is usually found in cuts.
- ii. Geology: Strata of varying permeability can be a source of drainage problems. Intrusions or a relatively impervious substratum may prevent the free passage of water, causing it to enter the pavement layers. This commonly occurs where the road elevation is close to ground level with a shallow depth of transported or residual soil over a hard and relatively impervious substratum.
- iii. Vegetation: Drainage problem areas are usually associated with lush vegetation. Specific types of grass or reeds, signs of seepage or erosion and rotting vegetation are positive indications of drainage problems.

vii. *Drainage structures/facilities*

Drainage problems such as blocked or ineffective facilities could be a major cause of distress. Particular attention should be paid to the condition of the existing facilities.

d. *The Inspection Form*

An example of a typical form used for the recording of information during a visual inspection is given in Figure (?) in Appendix 1. Different road authorities may have different requirements of terms of the forms to be used that should be taken into account. For practical reasons it is suggested that codes as given in Table 1, be used to identify the different types of distress. On this form the position and extent of distress are shown graphically and space is provided for this purpose. Allowance should also be made for the aspects related to the recording of pavement performance such as

drainage condition and lush vegetation.

2.2.2.4 Pavement Surveillance Measurements

The need to obtain any additional information through routine, non-destructive surveys of the pavement, using equipment such as the PCA roadmeter (riding quality) or deflectograph, is determined by the detail and volume of information uncovered by the preliminary investigation. Enough information must be collected to allow for the confident division of the pavement into uniform sections taking into account both its functional and structural parameters.

In South Africa riding quality, rut depth and skid resistance measurements are used for assessing the serviceability (functional aspect) of a pavement. Deflection, deflection bowl parameters, Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) and rut depth measurements are used to assess the structural capacity of a pavement. Data representative of both the main groups (functional and structural) should be used in the condition assessment of the pavement. The test frequency^{16,17} depends on a number of factors such as the:

- type of test,
- the variation in the pavement property to be measured,
- road category which will determine the level of service and the accuracy required,
- statistical properties (e.g. distribution) of the measurements, and
- the length of the road sections to be evaluated.

The number of tests should be sufficient to ensure confidence in conclusions.

2.2.3 *Data processing*

2.2.3.1 General

For the effective evaluation of the condition of the pavement the collected data must be categorized against set criteria and presented in a form that facilitates analytical comparison. It is therefore necessary to establish performance criteria for each type of measurement.

With few exceptions, limited research has been undertaken in

South Africa to relate these parameters to the life expectancy of a pavement. However, much experience has been gained over the years in the actual measurement and recording of some of these parameters and this has resulted in the establishment of empirical relationships. The criteria recommended in this document are based on studies^{18,19} of these relationships and others established overseas, as well as on limits accepted in general practice.

Also critical to the evaluation of the pavement is a detailed study of the past traffic loading carried by the existing pavement and a prediction of the future traffic loading expected during the rehabilitation design period.

2.2.3.2 Performance Criteria

a) General

Depending on the parameter under investigation, performance criteria could depend on the category of road (importance and traffic loading), the pavement structure and the drainage (moisture regime) of the pavement. Criteria are established for three condition classifications, i.e. sound, warning and severe, the definition of which depends on the parameter under investigation.

With respect to the present condition of the pavement as recorded during the detailed visual inspection, the criteria refer to acceptability of the pavement in terms of existing distress (e.g. cracking, deformation, etc.). In these cases the criteria are defined as follows:

- sound condition: adequate condition,
- warning condition: uncertainty exists about the adequacy of the condition, and
- severe condition: inadequate condition.

In terms of the measured pavement or pavement layer response the criteria refer to the expected future performance of the pavement. In these cases the criteria are defined as follows:

- sound condition: the measured or recorded parameter is of such magnitude that the pavement should be able to carry the design traffic for the specific category of road without

deteriorating to a critical state (state considered most economical for rehabilitation);

- warning condition: the measured or recorded parameter is of such magnitude that the pavement should be able to carry the minimum design traffic, but not the maximum design traffic for the specific category of road, without reaching a critical state (the pavement is expected to deteriorate to a level between a critical and failed state); and
- severe condition: the measured or recorded parameter is of such magnitude that the pavement is expected to deteriorate beyond a critical state before the minimum design traffic loading for a specific category of road had been reached.

The following aspects are taken into account in the establishment of appropriate performance criteria:

i) Category of road

The road categories used for pavement design in South Africa are given in Table 3²⁰. Criteria are established for A, B and C category roads. Depending on the importance of D category roads, the criteria for either B or C category roads could be used in the analysis of these roads.

ii) Pavement structures

The following pavement structures identified with reference to the materials used in the base of the pavement are considered^{20,23}:

Pavements with:

- cemented materials (CTB),
- bituminous-treated materials (BTB),
- lightly cemented materials (LCTB), and
- natural gravel or untreated materials (NGB) constructed on:
 - an untreated subbase (USB), and
 - a treated subbase (TSB).

TABLE 3²⁰: ROAD CATEGORIES USED FOR PAVEMENT DESIGN IN SOUTH AFRICA

ROAD CATEGORY				
	A	B	C	D
Description	Major interurban freeways and major rural roads	Interurban collectors and rural roads	Lightly trafficked rural roads, strategic roads	Light pavement structure, rural access roads
Importance Service level	Very important Very high level of service	Important High level of service	Less important Moderate level of service	Less important Moderate to low level of service
TYPICAL PAVEMENT CHARACTERISTICS:				
	RISK			
	Very low	Low	Medium	High
Approximate Design Reliability (%)	95	90	80	50
Total Equivalent Traffic Loading (E80/lane)*	3-100 x 10 ⁶ over 20 years	0,3-10 x 10 ⁶ Depending on design strategy	< 3 x 10 ⁶ Depending on design strategy	< 1 x 10 ⁶ Depending on design strategy
Typical Pavement Class**	ES10 - ES100	ES1 - ES10	ES0,003 - ES3	ES0,003 - ES1
Daily Traffic:: (e.v.u)***	> 4 000	600 - 10 000	< 600	< 500
Constructed Riding Quality: PSI**** HRI (mm/m or m/km)	3,5 - 4,5 1,5 - 1,0	3,0 - 4,5 2,0 - 1,0	2,5 - 3,5 2,7 - 1,5	2,0 - 3,5 3,5 - 1,5
Terminal Riding Quality PSI HRI (mm/m or m/km)	2,5 2,4	2,0 3,5	1,8 3,9	1,5 4,5
Warning Rut Level (mm)	10	10	10	10
Terminal Rut Level (mm)	20	20	20	20
Area of road exceeding terminal conditions (%)	5	10	20	50

See Section 2.2.3.3

ES: Equivalent Standard Axle (80 kN) Class. See Table 5

Approximate daily traffic in e.v.u.: Equivalent vehicle unit (1,25 vehicle = 1 e.v.u.)²¹

PSI = Present Serviceability Index, scale 0-5 (TRH14) HRI = Half-car Roughness Index of a single longitudinal profile (left wheel track) in mm/m or m/km. (HRI = 8,470 - 3,112 (PSI) + 0,324 (PSI)²)²²

iii) Moisture regime

For DCP measurements the moisture regime or drainage condition is taken into account. Four conditions are identified²⁴, namely:

- a dry moisture regime or good drainage condition (M1),
- an optimum moisture regime or average drainage condition (M2),
- a wet moisture regime or poor drainage condition (M3), and
- a soaked moisture regime (M4).

b) Recommended Criteria

Performance criteria for the evaluation of the data collected on the pavement, visually as well as with the aid of instruments, are discussed in detail in Appendix 1.

Of particular importance are the recommendations regarding the confidence levels at which pavements should be evaluated. It is considered acceptable for a small percentage of a length of road to perform unsatisfactorily at the end of the rehabilitation design period. This percentage depends on the category of road. The percentile levels recommended are given in Table 4^{13,20}.

TABLE 4^{13,20}: PERCENTILE LEVELS RECOMMENDED FOR DATA PROCESSING

Category of Road	Length of Road Allowed to Perform Unsatisfactorily At the End of its Design Life (%)	Percentile Levels Recommended for Data Processing
A	5	95
B	10	90
C	20	80
D	50	50

2.2.3.3 Traffic Loading

a) General

The "life" of a pavement to a certain level of distress is usually expressed in terms of traffic loading. The load applied to a pavement by a vehicle consists of numerous elements which could include the total vehicle load, axle load, tyre pressure, axle configuration, number of applications, load distribution, frequency of load and type of load, i.e. static, dynamic and braking. In addition these elements are a function of people, land use, legal limits and time. It is clear that an investigation of the individual effect of each of these elements and their permutations would not be practical. For pavement rehabilitation design purposes, the effect of the various elements is combined in a few variables which adequately describe the load applied to the pavement. These variables are the equivalent traffic loading, the rate of accumulation and the frequency of the application.

In South Africa load variables are usually quantified in terms of the accumulated equivalent traffic loading which is calculated as the number of equivalent standard 80 kN single axle loads (E80s) applied to the road over a given period of time. This simplification of the load variables to a single load element assumes that the "order of accumulation of traffic is immaterial to the results"²⁵, and that the effect of any traffic load can be related to an equivalent load. In practice, these assumptions produce satisfactory results.

For rehabilitation design investigations estimates of the past cumulative equivalent 80kN single axle loads (N_p) and future cumulative equivalent traffic loading (N_f) expected over the rehabilitated design period are required. Traffic loading is classified according to the traffic classes shown in Table 5²⁰.

b) Traffic load estimates using information from detailed surveys (Refer to TRH16²⁶)

Where detailed information about the axle loads of vehicles using a pavement is available, such information should be fully utilized. In such cases it is recommended that the differences in the reaction of different materials to loading be taken into account.

TABLE 5²⁰: CLASSIFICATION OF TRAFFIC FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN PURPOSES

Pavement class [*]	Pavement design bearing capacity (million 80 kN axles/lane)	Volume and type of traffic ^{**}	
		Approximate v.p.d. per lane ^{***}	Description
ES0.003	< 0,003	< 3	Very lightly trafficked roads; very few heavy vehicles. These roads could include the transition from gravel to paved roads and may incorporate semi-permanent and/or all weather surfacings.
ES0.01	0,003 - 0,01	3 - 10	
ES0.03	0,01 - 0,03	10 - 20	
ES0.1	0,03 - 0,10	20 - 75	
ES0.3	0,10 - 0,30	75 - 220	
ES1	0,3 - 1	220 - 200	Lightly trafficked roads, mainly cars, light delivery and agriculture vehicles; very few heavy vehicles.
ES3	1 - 3	> 700	Medium volume of traffic, few heavy vehicles.
ES10	3 - 10	> 700****	High volume of traffic and/or many heavy vehicles.
ES30	10 - 30	> 2 200****	Very high volume of traffic and/or a high proportion of fully laden heavy vehicles.
ES100	30 - 100	> 6 500****	

* ES = Equivalent Standard Axle (80 kN) Class.

** Traffic demand in this document converted to Equivalent 80 kN axles.

*** v.p.d. = vehicles per day. The approximate v.p.d. per lane for ES0.003 to ES3 equals to the design bearing capacity, and hence pavement class based on the following: 10 % heavy vehicles from the v.p.d. per lane count, 1,2 E80 per heavy vehicle (from Table 6), 4 % growth rate in E80s (from TRH16²⁶) over a design period²⁰ of 20 years (from TRH4²⁰).

**** For ES10 to ES100 the v.p.d. is total per direction with 20 % heavy, at 2 E80s per heavy vehicle.

The formula used for the determination of equivalent traffic is:

$$F_n = \left(\frac{P}{80} \right)^n$$

where:

- F_n = equivalency factor for load P for the load equivalency coefficient, n
- P = axle load in kN
- n = a coefficient dependent on pavement type and material state. The value at n = 4 is generally used to indicate the average traffic category. (Refer to TRH16²⁶)

The above formula with appropriate "n" values can be used with available data to calculate the E80s at any time in the past. This data can then effectively be used to calculate N_p and N_f where:

past cumulative traffic loading

$$= N_p = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{E_0}{(1+i)^m} = E_0 \left(\frac{(1+i)^{n+1} - 1}{i(1+i)^n} \right)$$

and

expected future cumulative traffic loading

$$= N_f = \sum_{r=1}^x E_0 (1+j)^r = E_0 \left(\frac{(1+j)[(1+j)^x - 1]}{j} \right)$$

where:

- E_0 = annual equivalent 80 kN axle loads in the year of investigation (E80s) (assume the road will be opened the following year)
- i = mean E80 growth rate during the past existence of the pavement (per cent/100)
- n = age of the pavement (years)
- j = expected E80 growth rate during the rehabilitation design period (per cent/100)
- x = rehabilitation design period (years)

c) Traffic load estimates using traffic counts (Refer to TRH16²⁶)

Very little traffic data is available on many roads in South Africa. Often only the traffic compilation or the total number of vehicles and the percentage of heavy vehicles can be obtained. In such cases various assumptions need to be made to estimate N_f and N_p and care should be taken to allow for seasonal variations in traffic when using such data. These calculations are based on the number of heavy vehicles only. Table 6²⁶ is used to estimate the E80s per heavy vehicle, taking into account the loading conditions of the vehicles and/or the type of road.

TABLE 6²⁶: ESTIMATION OF E80S PER HEAVY VEHICLE

Loading of Heavy Vehicles (or type of road)	E80/Heavy Vehicle
Mostly unladen (category, farm to market)	0,6
50 % laden, 50 % unladen (category A or B, major interurban)	1,2
> 70 % fully laden (category A or B, main arterials or major industrial routes)	2,0

The growth rate in E80s is dependent on the growth rate in traffic volume, the growth rate in heavy vehicles as a percentage of the total traffic volume and the growth rate in E80s per heavy vehicle. Taking these factor into account, it is recommended that a low, average and high estimate be calculated.

The various elements mentioned are used in the following formula to calculate the expected growth rate in E80s for the specific road:

Heavy vehicle traffic growth rate (h)

$$= \left(\left(\frac{f}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(1 + \frac{t}{100} \right) - 1 \right) 100$$

where

- f = future percentage heavy vehicles
- p = present percentage heavy vehicles
- n = time period
- t = total traffic growth rate

$$E80 \text{ growth rate} = \left[\left(1 + \frac{h}{100} \right) \left(1 + \frac{v}{100} \right) - 1 \right] 100$$

where

- h = heavy vehicle growth rate
- v = E80/vehicle growth rate

A large number of scenarios may be obtained by combining the low, probable and high estimates of the total traffic growth rate, the change in the percentage heavy vehicle and the E80/vehicle growth rate, giving the range of E80 growth rates that is possible for a specific road. In general, E80 growth rates have shown an increase over the last two decades. Hence, E80 growth rates for the calculation of past cumulative traffic loading would normally be lower than those used for future expected cumulative traffic loading. The cumulative past and future E80 ranges can now be determined as previously shown.

2.2.4 *Pavement evaluation and initial structural capacity analysis of uniform sections*

2.2.4.1 Pavement Evaluation

All information gathered on the road is taken into account by dividing it into uniform pavement sections. It follows that the information needs to be combined in an easily understood and manageable format. Examples of such a procedure are given in Appendix 1. The processed data of a number of kilometres of road should be summarised to facilitate easy interpretation for the identification of uniform pavement sections.

The identified uniform pavement sections need to be divided according to their overall need into categories:

- requiring no action,
- with only surfacing problems,
- with localized problems, and
- requiring probable structural strengthening in terms of life in E80s.

In many cases the division of uniform pavement sections into the above categories may be easy. However, uncertainty often exists and in such cases additional information may be required. Knowledge and experience relating to distress manifestations, together with an initial structural capacity analysis (using easily applied empirical relationships), usually suffice in giving the evidence needed confidently to place a pavement section into a specific category. Fundamental to these analyses is a "best possible" knowledge about the traffic load which the road has already carried, as well as the traffic loading expected over the rehabilitation design period as previously discussed.

Following the procedure outlined in the preceding sections, the assessor should have little difficulty to identify the problems associated with each uniform pavement section. The structural capacity analysis would also have given an indication of the urgency with which each uniform section should receive attention.

A rating system such as that given in Table 6¹³ can be adopted for this purpose.

Table 6¹³: Urgency Rating for Pavement Condition

Urgency	Required Remedial Action
1	No further action required in near future
2	Re-investigate in n year's time
3	Require action within n years - (a holding action may prove appropriate)
4	Rehabilitation should not be postponed
5	In need of immediate rehabilitation (dangerous)

n = f (managerial considerations)

Finally, the required actions for each uniform section in terms of the objectives of the condition assessment phase of the investigation are identified. The pavement sections requiring no action, with surfacing-only problems, with localized problems, and those requiring probable structural strengthening are identified and

discussed.

a) Sections with No Significant Problems

Sections with no significant problems are those which can be economically kept within acceptable levels of serviceability by routine maintenance for the medium term - 8-10 years (urgency rating of 1 and 2).

Such sections are often found between the distressed sections which have prompted the investigation, and can be excluded from further analysis. However, because of the variable nature of distress, care should be taken to determine that the sections do in fact differ significantly from the others and that distress in them is not just being delayed for some reason (the results of the structural capacity analysis are of particular importance).

b) Sections with Obvious Surfacing-only Problems

Sometimes the distress obviously occurs only in the surfacing, and is in no way caused or aggravated by inadequacies in the underlying pavement structure or subgrade.

Distress solely related to the properties of the surfacing can take the form of:

- deformation,
- disintegration or ravelling,
- cracking, and
- loss of skid resistance through bleeding or polishing.

In addition, porous or permeable surfacings can often cause distress and should therefore be recognized as a surfacing problem. Usually bleeding, polishing and ravelling can be identified as surfacing-only problems on the basis of information obtained during the condition assessment. Further detailed testing and analyses are invariably necessary before one can be confident that cracking and/or deformation are surfacing-only problems.

In the absence of other forms of distress, areas exhibiting ravelling, polishing or bleeding, can be classified as having surfacing-only problems. Further tests on the surfacing

material are usually needed to establish the appropriate rehabilitation alternatives. However, these tests should not be considered as part of the subsequent rehabilitation design phase.

The selection and design of maintenance seals and asphalt surfacing do not fall within the scope of this document - these aspects are dealt with in detail in various existing documents such as TRH3²⁷, and TRH8²⁸.

c) Sections Showing Localized Distress

The identification of sections where localized factors are the cause of distress is important for two main reasons, i.e. to:

- prevent an erroneous assessment of the overall structural capacity of the road and subsequently unnecessary or excessive rehabilitation, and
- prevent the application of rehabilitation measures which do not deal with the causes of localized distress and will result in a recurrence of such distress.

In South Africa the main cause of localized distress on rural roads is inadequate surface and subsurface drainage. Poor drainage allows water to accumulate in the subgrade and pavement layers, which ultimately results in a reduction of the strength and load-spreading ability of the layers affected. Valuable clues to drainage problems can be obtained by observing the:

- condition of surface drains,
- topography and geology of the surrounding area, and
- vegetation in the immediate area.

Specific attention must be given during the condition assessment to the identification of these sections. During the visual inspection, or as a result of such an inspection, any additional information or clues about the cause and mechanism of localized distress must be recorded.

Further detailed investigation may be required to establish the exact cause and mechanism of distress for any locally affected area in a particular section.

d) Sections Where Structural Improvement May Be Required

Lengths of pavements which, after the initial assessment, do not fit into any of the above categories or about which there is still uncertainty as to the exact nature of the distress, may be structurally inadequate and will require further analyses. These sections are dealt with in the detailed assessment phase of the condition assessment.

However, an attempt should be made during the initial assessment to indicate the nature and urgency of the problem and the nature of more detailed additional testing that should be done on these sections during the next phase of an investigation.

Naturally, further detailed testing may well identify some of the sections as exhibiting only localized distress or surfacing-only problems.

2.2.4.2 Initial Structural Capacity Analysis

a) General

The objective of the structural capacity analysis is to determine the remaining life (if any) of the existing pavement, i.e. the number of E80s it will still be able to carry before it reaches a critical level of distress. This is particularly relevant where non-strengthening rehabilitation measures appear appropriate or when a high increase in traffic loading is anticipated.

The capacity analysis will determine the possible distress of the pavement through normal traffic-induced forces during the rehabilitation design period. Where failure is likely during this period, the pavement section should be identified for rehabilitation, e.g. requiring structural strengthening.

Various pavement analysis methods may be used to determine the pavement's structural capacity. At the condition assessment phase of a rehabilitation investigation it is recommended that the structural capacity of the pavement be determined using a simple, empirically derived relationship. A more detailed and/or sophisticated analysis of the pavement sections will be done during the rehabilitation design phase of

the investigation. Although any applicable method may be used to determine the structural capacity of the uniform pavement sections, three methods based on different pavement measurements are summarized. These are based on the following parameters:

- Surface deflection,
- Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) measurements, and
- California Bearing Ratio (CBR).

These methods are empirically based and have limitations in their applicability^{3,29}. Before using any of these methods, users are referred to Appendix 2, where the assumptions, limitations and advantages of these methods are discussed in more detail.

b) Methods Based on Surface Deflection Measurements

The use of deflection measurements to assess future pavement behaviour has been well established throughout the world and the availability of deflection measuring devices makes this an attractive procedure in South Africa. The deflection method recommended for use is the method^{21,30,31,32,33} developed at the Transport and Road Research Laboratory (TRRL) in England. This method takes into account the type of base material in the prediction of remaining life, but is applicable only to a maximum of 10×10^6 E80s.

This method is based on the standard measurement of deflections using the Benkelman Beam as described in the CSIR Manual K16³⁴. Measurements taken with any other instrument or using any other procedure should be converted to standard deflections measured with the Benkelman Beam. Care should be taken with such conversions since relationships³⁵ between instruments could depend on variables such as the type of pavement.

The design graphs in Figure 5(a), (b), (c) and (d) are used to predict the remaining life in term of E80s to a rut depth of 10 mm respectively for pavements containing:

- non-cemented granular bases,
- aggregates exhibiting a natural cementing action,




FIGURE 5(a)
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STANDARD DEFLECTION AND LIFE FOR
PAVEMENTS WITH NON-CEMENTED GRANULAR ROAD BASES




FIGURE 5(b)
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STANDARD DEFLECTION AND LIFE FOR
PAVEMENTS WITH GRANULAR ROAD BASES WHOSE AGGREGATES
EXHIBIT A NATURAL CEMENTING ACTION




FIGURE 5(c)
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STANDARD DEFLECTION AND LIFE FOR
PAVEMENTS WITH BITUMINOUS ROAD BASES




FIGURE 5(d)
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STANDARD DEFLECTION AND LIFE FOR
PAVEMENTS WITH CEMENT-BOUND ROAD BASES

- bitumen-bound bases, and
- cement-bound bases.

Selection of the appropriate chart takes place on the basis of the type of material used in the pavement structure. A pavement is classified as having a cement-bound base if more than 100 mm of such material are present in the pavement structure, even if located beneath a considerable thickness of bituminous surfacing and roadbase material. Similarly, a pavement is classified as having a bitumen-bound base when more than 150 mm of bituminous material are present in the pavement structure (provided that there are not more than 100 mm of cement bound material present).

The bituminous layers may be separated by layers of granular material. A pavement is classified as having a granular base with natural cementing action when more than 150 mm of this material is present in the pavement structure (provided that any cement-bound layer is less than 100 mm thick and that less than 150 mm of bituminous-bound layers are present in the pavement). If none of the above applies, the pavement is classified as having a granular road base.

Some restrictions apply to the use of the chart for pavements with more than 100 mm of cement-bound materials. It is realized that the performance of pavements with cemented layers could depend on deterioration associated with shrinkage cracking reflected from the cemented layers. For this reason the normal critical curve for cumulative loading (in excess of 10×10^6 E80) only applies to pavements with a bituminous cover of more than 175 mm. As shown by the broken line in Figure 5(d), the expected life (in terms of traffic loading) of these pavements with thinner surfacings is much reduced.

Maximum surface deflections will not give a good indication of expected life of pavements with cement-bound layers under the following conditions:

- i. When a structural weakness is present in the top cemented layer (in this case deflections are kept relatively low by the presence of an undamaged lower cement layer), and
- ii. With weak cemented materials where the aggregate grading has little mechanical stability (low deflections may

be present in early life but cracking leads to early disintegration).

With the surface deflection, the past cumulative equivalent 80 kN axle loads (E80s) and the type of pavement known, the charts in Figures 5(a) to 5(d) are used to estimate the residual life of the pavement, as illustrated in Figure 6 with the following data:

Type of pavement: granular base with aggregates exhibiting a natural cemented action

Past E80 : 3×10^6 E80

Standard deflection : 0,38 mm (80 kN single axle load).

From Figure 6 : Expected residual life

= $11 \times 10^6 - 3 \times 10^6$ E80

= 8×10^6 E80

Deflection basin parameters³⁶ calculated from Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) measurements as discussed in Appendix 1, can also be used to obtain an indication of the structural capacity of uniform pavement sections.

Figure (?) in Appendix 1 is used to obtain the structural bearing capacity of the different components within a pavement. Provision is made for the assessment of three different types of pavement, i.e.:

- granular base pavements,
- bitumen treated base pavements, and
- stabilised gravel base pavements.

The bearing capacity of the base layer (using the Base Layer Index or Surface Curvature Index), the middle layers in a pavement (using the Middle Layer Index or Base Damage Index) and the lower layers (using the Lower Layer Index or Base Curvature Index) are obtained from Figure (?)³⁶. The lowest bearing capacity of the three measurements is considered to be the bearing capacity of the pavement structure as a whole.

Similar to the previously discussed methods, the past cumulative traffic loading should be taken into account in the calculation of the remaining life (residual life) of the pavement.




FIGURE 6
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STANDARD DEFLECTION AND LIFE FOR
PAVEMENTS WITH GRANULAR ROAD BASES WHOSE AGGREGATES
EXHIBIT A NATURAL CEMENTING ACTION
- DESIGN EXAMPLE -

c) Method based on DCP measurements

The Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) has been used for a number of years by engineers in South Africa as a non-destructive testing (NDT) device to measure the in-situ bearing capacity of pavements. The method used to analyse the bearing capacity of pavements using DCP measurements as described in this document, was developed at the then Transvaal Roads Department^{24,37,38,39,40,41}.

The DCP instrument measures the penetration per blow into a pavement through all the different pavement layers. This penetration is a function of the in-situ shear strength of the material. The penetration-depth profile gives an indication of the in-situ properties of the materials in all the pavement layers up to the depth of penetration which is normally 800 mm for road pavements.

The total number of blows required to penetrate the pavement layers to a depth of 800 mm (DSN_{800}), for a reasonably balanced pavement, is used in Figure 7 to determine the bearing capacity of the pavement to a rut depth of 20 mm. The existing rut depth on the pavement section and the past cumulative traffic loading that has used the road since construction should be taken into account in calculating the remaining "life" of the pavement.

d) Methods based on CBR measurements

The analysis⁴² is based on the measurement of the soaked CBR of the subgrade. It is recommended that at least one CBR test be done every 500 m. The Design Subgrade Strength (DSS) value is defined as the subgrade strength value that is equal to or less than approximately 90 per cent of all test values (minimum of five tests recommended) in a section.

The representative effective thickness (T_e) of the pavement layers above the subgrade is determined. The effective thickness of the existing pavement is defined as the equivalent thickness of full-depth asphalt that would have the same strength as the total of the pavement layers above the subgrade of the existing pavement.




FIGURE 7
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PAVEMENT BEARING CAPACITY IN NUMBER
OF E80S AND THE PAVEMENT STRUCTURE NUMBER (DSN₈₀₀)

T_e is calculated by taking into account the type of material and condition of each of the pavement layers. For the different materials the following information is of importance:

- granular material: thickness of material and classification as base, subbase or improved subgrade quality material,
- asphalt material: thickness, type and condition of layer, and
- cemented materials: thickness, condition and support of the layer.

The evaluation of the condition of the pavement layers is done subjectively. Conversion factors (f) for each of the pavement layers above the subgrade are given in Table 7⁴².

$$T_e = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i t_i$$

where

- t_i = thickness of the i th layer
- f_i = conversion factor of the i th layer
- n = number of pavement layers above the subgrade

The DSS, together with T_e , is used in Figure 8⁴² to get an indication of the remaining life of the pavement.

2.3 DETAILED ASSESSMENT

2.3.1 Objectives and Scope

The detailed assessment deals with lengths of road that have been identified as probably requiring structural improvement. The aim is to obtain sufficient knowledge about the length of pavement to enable a confident decision to be taken on the rehabilitation strategy to be followed. This is accomplished by:

- the determination of the cause and mechanism of distress in each uniform pavement section, and
- a description of the pavement situation as represented by each uniform pavement section.

**Table 7⁴²: Conversion Factors* for Converting Thickness of Existing Pavement Components to Effective Thickness (Fe)
(After Manual Ms-17⁴²)**

Classification of material	Description of material	Conversion factors
I	Native subgrade in all cases.	0
II	a. Improved subgrade. Predominantly granular materials - may contain some silt and clay but have P.I. of 10 or less b. Lime modified subgrade constructed from high-plasticity soils - P.I. greater than 10.	0,0 - 0,2
III	a. Granular subbase or base - Reasonably well-graded, hard aggregate with some plastic fines and CBR not less than 20, use upper part of range if P.I. is more than 6. b. Cement modified subbase and bases constructed from low plasticity soils - P.I. of 10 or less	0,1 - 0,3
IV	a. Granular base - Non-plastic granular material complying with established standards for high quality aggregate base. Use upper part of range. b. Asphalt surface mixtures having large well defined crack patterns, spalling along the cracks, exhibit appreciable deformation in the wheel paths showing some evidence of instability.	0,3 - 0,5
	c. Portland cement concrete pavement that has been broken into small pieces, two feet or less in maximum dimension, prior to overlay construction. Use upper part of range when subbase is present; lower part of range when slab is on subgrade. d. Soil-cement bases that have developed extensive pattern cracking, as shown by reflected surface cracks, may exhibit pumping, and pavement shows minor evidence of instability.	0,3 - 0,5
V	a. Asphalt surfaces and underlying asphalt bases** that exhibit appreciable cracking and crack patterns, but little or no spalling along the cracks, and while exhibiting some wheel path deformation, remain essentially stable. b. Appreciably cracked and faulted portland cement concrete pavement that cannot be effectively undersealed. Slab fragments, ranging in size from approximately one to four square yards, are well seated on the subgrade by heavy pneumatic rolling. c. Soil-cement bases that exhibit little cracking, as shown by reflected surface crack patterns, and that are under stable surfaces.	0,5 - 0,7
VI	a. Asphalt concrete surfaces that exhibit some cracking, small intermittent cracking patterns and slight deformation in the wheel paths but remain stable. b. Liquid asphalt mixtures that are stable, generally uncracked, show no bleeding, and exhibit little deformation in the wheel paths. c. Asphalt treated base, other than asphalt concrete.** d. Portland Cement concrete pavement that is stable and undersealed has some cracking but contains no pieces smaller than about one square m.	0,7 - 0,9
VII	a. Asphalt concrete, including asphalt concrete base generally uncracked, and with little deformation in the wheel paths. b. Portland cement concrete pavement that is stable, undersealed and generally uncracked. 0,9 - 1,0 c. Portland cement concrete base, under asphalt surface that is stable, non-pumping and exhibits little reflected surface cracking.	0,9 - 1,0

* Values and ranges of Conversion Factors are multiplying factors for conversion of thickness of existing structural layers to equivalent thickness of asphalt concrete.

** Asphalt concrete base, asphalt macadam base, plant-mix base, asphalt mixed-in-place base.




FIGURE 8⁴²
THICKNESS REQUIREMENTS FOR ASPHALT PAVEMENT STRUCTURES
USING SUBGRADE SOIL CBR

The cause and mechanism of distress of a pavement forms an important aspect of the pavement situation which may not be known at this stage of the investigation. To identify, with confidence, the cause and mechanism of distress of each uniform section, full use should be made of experience on pavement behaviour and distress manifestations and of all available results of tests and information gained during the initial assessment, before embarking on any further investigation.

The accurate description of the pavement situation which includes the pavement material, loading, environmental and distress conditions, will assist greatly in the correct analysis and rehabilitation design of each pavement section.

The investigation procedure for the detailed part of the condition assessment is outlined in Figure 9¹³.

2.3.2 *Cause and Mechanism of Distress*

2.3.2.1 General

The understanding of the behaviour of a pavement is the key to the identification of the origin and hence, the cause and mechanism of distress. Where distress is manifested in a typical manner and this has been observed during the initial assessment, the cause and mechanism of distress and hence the pavement situation may be easy to verify. In this case no further testing may be required before embarking on the selection of applicable rehabilitation design methods and the design of the structural strengthening needed.

However, when doubt exists about the exact cause and mechanism of distress, analytical procedures together with tests should be used to obtain confidence as to the cause and mechanism of distress. Information gained during the initial assessment (e.g. pavement type and observed distress) is used to identify the possible causes of distress. Empirical manipulations of data such as DCP penetrations, deflections, rut depth and traffic could, for example, give an indication of the most probable cause of distress. Confidence to proceed with the rehabilitation investigation is gained by verifying this probable cause and mechanism of distress with appropriate tests.




FIGURE 9¹³
FLOW DIAGRAM OF PAVEMENT DETAILED ASSESSMENT
AS PART OF THE CONDITION ASSESSMENT

If the tests do not confirm what was expected, the process must be repeated, but only if more information is likely to change the decision on the rehabilitation strategy to be adopted. More tests may be needed and this cycle is repeated (as shown in Figure 9) until the cause of distress can be positively identified, or until it becomes apparent that more information will have little effect on the decision. With the cause of distress confidently determined, the pavement situation can be defined for each uniform section, which is then used to select applicable rehabilitation design methods in the next phase of the rehabilitation investigation.

A large number of different pavement tests are available for use in South Africa. At the detailed assessment phase of an investigation, tests used for the structural evaluation of a pavement are applicable.

A summary of the structural parameters and test most commonly used in South Africa during the detailed assessment is given in Table 8¹⁶.

2.3.2.2 Pavement Behaviour

a) General

Pavement behaviour is a function of the initial as-built construction composition of the pavement, the load carried by the pavement and the environment in which it operates. The category of the pavement, as shown in Table 3, which depends on the importance of the road in terms of the traffic loading, road function, etc., can give a good initial indication of the original as-built strength of the pavement.

In addition to the as-built strength, pavement behaviour is controlled by the behaviour of the materials in the various pavement layers. In this regard, the type and behaviour of the material in the base course of the pavement is of particular importance, because:

- it is close to the surface of the road and distress in the base often reflects through to the surface of the road, and
- it has little protection in terms of materials covering the layer and hence, a relatively high quality and load-bearing strength is usually required from the base course.

Consequently, pavements are often described in terms of the materials contained in the base of the pavement. The following pavement types are identified which are of importance in terms of their distinctly different behaviour patterns:

- bitumen-treated base (BTB) pavements,
- cement-treated base pavements (CTB),
- lightly Cementitious base (LCTB) pavements, and
- granular base (GB) pavements.

Under the action of traffic and the environment, the materials in the various pavement layers may change with time. Consequently, at the time of the rehabilitation investigation treated layers could have broken down and should rather be classified and analysed similar to granular layers. In this case, the layers will be classified as equivalent granular layers.

b) Pavement balance

Pavement balance may be described as the relative relationship between the load bearing properties of the adjacent pavement layers. When the characteristics of the layers are such that a gradual change in these properties throughout the pavement structure is present, resulting in relatively low stress or strain concentrations, the pavement is considered to be in-balance. However, when adjacent layers have vastly different strength characteristics, a sudden change in the load bearing properties of the layer in the pavement would be present, resulting in high stress or strain concentrations. Such a pavement would be considered as poorly-balanced. (For an in-depth discussion on pavement balance, readers are referred to References 43 and 44.)

Pavements are constructed (within practical limitations) with all layers fulfilling specifications which depend on the required "strength" of a specific layer. Consequently, relatively large differences in the structural strength and bearing capacity between adjacent layers may exist. In these cases, the strength of the layers may not be balanced and the pavement structure may be classified^{43,44} as being poorly balanced.

In time, under the action of traffic, pavement layers tend to become balanced in terms of the bearing capacity of the pavement. These concepts are particularly well developed in

the use of the Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) as described in detail in several documents^{43,44}. The classification⁴⁴ of the pavement in terms of strength-balance gives invaluable information and insight into the expected future behaviour of the pavement.

Poorly balanced pavements usually contain layers which are relatively stronger or weaker in terms of the rest of the pavement. These layers can be identified and the potential influence of such layers can be assessed in the mechanistic modelling of the pavement.

Pavements identified as containing shallow structures have most of their relative strength concentrated in the top of the pavement structure and usually consist of one or two thin, strong and relatively rigid top layers and supporting layers of which the strength support declines sharply with depth. In contrast, deep pavement structures consist of a number of layers of similar strength with depth. This information is often of importance in confirming the mode of failure which occurred, or which can be expected to occur in a pavement.

c) Pavement state

It is clear that pavements may go through various phases describing changes in behaviour and hence pavement condition. Usually, a pavement will change from a stiff to more flexible type of pavement. Deflection or deflection bowl parameters can be used to more closely identify the state of behaviour of a pavement according to Table 9⁴⁵ and discussed in more detail in Appendix 1.

TABLE 9⁴⁵: RANGES FOR BEHAVIOUR STATES

BEHAVIOUR	DEFLECTION BASIN PARAMETER RANGES				
	δ^*	$SD^*(10^{-6})^*$	SCI*	BDI*	BCI*
Very stiff	< 0,2	< 50	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01
Stiff	0,2-0,4	50-400	0,01-0,2	0,01-0,1	0,01-0,05
Flexible	0,4-0,6	400-750	0,2-0,4	0,1-0,15	0,05-0,08
Very flexible	> 0,6	> 750	> 0,4	> 0,15	> 0,08

- Parameters as defined in Appendix 1

d) Material state

As mentioned, pavement behaviour is controlled by the behaviour of the materials in the various layers. In considering general trends in the behaviour of pavements containing different pavement materials, it must be remembered that the state of the materials changes with time. Consequently, the general trends in behaviour which are discussed refer to the original as built state of the material. It is important to realise that the state of materials of a pavement under investigation may differ considerably from the original as-built state. Pavement materials are classified according to codes and properties shown in Table 10²⁰. However, the properties of a cracked or wet material may differ vastly from the original material.

i) Granular pavement layers



The general trends in behaviour of granular layers in terms of deformation and the effective dynamic modulus of the layer, are illustrated in Figure 10⁴⁶. It is seen that in the initial phase of the behaviour of layers consisting of granular materials, some deformation occurs in the wheel tracks.

This deformation is usually referred to as post-construction deformation, during which the layer further densifies under the action of traffic. It follows that the effective strength or bearing capacity of the layer may improve during this phase and an increase in the effective dynamic modulus of the layer may occur.

The amount of post-construction compaction depends on the bearing capacity (strength) of the layer (in relation to that of the pavement structure as a whole) achieved during the construction of the layer and the quality of the layer. (Bearing capacity is inter alia a function of density, moisture, etc.) The higher the quality of the layer, the higher the specified level of compaction and consequently, the lower the expected initial densification, as illustrated in Figure 11⁴⁶.

Following a phase of initial densification (traffic moulding), the layer usually enters a stable phase during which little deformation occurs (depending on the bearing capacity).

**TABLE 10: MATERIAL SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATED SPECIFICATIONS
USED IN SOUTH AFRICA**

SYMBOL	CODE	MATERIAL	ABBREVIATED SPECIFICATIONS
	G1	Graded crushed stone	Dense - graded unweathered crushed stone; Maximum size 37,5 mm; 86 - 88 % apparent relative density; Soil fines PI < 4
	G2	Graded crushed stone	Dense - graded crushed stone; Maximum size 37,5 mm; 100 - 102 % Mod. AASHTO or 85 % bulk relative density; Soil fines PI < 6
	G3	Graded crushed stone	Dense - graded stone and soil binder; Maximum size 37,5 mm; 98 - 100 % Mod. AASHTO ; Soil fines PI < 6
	G4	Crushed or natural gravel	Minimum CBR = 80 % @ 98 % Mod. AASHTO; Maximum size 37,5 mm; 98 - 100 % Mod. AASHTO; PI < 6; Maximum Swell 0,2 % @ 100 % Mod. AASHTO. For calcrete PI ≤ 8
	G5	Natural gravel	Minimum CBR = 45 % @ 95 % Mod. AASHTO; Maximum size 63 mm or 2/3 of layer thickness; Density as per prescribed layer usage; PI < 10; Maximum swell 0,5 % @ 100 % Mod. AASHTO *
	G6	Natural gravel	Minimum CBR = 25 % @ 95 % Mod. AASHTO; Maximum size 63 mm or 2/3 of layer thickness; Density as per prescribed layer usage; PI < 12; Maximum swell 1,0 % @ 100 % Mod. AASHTO *
	G7	Gravel / Soil	Minimum CBR = 15 % @ 93 % Mod. AASHTO; Maximum size 2/3 of layer thickness; Density as per prescribed layer usage; PI < 12 or 3GM** + 10; Maximum swell 1,5 % @ 100 % Mod. AASHTO ***
	G8	Gravel / Soil	Minimum CBR = 10 % @ 93 % Mod. AASHTO; Maximum size 2/3 of layer thickness; Density as per prescribed layer usage; PI < 12 or 3GM** + 10; Maximum swell 1,5 % @ 100 % Mod. AASHTO ***
	G9	Gravel / Soil	Minimum CBR = 7 % @ 93 % Mod. AASHTO; Maximum size 2/3 of layer thickness; Density as per prescribed layer usage; PI < 12 or 3GM** + 10; Maximum swell 1,5 % @ 100 % Mod. AASHTO ***
	G10	Gravel / Soil	Minimum CBR = 3 % @ 93 % Mod. AASHTO; Maximum size 2/3 of layer thickness; Density as per prescribed layer usage; or 90% Mod. AASHTO






* For calcrete PI ≤ 15 on condition that the Linear Shrinkage (LS) does not exceed 6 %.

** GM = Grading Modulus (TRH14, 1985) =
$$\frac{300 - [P_{2,00\text{mm}} + P_{0,425\text{mm}} + P_{0,075\text{mm}}]}{100}$$

where $p_{2,00}$ etc., denote the percentage passing through the sieve size .

*** For calcrete PI ≤ 17 on condition that the Linear Shrinkage (LS) does not exceed 7 %.


**TABLE 10: MATERIAL SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATED SPECIFICATIONS
USED IN SOUTH AFRICA (Continue)**

SYMBOL	CODE	MATERIAL	ABBREVIATED SPECIFICATIONS
	C1	Cemented crushed stone or gravel	UCS**** : 6,0 to 12,0 MPa at 100 % Mod. AASHTO; Specification at least G2 before treatment; Dense - graded; Maximum aggregate 37,5 mm
	C2	Cemented crushed stone or gravel	UCS : 3,5 to 6,0 MPa at 100 % Mod. AASHTO; Minimum ITS ***** = 400 kPa at 95 - 97 % Mod. AASHTO compaction; Specification at least G2 or G4 before treatment; Dense - graded; Max. aggregate 37,5 mm; Max. fines loss = 5 %*****
	C3	Cemented natural gravel	UCS : 1,5 to 3,5 MPa at 100 % Mod. AASHTO; Minimum ITS***** = 250 kPa at 95 - 97 % Mod. AASHTO compaction; Maximum aggregate 63 mm; 5 % Maximum PI = 6 after stabilization; Max. fines loss = 20 %
	C4	Cemented natural gravel	UCS : 0,75 to 1,5 MPa at 100 % Mod. AASHTO; Minimum ITS***** = 200 kPa at 95 - 97 % Mod. AASHTO compaction; Maximum aggregate 63 mm; 5 % Maximum PI = 6 after stabilization; Max. fines loss = 30 %
	BEM	Bitumen emulsion Modified gravel	Residual bitumen: 0,6 - 1,5 % (SABITA, manual 14, 1993); Minimum CBR = 45 and Minimum UCS = 500 kPa @ 95 % Mod. AASHTO. Compaction: 100 - 102 % Mod. AASHTO
	BES	Bitumen emulsion Stabilized gravel	Residual bitumen 1,5 - 5,0 % (SABITA, manual 14, 1993); Minimum ITS***** = 100 kPa; Minimum resilient modulus 1000 kPa. Compaction: 100 - 102% Mod. AASHTO
	BC1 BC2 BC3 BS	Hot - mix asphalt Hot - mix asphalt Hot - mix asphalt Hot - mix asphalt	LAMBS; Max. size 53 mm (SABITA, manual 13, 1993) Continuously graded; Max. size 37,5 mm Continuously graded; Max. size 26,5 mm Semi - gap graded; Max. size 37,5 mm
	AG AC AS AO AP	Asphalt surfacing Asphalt surfacing Asphalt surfacing Asphalt surfacing Asphalt surfacing	Gap graded (TRH 8, 1987) Continuously graded (TRH 8, 1987) Semi - gap graded (TRH 8, 1987) Open graded (TRH 8, 1987) Porous (Drainage) asphalt (SABITA, manual 17, 1994)
	S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 S7 S8 S9	Surface treatment Surface treatment Sand seal Cape seal Slurry Slurry Slurry Surface renewal Surface renewal	Single seal (TRH 3, 1996) Multiple seal (TRH 3, 1996) See TRH 3, 1996 See TRH 3, 1996 Fine grading Medium grading Coarse grading Rejuvenator Diluted emulsion
	WM1 WM2 PM DR	Waterbound macadam Waterbound macadam Penetration macadam Dumprock	Max. size 75 mm; Max.PI of fines = 6; 88 - 90 % apparent relative density Max. size 75 mm; Max.PI of fines = 6; 86 - 88 % apparent relative density Coarse stone + keystone + bitumen Upgraded waste rock, maximum size 2/3 layer thickness


**** UCS: Unconfined Compressive Strength (TMH 1, 1979, Method A14)

***** ITS: Indirect Tensile Strength (SABITA Manual 14, 1993)

***** Durability (TMH 1, 1979, Method A19)



Change in permanent deformation



Change in effective dynamic modulus

FIGURE 10⁴⁶
INDICATORS OF THE BEHAVIOUR OF PAVEMENT LAYERS
CONSTRUCTED WITH GRANULAR MATERIALS (GM)




FIGURE 11⁴⁶
SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF THE RELATIVE BEHAVIOUR OF
GRANULAR MATERIAL OF DIFFERENT QUALITIES

The rate of increase in deformation during this phase again depends on the initial quality of the material. The effective elastic modulus may show some change as a result of an adjustment in the balance of the pavement which may occur under the action of traffic loading. Layers with a relatively high strength within the pavement structure may de-densify, while relatively weak layers may show some increase in strength, due to densification (traffic moulding).

Untreated sub-layers usually fail when the shear strength of a layer is exceeded. Where the initial quality of the material is poor with a resultant low bearing capacity, high traffic loadings may result in the quick shear failure of the layer and Phase 2 in the behaviour of the layer, as illustrated in Figure 10⁴⁶, may be very short or even non-existent.

In Phase 3, the layer shows an increase in the rate of deformation and a relatively quick decrease in its effective elastic modulus. This may be caused by an increase in moisture content (cracking of surface and ingress of water through the open cracks) resulting in a sudden decrease in bearing capacity and/or shear failure of the material.

ii) Light cementitious pavement layers

Pavement layers consisting of lightly cementitious materials may behave in two distinctly different ways. When most of the strength of the pavement is concentrated in the cement-treated layers (shallow pavement⁴⁴), the layer usually fails in tension. In such cases, the behaviour of the layer is similar to the behaviour of relatively strong cement-treated layers.

In cases where the strength of the pavement is distributed in depth through the pavement (deep structure⁴⁴), the lightly cementitious layer may fail due to crushing⁴⁷ of the top of the layer. The general trends in behaviour of such layers in terms of pavement deformation and the effective elastic properties of the layers, are illustrated in Figure 12.

During the initial phase of behaviour the layer is still intact and shows little deformation and a relatively high effective elastic modulus. However, cracking may develop relatively

early and the first phase of the behaviour as illustrated in Figure 12, may be very short, or even non-existing.

With the development of cracks at the top of the layer, the compressive strength of the layer is exceeded and crushing of the layer takes place. This phase of behaviour is characterised through a quick reduction in the effective elastic modulus of the layer and an increase in the rate of deformation as shown in Figure 12.


The crumbling of the layer continues until the layer is completely broken down. The layer is now in an equivalent granular state and behaves as discussed for granular pavement layers.

iii) Cement-treated pavement layers


General trends of behaviour of relatively strong cement-treated layers in terms of permanent deformation and effective elastic modulus are shown in Figure 13^{3,46}. Most of the relative strength of a pavement with cement-treated layers are usually concentrated in these layers. As a result, these layers usually fail in tension (fatigue).

Initially, the cement-treated layer will show virtually no increase in rut depth and the layer will have a relatively high effective elastic modulus. Typical block cracking (spacing 4-5 m), due to shrinkage, may develop early in the life of the pavement and will most probably reflect through to the surface of the pavement if the layer is not isolated from the surface by granular inter-layers. (In some cases this may not even prevent the cracks from reflecting through to the surface of the road.) If water is prevented from entering through these cracks, the shrinkage cracking will have no or little effect on the future behaviour of the pavement and the pavement will still be in a state of behaviour similar to the pre-cracked phase as shown in Figure 13^{3,46}.

However, as a result of fatigue from trafficking and the relative weakness of cement in tension, many cement-treated layers soon develop micro-cracks. These cracks result in a reduction in the effective elastic modulus of the




Change in permanent deformation




Change in effective dynamic modulus

FIGURE 12
INDICATORS OF THE BEHAVIOUR OF PAVEMENT LAYERS CONSISTING
OF LIGHTLY CEMENT-TREATED MATERIALS (LCTM) OR LAYERS
CONSTRUCTED WITH MATERIALS EXHIBITING A NATURAL CEMENTING
ACTION IN PAVEMENTS WITH A DEEP STRUCTURE



Change in permanent deformation



Change in effective dynamic modulus

FIGURE 13^{3,46}
INDICATORS OF THE BEHAVIOUR OF PAVEMENT LAYERS CONTAINING CEMENT-TREATED MATERIALS (CTM)

layer. The layer will still appear intact in the large blocks due to shrinkage cracking and the rate of deformation (rutting) will still be low.

The development of micro-cracks will continue up to a point where the layer breaks down into chunks. The breaking down of the layer usually happens relatively quickly. The layer now has little resemblance of the initial cement-treated layer. The behaviour of the layer is now similar to that of a granular layer as discussed previously. The quality of this equivalent granular layer is usually somewhat better than the quality of the virgin material originally used to construct the cement-treated layer.

Some variations⁴⁸ in the behaviour of cement-treated materials may occur. Depending on the pavement composition, the point of maximum tension may not be situated at the bottom of the layer. In such cases, a crack may develop within the layer and part of the layer (usually the upper part) may break up long before the rest of the layer. In this case the top part of the cement-treated layer will form a weaker equivalent granular inter-layer.

iv) Bitumen-treated pavement layers

Bitumen-treated layers are usually found at or near the surface of the pavement. Such a layer may be very thin and only acts as a protection of the lower structural layers, or it may also act as an additional structural layer of considerable thickness. Some of the sub-layers in pavements may also be treated with bitumen and show similar characteristics to that of asphalt surfacings.

Pavement layers consisting of bitumen-treated materials (BTM) are more water resistant than layers containing cement-treated materials. Although BTM layers usually also fail in tension, they are more flexible than CTMs and can, as a rule accommodate higher deflections for the same traffic loading. However, BTM layers are visco-elastic in behaviour and hence, temperature susceptible and may deform under high temperatures and high wheel loadings.

General trends in the behaviour of bitumen-treated


pavement layers in terms of permanent deformation and the effective elastic modulus of the layer are shown in Figure 14⁴⁶. Bitumen-treated layers usually show a general increase in deformation under the action of traffic from the time of construction. The rate of deformation of the BTM layer is strongly dependent on the properties of the mix, especially, the grading of the aggregate. The rate of deformation may decrease with time because of an increase in the stiffness of the binder in the layer due to ageing. However, an increase in the stiffness of the mix will make the mix more prone to fatigue and thus, cracking.

Similar to CTM layers, cracking usually starts at the bottom of the layer. However, the point of maximum strain does not always occur at the bottom of the layer and cracking may also start at a point within the layer or at the top of the layer. Low temperatures, resulting in a relatively high modulus at the top of the asphalt layer, together with the surface effect of ageing, may result in the quick development of cracking at the top of the BTM layer. Cracking will result in a decrease in the general effective modulus of the layer.


At the end of the fatigue life of the BTM layer, the layer will break up into chunks which have little resemblance of the original layer. At this stage, behaviour of the layer below the surfacing is similar to that of a granular layer as described previously. The quality of the equivalent layer strongly depends on the quality of the original BTM. Similar to granular materials an initial densification will also take place before the rate of deformation reduces.

The addition of low percentages (1 to 3 per cent) of bitumen-emulsion with or without the addition of other stabilising agents forms the basis for the construction of emulsion-treated layers. These layers are usually constructed as sub-layers and show similar qualities as BTM layers, including:

- high resistance to water,
- highly flexible, and
- tolerance towards high deflections under conditions of relatively high traffic loading without showing excessive deformation.



Change in permanent deformation



Change in effective dynamic modulus

FIGURE 14⁴⁶
INDICATORS OF THE BEHAVIOUR OF PAVEMENT LAYERS
CONSTRUCTED WITH BITUMEN-TREATED MATERIALS (BTM)

2.3.2.3 Distress Manifestations

a) General

The mode (cracking and/or deformation) and type of distress can often indicate the need for structural strengthening. Cracking and/or deformation can originate in any of the pavement layers or subgrade.

The type of distress and visual recognition thereof can provide valuable input for the identification of a problem in any uniform pavement section. Hence the recognition of these visual indicators of problems could be of much help in the condition assessment of the road.

b) Deformation

i. Deformation caused by surfacing inadequacies or problems

Premature rutting or corrugations may be caused by surfacing problems only, since under certain conditions asphalt layers may deform. In the analysis, no correlation between rutting and maximum deflection will be found and a DCP survey will show good shear strength in the base or subbase layers.

Although heavy traffic loadings, high temperatures and steep gradients associated with tight curves are contributing factors, deformation of asphalt layers is usually associated with a lack of creep resistance²⁸ of the mixture.

Normally surface treatments do not deform; however, shear may take place. This is easily identified during the visual inspection. These and other unusual surfacing conditions are dealt with in TRH3²⁷.

ii. Deformation caused by base or subbase inadequacies

Deformation of the subbase and base layers is normally associated with shear displacement or additional densification of the material. Displacement occurs when the shear stresses imposed by traffic exceed the inherent shear strength of the pavement layers. Overstressing is

normally attributed to layers being of inadequate quality under the prevailing conditions, and results in the heaving of the surface next to the wheel tracks. Shear or plastic deformation results in heaving of the surface adjacent to the wheel paths with associated cracking. Rutting is usually confined to the wheel path itself.

Careful observation during the visual inspection should enable base or subbase shear to be identified through these characteristic manifestations. The width of rutting gives an indication of the position of shear failure; the wider the rut, the deeper the failed layer.

A DCP survey is often useful to get an indication of the extent and position of distress in any layer(s) of the pavement, particularly if the layers are granular. In the event of bituminous layers, creep tests may be more appropriate.

iii. Deformation caused by post-construction compaction of material

Further densification of the subgrade and pavement layers can occur under the action of traffic. As in subgrade associated deformation, usually no heave occurs on the surface and rutting is quite uniform in the longitudinal direction.

The structural capacity of pavements with shear failure and pavements showing signs of post-construction densification is very different. In the case of shear, the structural capacity will decrease, and deformation will increase if the pavement is not rehabilitated. In the case of post construction densification, the pavement increases its structural capacity during trafficking and tends to be in a stable condition.

A DCP survey in areas between ruts may, if compared with results in the wheel path, give an indication of whether densification has occurred.

iv. Deformation originating in the subgrade (traffic associated)

Overstressing of the subgrade by traffic forces is generally

attributed to insufficient load distribution by the pavement layers because of an inadequate pavement thickness and/or strength. This inadequacy should have become apparent from the preliminary structural capacity analysis.

The subgrade material deforms when its shear strength is exceeded by the stresses imposed on it and with accumulated traffic rutting is eventually formed in the wheel tracks. The rutting in this case is usually fairly wide, covering about half the lane, and due to the depth of the overstressed material and the restriction of the pavement layers, heaving between the wheeltracks seldom occurs. This form of distress is often associated with cracking due to the inability of the surfacing material to deform with the rest of the pavement.

When there is substantial rutting and a clear relationship between deflection and rut depth is found as shown in Figure 15(a), it indicates that the pavement structure has not adequately protected the subgrade. If rut-depth measurements are unavailable, riding quality measurements (PSI) can be used. A relationship between deflection and riding quality as shown in Figure 15(b) will also be indicative of insufficient pavement thickness and/or strength.

If, despite a large and general amount of scatter, there is a tendency for the rutting to increase (or the riding quality to decrease) with increasing deflection measurements as shown in Figures 15(a) and 15(b), deformation is likely to originate in the subgrade.

- v. Deformation caused by active subgrade and collapse settlement (non-traffic associated)

Traffic loading is not the only cause of deformation of the subgrade resulting in a loss of riding quality. Deformation of the pavement layers can result from densification or collapse settlement of materials in the subgrade caused by overburdened pressures and changes in moisture conditions which encourage further densification. Seasonal and long-term changes in moisture content can also cause variable shrinkage and swell. A general knowledge of the subgrade geology will prove useful and




FIGURE 15(a)
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DEFLECTION AND RUT DEPTH
INDICATING AN OVERSTRESSED SUBGRADE




FIGURE 15(b)
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DEFLECTION AND RIDING QUALITY
INDICATING AN OVERSTRESSED SUBGRADE

soil tests will help to identify this cause of distress.

Deformation in these cases normally takes the form of longitudinal undulations across the whole pavement width. Where environmental forces (e.g. influence of adjacent trees on subgrade moisture) are the sole cause of the distress, there is no rutting in the wheel paths.

vi. Deformation (other problems)

Deformation may also be caused by non-material related causes such as moles (a problem often encountered in the coastal areas of the Cape Province), or the roots of trees.

c) Cracking as a mode of distress

Cracking occurs when the strain induced on a pavement layer exceeds the limiting values of the materials used. Various factors can contribute to cracking either by causing excessive strains or by lowering the maximum tolerable strain limit of the material; both aged binder and low-quality materials in the mixture can have the latter effect.

In asphalt layers the brittleness of the binder is an important factor. Binders in asphalt mixtures weather rapidly when the air-voids content in the mix exceeds four per cent (this is often the case with poorly compacted mixes). As a binder weathers, its ductility decreases and the temperature at which it becomes brittle increases. It follows that, with time, asphalts become more susceptible to cracking as their flexibility and fatigue resistance decrease. The age of the asphalt or surface treatment can therefore give an indication of the cause of cracking. Layers with ages near the upper limit of ranges given in Table 11²⁰ could indicate that a brittle binder may be the cause of the cracking.

Shrinkage, temperature variations and traffic-induced stresses can cause significant cracking in treated sub-layers. In time, these cracks reflect through the surfacing.

Laboratory tests on asphalt specimens are usually needed before cracking can be confidently attributed to causes such as a high voids content, poor aggregate properties in the mix, or stripping of the binder.

TABLE 11²⁰: SUGGESTED TYPICAL RANGES OF SURFACING LIFE PERIODS

Base Type	Surfacing type (≤ 50 mm thickness)	Typical range of surfacing life		
		Road category and traffic*		
		A ES3-ES100	B ES1-ES10	C, D ES0,003-ES3
Granular	Bitumen sand or slurry seal	-	-	2 - 8
	Bitumen single surface treatment	6 - 8	6 - 10	8 - 11
	Bitumen double surface treatment	6 - 10	6 - 12	8 - 14
	Cape seal	8 - 10	10 - 12	8 - 18
	Continuously graded asphalt premix	8 - 11		
	Gap-graded asphalt premix	8 - 13		
Bituminous	Bitumen sand or slurry seal	-	-	2 - 8
	Bitumen single surface treatment	6 - 8	6 - 10	8 - 11
	Bitumen double surface treatment	6 - 10	6 - 12	8 - 13
	Cape seal	-	8 - 15	8 - 18
	Continuously graded asphalt premix	8 - 12	8 - 12	-
	Gap-graded asphalt premix	8 - 14	10 - 15	-
	Porous (drainage) asphalt premix	8 - 12	10 - 15	-
Cemented	Bitumen sand or slurry seal	**	-	-
	Bitumen single surface treatment	**	4 - 7	5 - 8
	Bitumen double surface treatment	**	5 - 8	5 - 9
	Cape seal	**	5 - 10	5 - 11
	Continuously graded asphalt premix	**	5 - 10	-
	Gap-graded asphalt premix	**	6 - 12	-

- Surface type not normally used.
- * On top of continuous or gap-graded asphalt.
- ** Base type not used.

The most commonly observed cracking patterns are discussed below:

i. Crocodile cracking

Traffic-associated crocodile cracking normally starts in the wheel paths as short longitudinal cracks. With thin surfacings this progresses through star and map cracking to crocodile cracking. With thicker surfacings or asphalt-bound bases, the cracks generally propagate further along the wheel path before secondary cracks form, resulting in the familiar crocodile pattern.

This cracking very often occurs as a result of structural inadequacies. If the load-distributing properties of the pavement layers are inadequate for a specific subgrade strength, high deflections will occur under traffic loading, resulting in high tensile strains being induced in the surfacing layers. Crocodile cracking can also be caused by dry or brittle surfacing. In these cases no rutting is usually evident and cracking initially occurs between the wheel paths.

Inadequacies in the load distribution ability of the pavement structure are difficult to identify from surface inspection alone. In these cases, deflection measurements can be used to give more quantitative information on the origin of distress. An obvious correlation between the occurrence of severe forms of crocodile cracking and high deflections is an indication of a pavement structure of inadequate thickness (care should be taken to exclude shrinkage cracking or non-traffic-associated cracking when doing this correlation). Often a DCP survey can be used to pinpoint a structurally inadequate layer.

ii. Block cracking

Block cracking is usually caused by the shrinkage of treated layers. These cracks are not confined to the wheel paths. The distress initially appears in the form of longitudinal or transverse cracks and develops into block cracking. The spacing between these block cracks largely depends on the type of material and thickness of the pavement layer and the shrinkage characteristics of the

stabilizing agent. Although traffic loading does not initiate this distress, it tends to cause secondary cracking which may eventually become crocodile cracking.

Initial cracking due to shrinkage of the stabilized layer may not affect the strength of the pavement. However, it indicates a potential lowering of the structural capacity of a pavement due to the possibility of the ingress of surface water into the structural layers and hence, to pumping and the resultant disintegration of the layer.

iii. Longitudinal cracking

Problems due to poor construction techniques are often manifested in longitudinal cracking. These include poor construction joints and segregation of materials during construction. These problems are visually easily detectable and as such should be identified during the detailed visual inspection. Swelling of subgrades or settlement of embankments may also cause longitudinal cracking. Such cracks are not confined to the wheel paths and are often found near the shoulders of the pavement.

Longitudinal cracking may also be the first indication of structural problems. If this cracking occurs in or close to the wheel paths, it is likely to develop into ladder cracking followed by crocodile cracks. Longitudinal cracks can thus be an indication that the pavement is approaching the end of its service life, and therefore needs further investigation.

iv. Transverse cracking

Transverse cracking is symptomatic of temperature associated distress. This cracking is thus not usually directly associated with structural problems but it can initiate further deterioration if the ingress of surface water occurs.

Shrinkage cracking of the asphalt surfacing is indicative of an asphalt which does not respond easily to changes in temperature, i.e. mostly a stiff, dry asphalt. As stiffness tends to increase with time, older pavements are usually more susceptible to this form of cracking. The closing of these cracks in the wheel paths through the effect of

trafficking is an indication that the problem may be limited to the surface layer only.

Transverse cracking as a result of the shrinkage of unbound layers is a rare phenomenon in this country. Freezing of some unbound base and subbase materials can cause fairly high volumetric changes, resulting in transverse cracks. In time, these will be reflected through to the surface.

2.3.3 *Pavement Situation Identification*^{3,49}

Pavement rehabilitation design methods incorporate assumptions in terms of pavement materials, loading, environment and distress conditions which, in combination, are defined as the pavement situation. Hence a prerequisite for rehabilitation design is the correct identification of the pavement situation in each uniform pavement section. Aspects which influence the applicability of rehabilitation design methods are discussed in detail in Section 3 of this document, and for more clarity the relevant sub-section should be studied.

The following information for each uniform section is of importance in the identification of the pavement situation:

- variables associated with pavement design:
 - . the composition of the pavement in terms of the thickness of the layers and the type and properties of material in the layers,
 - . the current composite strength and/or component strength of the pavement structure as measured, and
 - . design traffic loading (past and future).
- variables associated with pavement performance:
 - . the mode of distress,
 - . the origin of distress within the pavement, and
 - . the critical parameters within the pavement.

The pavement situation for each uniform section can be summarized on a form as shown in Figure 16⁴⁹.

ROAD NUMBER:	MR27 - SLOW LANE				
TRAFFIC LOADING:	PAST	1,3 x 10 ⁶ E80s			
	FUTURE	5 x 10 ⁶ E80s; 10 x 10 ⁶ E80's; 20 x 10 ⁶ E80s			
ROAD CATEGORY	B				
PAVEMENT STRUCTURE	SURFACING	BASE	SUBBASE	SSG	SUBGRADE
TYPE OF MATERIAL	ASPHALT (CONT. GRADED)	CEMENT TREATED GRAVEL (C2)	LATERITE G5	-	IN SITU SAND
THICKNESS OF LAYERS	35 mm	230 mm (2 x 115)	200 mm	-	∞
TYPE OF PAVEMENT	CTB - PAVEMENT				
STRENGTH EVALUATION	1. DEFLECTION		2. RADIUS OF CURVATURE		3. RUT DEPTH
INSTRUMENT USED	BENKELMAN BEAM		DEHLEN CURVATURE METER		STRAIGHT EDGE (2 m)
APPLICABLE CRITERIA: SOUND	< 0,3 mm		> 200 m		< 10 mm
WARNING	≥ 0,3 mm + < 0,6 mm		< 200 m + > 100 m		≥ 10 mm + 20 mm
SEVERE	≥ 0,6 mm		≤ 100 m		≥ 20 mm
MEASURED RESPONSE	MEAN = 0,36 mm 90th P = 0,395 mm		MEAN = 247 m 90th P = 165 m		MEAN = 6,2 mm 90th P = 8,4 mm
CONDITION	WARNING / WARNING		SOUND / WARNING		SOUND / SOUND
PERFORMANCE	OBSERVED / EXPECTED (DELETE NOT APPLICABLE)				
MODE OF DISTRESS	CRACKING				
TYPE OF DISTRESS	BLOCK CRACKING + SECONDARY CRACKING IN WHEELTRACKS				
POSSIBLE : ORIGIN	CTB				
: CAUSE / MECH	TENSILE STRAIN AT THE BOTTOM OF THE BASE				

FIGURE 16⁴⁹
PAVEMENT SITUATION IDENTIFICATION

CONTENTS

SECTION 3

	Page
3. REHABILITATION DESIGN APPROACH AND OPTIONS	87
3.1 OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE	87
3.2 REHABILITATION OPTIONS	87
3.3 METHOD APPLICABILITY	89
3.3.1 <i>General</i>	89
3.3.2. <i>Design Variables</i>	90
3.3.2.1 General	90
3.3.2.2. Structural variables	90
3.3.2.3 Load variables	90
3.3.2.4. Environmental variables	90
3.3.3 <i>Performance Variables</i>	91
3.4 PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS	92
3.4.1 <i>Available Approaches</i>	92
3.4.1.1 General	92
3.4.1.2 Methods based on empirical concepts	93
3.4.1.3 Methods based on theoretical concepts	97
3.4.2 <i>Rehabilitation Design Methods Used in South Africa</i>	100
3.4.2.1 General	100
3.4.2.2 Empirically derived methods	100
3.4.2.3 Theoretically derived methods	102
3.4.3 <i>Recommendations</i>	103

3. REHABILITATION DESIGN APPROACH AND OPTIONS

3.1 OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

This section deals with lengths of road that have been identified during the condition assessment as probably requiring structural improvement. The aim of this phase of the investigation is to identify appropriate rehabilitation design methods and to determine the actual rehabilitation needs in terms of these options.

Currently, several pavement rehabilitation design methods are used in South Africa. These range from empirically derived methods to sophisticated mechanistic methods based on the multi-layer linear elasticity theory. They differ in their suitability for solving specific problems, expertise required and the cost of implementation. Furthermore, the benefits to be obtained from the use of a specific method could depend on the design traffic, type of distress, type and condition of a pavement, and the cause and mechanism of distress.

It follows that rehabilitation design methods are limited in their applicability and that their indiscriminate use could seriously affect the accuracy of the rehabilitation design. A specific rehabilitation design method may be applicable only to specific pavement material, loading, environmental and distress conditions which in combination represent specific pavement situations. The identification of possible pavement situations and the determination of the suitability of specific methods to analyse these situations could lead to improved utilisation of the methods and thus to more effective rehabilitation design. Unfortunately, these limitations are often hidden and hence considerable experience and expertise in pavement rehabilitation are required for the effective use of the available methods.

The investigation procedure for the rehabilitation design phase is outlined in Figure 17¹³. It can be seen that, depending on circumstances, further additional and/or more detailed testing may be required.

3.2 REHABILITATION OPTIONS

Before the determination of the rehabilitation needs through the use of a rehabilitation design method, the prevailing pavement




FIGURE 17¹³
FLOW DIAGRAM OF THE REHABILITATION DESIGN PHASE
OF A PROJECT-LEVEL INVESTIGATION

situation, the pertinent managerial considerations and practical and functional aspects should be considered to identify applicable rehabilitation options for each uniform section.

As previously shown, rehabilitation may range from complete pavement reconstruction to the improvement or provision of relatively small aspects such as drainage facilities.

Any combination of the above-mentioned rehabilitation activities could be applicable to a specific pavement. However, many rehabilitation design methods only provide for the design of asphalt overlays which, in many cases, may not be the most suitable option. For example, where a structural weakness is present in a pavement layer such as the base course, it may be advisable to improve the quality of the layer rather than to use an overlay. Hence, before embarking on the design of the rehabilitation, applicable rehabilitation options for each uniform section should be identified.

3.3 METHOD APPLICABILITY

3.3.1 *General*

The type and number of assumptions on which any method is based determine the limitations of its applicability. In order to select applicable methods for use on a specific pavement, the characteristics of the methods available must be compared to those of the identified pavement situation. Two tasks are important⁴⁹, i.e. the:

- identification of the prevailing pavement situation that needs to be analysed (as described in Section 2), and
- assessment of a design method in terms of its capability to address critical aspects that may be associated with any given pavement situation.

The critical elements of the design and performance variables in a pavement are now identified as a first step towards the assessment of the applicability of methods for the analysis of a pavement situation. If more than one design method is found to be applicable for use on a specific pavement, these methods should all be used in a multiple analysis approach for the design of the rehabilitation needs of the pavement.

3.3.2. *Design Variables*

3.3.2.1 General

Design variables embody all the structural, load and environmental factors, the interaction of which primarily determine the performance of a pavement. The following elements of these variables could have an influence on the ability of a method to analyse any given pavement situation and should be used to assess the capabilities of rehabilitation design methods.

3.3.2.2. Structural variables

Methods should be assessed for limitations with respect to the:

- type of material in the pavement, i.e. pavements with cement-treated materials in the base course (CTB), bituminous-treated materials (BTB), lightly cemented materials (LCB), or granular or untreated materials (NGB),
- thickness of pavement layers,
- strength of pavement layers, and
- strength of the pavement as a whole.

3.3.2.3 Load variables

Methods should be assessed for limitations with respect to the design traffic loading. This is usually done in terms of the accumulated equivalent 80 kN single axle loads (E80s). Equations used in methods are derived through the assessment of the behaviour of pavements or pavement materials during loading. These observations cover a range of E80s, defining the range of loading conditions for which the method is applicable.

3.3.2.4. Environmental variables

The ability of methods to take into account the micro (daily) and macro (seasonal) variations in climate should be noted. The daily variations in temperature are of importance during the evaluation of the pavement due to their effect on pavement test measurements. Similarly, seasonal variations in both temperature and moisture could have a marked effect on the bearing capacity of the pavement. Although these factors will not directly influence the applicability of the methods, their omission could adversely affect the results obtained through the use of the

methods.

3.3.3 *Performance Variables*

Performance variables concern the surface condition and material behaviour elements of a pavement. These variables are usually a function of the interaction of the structural, load and environmental variables with time.

Pavement condition is described by the visible surface condition of a pavement, which is a function of any distress present. Rehabilitation design methods base their analysis of the structure and the design of rehabilitation options on limiting criteria for specific modes of distress such as cracking and/or deformation. Critical levels of deformation and/or cracking are directly or indirectly incorporated in most rehabilitation design methods.

The modes of distress (deformation/cracking) are used in pavement rehabilitation design methods to calculate pavement life. However, deformation and/or cracking can originate in any of the pavement components, i.e. the surfacing, base, subbase, selected subgrade or subgrade. The origin of distress and the distress mechanisms are often closely associated with the material used in the various pavement layers of the structure. For example, in the mechanistic design method the parameters as shown in Table 12⁴⁶ may be of importance. Rehabilitation design methods are only applicable for use on pavements where the mechanism of distress is similar to that on which the method is based. It follows that rehabilitation design methods should be assessed in terms of the following performance variables:

- the mode(s) of distress and the respective criteria used to define a terminal pavement condition, and
- the mechanism of distress and the critical distress parameters used in the analysis of the mode of distress.

Table 12⁴⁶: Critical Parameters and Properties Associated with Various Material Types

Material Type	Distress	Fundamental Distress Parameters
Thin hot-mix surfacing (20 - 75 mm)	Fatigue cracking	Horizontal tensile strain at bottom of the layer (ϵ_t)
Thick hot-mix bases	Fatigue cracking	Horizontal tensile strain (ϵ_t)
	Deformation	Stress state in asphalt
Granular layers	Deformation	Stress state (σ_1, σ_2)
Cemented layers	Shrinkage cracking	Horizontal tensile strain (ϵ_t)
	Fatigue cracking (slab state)	Horizontal tensile strain (ϵ_t)
	Deformation (in the equivalent granular state)	Stress state (σ_1, σ_2)
Subgrade	Deformation	Vertical compressive strain (ϵ_v)

Similar to the design variables, the elements of the performance variables identified above should be used to assess the capabilities of rehabilitation design methods.

3.4 PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS

3.4.1 Available Approaches

3.4.1.1 General

Pavement rehabilitation design methods may be based on empirical or theoretical principles. In practice, methods often include both theoretical and empirical concepts and can therefore be associated with assumptions and limitations inherent in these concepts. An understanding of the general characteristics and the influence of assumptions on the prediction of pavement behaviour is fundamental to the assessment and rating of available methods.

3.4.1.2 Methods based on empirical concepts

Empirically derived methods are based on the results of observations made or experience gained in trends of behaviour of pavement structures. These observations are usually limited to specific types of pavement constructed with materials peculiar to certain areas and subject to specific traffic and environmental conditions. The experience gained under such conditions cannot confidently be applied to different pavements, materials, traffic and environmental conditions.

Methods based on empirical principles can be divided into methods based on the condition assessment approach, pavement component analysis approach and response analysis approach. The main characteristics of these approaches are summarized in Figure 18^{3,50}.

a. Condition assessment approach

Methods using the condition assessment approach rely on the knowledge and experience of the assessor to evaluate the condition of the pavement. Decisions about the need for and type of rehabilitation are based on subjective judgment. The assessor applies personal knowledge of the expected behaviour of the pavement, taking into account the type of pavement, materials, distress, traffic, drainage facilities, geology, topography, vegetation, climate and season within an area as the main input into his design framework. A typical flow diagram of rehabilitation design methods based on the condition assessment approach is shown in Figure 19^{3,50}.

b. Pavement component analysis approach

Methods using the pavement component analysis approach are based on empirical correlations between material tests and expected pavement performance. These methods use laboratory or in-situ measurement of an empirically defined material property such as the California Bearing Ratio (CBR) or Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) value to evaluate pavement behaviour. A typical flow diagram of rehabilitation design methods based on the component analysis approach is shown in Figure 20^{3,50}.

**BASIS OF
DERIVATION**

EMPRICALLY DERIVED

THEORETICALLY DERIVED

See Figure 22

**CLASSIFICATION
ACCORDING TO
BASIC APPROACH**

**CONDITION ASSESSMENT
APPROACH**

**PAVEMENT COMPONENT
ANALYSIS APPROACH**

**PAVEMENT RESPONSE
ANALYSIS APPROACH**

**BEHAVIOUR
CHARACTERIZATION**

**VISUAL INSPECTION
USING KNOWLEDGE
AND EXPERIENCE**

**MATERIAL TESTS IN
THE LABORATORY
AND IN SITU TESTS**

**DEFLECTION
MEASUREMENTS**

**EVALUATION OF
PAVEMENT**

**SUBJECTIVE
JUDGEMENT**

**USE EMPIRICAL RELATIONS
BETWEEN MATERIAL TESTS,
TRAFFIC LOADING AND
REQUIRED COVER**

**USE EMPIRICAL RELATIONS
BETWEEN DEFLECTION
MEASUREMENTS AND
EXPECTED LIFE**

DESIGN

**DECIDE ON REQUIRE-
MENTS USING
KNOWLEDGE AND
EXPERIENCE**

**COMPARE EXISTING PAVEMENT
TO SUITABLE DESIGN
OBTAINED FROM THE
EMPIRICAL RELATIONS**

**DETERMINE OVERLAY
THICKNESS FROM
EMPIRICALLY OR
THEORETICALLY
DERIVED RELATIONS**

FIGURE 18^{3.50}

MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DIFFERENT EMPIRICALLY DERIVED APPROACHED TO REHABILITATION DESIGN




FIGURE 19^{3.50}
**A TYPICAL FLOW DIAGRAM OF EMPIRICAL PAVEMENT
 REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS BASED ON THE PAVEMENT
 CONDITION ASSESSMENT APPROACH**

MEASURE PAVEMENT
MATERIAL PROPERTIES BY:

- * LABORATORY TESTS;
AND / OR
- * IN SITU TESTS

EMPIRICAL RELATIONSHIPS
BETWEEN MATERIAL TESTS
AND COVER REQUIREMENTS
INCORPORATING:

- * TRAFFIC LOADING
- * MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE
LEVEL OF BEHAVIOUR

DETERMINE THE EFFECTIVE
STRENGTH OF THE EXISTING
PAVEMENT

DETERMINE THE REQUIRED
STRENGTH FOR THE EXPECTED
TRAFFIC LOADING

CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT
FUTURE TRAFFIC LOADING
EXPECTED OVER THE
REHABILITATION DESIGN
PERIOD

COMPARE THE EXISTING
STRENGTH WITH THE
REQUIRED STRENGTH

EXISTING STRENGTH
ADEQUATE

DO NOTHING

EXISTING STRENGTH
INADEQUATE

DESIGN APPROPRIATE
REHABILITATION OPTIONS

FIGURE 20^{3,50}
A TYPICAL FLOW DIAGRAM OF EMPIRICAL PAVEMENT
REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS BASED ON THE PAVEMENT
COMPONENT ANALYSIS APPROACH

c. Pavement response analysis approach

Methods using the response analysis approach are based on one or more empirically derived relationships which are used to predict pavement behaviour. Most of these methods use surface deflection as a measurement of pavement response. A typical flow diagram of rehabilitation design methods based on the response analysis approach is shown in Figure 21^{3,50}.

3.4.1.3 Methods based on theoretical concepts

Most of the theoretically based pavement rehabilitation design methods that have been developed to a stage where practical implementation is possible, have been based on the linear elasticity theory. Hence, this document will only discuss non-hereditary elastic response models, i.e. methods based on approaches using the linear elasticity stress-strain theory.

A mathematical model usually gives an approximation of actual behaviour. The limitations associated with any one model depend on the type of assumptions that form its basis. Therefore, an understanding of the assumptions^{51,52} associated with the linear elasticity model would also provide an indication of its limitations.

Rehabilitation design methods incorporating theoretical concepts are based on a number of approaches developed to simplify the use of mathematical models. The various methods are categorised according to the basic approach followed. The approaches usually give a good indication of the level of sophistication and the general applicability of the methods using the approach. The following approaches^{3,50} are identified:

- empirical-theoretical approach,
- behaviour catalogue approach,
- design curves approach,
- design charts approach, and
- non-simplified approach.

The main characteristics of the approaches developed from the linear elasticity theory are summarized in Figure 22^{3,50}.




FIGURE 21^{3,50}
A TYPICAL FLOW DIAGRAM OF EMPIRICAL PAVEMENT
REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS BASED ON THE
PAVEMENT RESPONSE ANALYSIS APPROACH




FIGURE 22^{3.50}

MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF SOME APPROACHES TO PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN
BASED ON THE LINEAR ELASTICITY STRESS-STRAIN THEORY

3.4.2 *Rehabilitation Design Methods Used in South Africa*

3.4.2.1 General

The selection of methods according to the recommended approach will ensure that an applicable method is used for the rehabilitation design of each uniform pavement section. Rehabilitation design methods will not be discussed in detail in this document. Details of the rehabilitation design methods recommended for use in South Africa are contained in a number of references.

However, the main characteristics³ of some methods used in South Africa are summarized in Appendix 2. These methods are:

- The Asphalt Institute method^{42,53},
- The DCP method^{24,37,38,39,40,41,54},
- The TRRL deflection method^{23,30,31,32,33,55},
- The SHELL overlay design method^{56,57,58,59,60}, and
- The South African mechanistic design method^{39,61}.

Various methods, including those discussed in Appendix 2, have been assessed^{3,29} both theoretically and practically for a limited number of pavements in South Africa. Based on this assessment³ some recommendations are made with regard to the use of rehabilitation design methods in Southern Africa.

3.4.2.2 Empirically derived methods

Some of the above-mentioned methods are based on the same approach (refer to Appendix 2) and the recommendations regarding the further development and use of these methods concern more than one method. Hence, these methods are discussed under the heading of the basic approach under which they are classified.

The design curves of the empirically derived methods considered are based on deformation only and contain many more limitations (refer to Appendix 2). Hence, because of these built-in limitations, it is recommended that the use of these methods be limited to low category pavements with low traffic volumes. Results obtained through the use of these methods on other pavements should be verified through the use of a theoretically based method.

a. Response analysis approach

Of the methods mentioned, the Asphalt Institute and the TRRL deflection methods are based on the response analysis approach. Deflection-based methods have severe limitations for the analysis of pavements containing semi-rigid cemented layers. Hence these methods are not recommended for the analysis of pavements containing cement-treated layers.

i. Predicting remaining life

It is important to take the type of pavement into account and hence the design curves of the TRRL deflection method are recommended to determine the remaining life of the pavement. These curves should be used with the deflection measurements processed as recommended in Appendix 2, taking into account the various pavement categories.

It has been shown^{3,29} that results obtained through the use of the TRRL curves are adversely affected by the use of the temperature adjustment figures (micro climate), as recommended in the TRRL deflection method. Similar trends have been found^{3,29} with the use of the temperature adjustment figure recommended for use by the Asphalt Institute method. Hence, it is recommended that the curves for the prediction of remaining life be used without the adjustments of deflection measurements to allow for the effect of temperature, until such required adjustments have been studied in more detail under South African conditions.

Currently, specific information on the adjustment of deflection measurements to take into account seasonal variations (macro-climate) are not available for South Africa. It is recommended that such adjustments be incorporated in the method based on the response analysis approach, after a study to determine their effect has been undertaken in South Africa.

ii. Rehabilitation design

The methods based on deflection measurements use overlay design curves to determine the rehabilitation needs

of pavements. The cause and mechanism of distress are important when deciding on the rehabilitation needs. Hence the use of overlay design curves should be limited to pavements where the cause and mechanism of distress is associated with the subgrade.

b. Pavement component analysis approach

Of the methods mentioned, the Asphalt Institute and the DCP methods are based on the pavement component analysis approach.

i. DCP measurements

The DCP measuring device cannot be used on pavements with strongly cemented layers. However, limited studies⁴ have shown that the DCP method can successfully be used on pavements containing thick bituminous treated layers.

The DCP method, as analysed in Appendix 2, is recommended for use on balanced or nearly-balanced pavements containing bituminous treated, lightly cemented ($UCS < 3 \text{ MPa}$) and granular layers. The effect of seasonal changes could be incorporated to improve the accuracy of long term predictions.

ii. Analysis of pavement layers

The Asphalt Institute method (refer Appendix 2) has been shown^{3,29} to give reasonably accurate predictions of remaining life, but should not be used to determine rehabilitation requirements. It is recommended that this procedure be used for the prediction of remaining life only, and that the results be compared to DCP and/or deflection based methods.

3.4.2.3 Theoretically derived methods

Methods developed overseas are usually based on distress criteria which are not generally applicable^{3,29} for use in South Africa. It follows that methods and procedures which were not developed in South Africa should not be used before these have been verified under local conditions. Furthermore, the assumptions incorporated in the methods based on the empirical/theoretical

approach, the design curves approach and the design charts approach, make these methods unreliable for the analysis of complicated problems. Hence, it is recommended that methods based on these approaches should not be used for the analysis of pavements.

The only theoretically based methods that can, with confidence, be recommended for the detailed analysis of pavements are those based on the non-simplified approach. The South African mechanistic design method is based on the non-simplified approach and is recommended for use.

3.4.3 *Recommendations*

Three methods for pavement rehabilitation design are recommended for use in Southern Africa. These are a deflection method, DCP method and the South African mechanistic design method. These methods are assessed in terms of their limitations and abilities with regard to the various design and performance variables in Figures 23^{3,29} and 24^{3,29} respectively. In order to assist in the selection of an appropriate rehabilitation design method, the use of the recommended three methods in terms of the main design variables are shown in Figure 25^{3,29}. Note that Figure 25^{3,29} should be used together with Figures 23^{3,29} and 24^{3,29} before a final selection of an applicable method is made.

The South African Department of Transport through its research programme in the Directorate: Transport Economic Analysis, has commissioned the completion of three documents for the rehabilitation design of pavements for use in Southern Africa. These are:

- Department of Transport Research Report 91/243: "Pavement Rehabilitation Design Based on Maximum Surface Deflection Measurements",
- Department of Transport Research Report 91/241: "Pavement Rehabilitation Design Based on Pavement Layer Component Tests (CBR and DCP)", and
- Department of Transport Research Report 91/242: "The South African Mechanistic Pavement Rehabilitation Design Method".

REHABILITATION DESIGN METHOD	TYPE OF PAVEMENT	LIMITATIONS	STRUCTURAL VARIABLES			ACCUMULATED TRAFFIC LOAD	CLIMATE (INCORPO- RATE FUNCTIONS FOR)	
			THICKNESS	COMPONENT STRENGTH	COMPOSITE STRENGTH		EQUIVALENT SINGLE AXLE LOAD (E80s)	MICRO- CLIMATE
DEFLECTION METHOD	CTB					REMAINING LIFE: 0,4 - 10 X 10 ⁶ E80s OVERLAY DESIGN: 0,2 - 20 X 10 ⁶ E80s	NO	NO
	BTB	-	-	DEFL > 0,4 AND < 1,3 mm				
	LCB	-	-	DEFL > 0,4 AND < 1,4 mm				
	NGB	-	-	DEFL > 0,5 AND < 1,5 mm				
DCP METHOD	CTB					0,2 - 10 X 10 ⁶ E80s	NO	Partial
	BTB	-						
	LCB	-	UCS < 3 MPa	200 ≤ DSN ₈₀₀ ≤ 750				
	NGB	-	-	70 ≤ DSN ₈₀₀ ≤ 420				
SOUTH AFRICAN MECHANISTIC METHOD	CTB	-	-	-	-	0,01 - 100 X 10 ⁶ E80s	NO	Partial
	BTB	-	-	-				
	LCB	-	-	-				
	NGB	-	-	-				

METHOD NOT APPLICABLE FOR THE ANALYSIS OF PAVEMENT TYPE

?

UNCERTAINTY

-

NO RESTRICTIONS

FIGURE 23^{3,29}
**APPLICABILITY OF REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS IN RELATION TO THE
 MAIN DESIGN VARIABLES IN A PAVEMENT SITUATION**

MODE OF DISTRESS AND CRITERIA USED TO DEFINE THE PAVEMENT FAILURE CONDITION			CRITICAL DISTRESS PARAMETER												ORIGIN, CAUSE AND MECHANISM OF DISTRESS TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN DESIGN OF OPTIONS?																
REHABILITATION DESIGN METHOD	DEFORMATION (RUTTING)	CRACKING	STABILITY STRESS STATE	STABILITY STRESS STATE	STRESS STATE (σ_1, σ_2)	VERTICAL STRAIN (ϵ_v)	VERTICAL STRAIN (ϵ_v)	STRESS AND OR STRAIN IN LAYERS	DEFORMATION							CRACKING															
									MATERIAL TYPE		PAVEMENT COMPONENT		MODE OF DISTRESS			SURFACE		PAVEMENT LAYERS		SSG + SUBGRADE		COMPOSITE STRUCTURE		SURFACE		PAVEMENT LAYERS					
									BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM			GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM	
									BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM			GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM	
									BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM			GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM	
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM		GM AND/OR GRANULATED CTM OR GCM		GM		-		BTM		BTB		CTM		BTM		BTB		CTM											
BTM		BTM																													

-  METHOD NOT APPLICABLE FOR THE ANALYSIS OF THE MODE OF DISTRESS
 ? UNCERTAINTY
 ✓ TAKES INTO ACCOUNT
 X DOES NOT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT

FIGURE 24^{3,29}
 APPLICABILITY OF REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS IN RELATION TO THE
 MAIN PERFORMANCE VARIABLES IN A PAVEMENT SITUATION

CATEGORY OF PAVEMENT	TRAFFIC CLASS	TYPE OF PAVEMENT	METHOD* RECOMMENDED	VERIFICATION
A	E_3, E_4	CTB	3	-
		BTB	3	(1,2)
		LCB	3	(1,2)
		NGB	3	(1,2)
B	E_3	CTB	3	-
		BTB	3	1/2
		LCB	3	1/2
		NGB	3	1/2
	E_2	CTB	3	-
		BTB	1/2/3	2/1
		LCB	1/2/3	2/1
		NGB	1/2/3	2/1
C	E_1, E_2	CTB	3	-
		BTB	1/2/3	1/2
		LCB	1/2/3	1/2
		NGB	1/2/3	1/2

() = use with reservation

* 1 = DEFLECTION METHOD 2 = DCP METHOD 3 = SOUTH AFRICAN MECHANISTIC METHOD

FIGURE 25^{3,29}
GUIDELINES FOR THE USE OF THE RECOMMENDED REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS

CONTENTS

SECTION 4

	Page
4. PRACTICAL AND FUNCTIONAL ASPECTS	108
4.1 INTRODUCTION	108
4.2 APPLICABILITY	108
4.2.1 <i>General</i>	108
4.2.2 <i>Methods of Investigation and Design</i>	109
4.2.3 <i>Materials</i>	109
4.2.4 <i>Rehabilitation Options</i>	109
4.3 CONSTRUCTABILITY	109
4.3.1 <i>General</i>	109
4.3.2 <i>Accommodation of Traffic</i>	110
4.3.3 <i>Widening of the Paved Surface</i>	110
4.3.4 <i>Layer Thickness Constraints</i>	110
4.3.5 <i>Availability of Resources</i>	110
4.3.6 <i>Environmental Influence</i>	111
4.4 PERFORMANCE ADEQUACY	111
4.4.1 <i>General</i>	111
4.4.2 <i>Drainage</i>	111
4.4.2.1 <i>General</i>	111
4.4.2.2 <i>Surface drainage</i>	111
4.4.2.3 <i>Side drains</i>	112
4.4.2.4 <i>Sub-surface drainage</i>	112
4.4.2.5 <i>Cross drainage</i>	112
4.4.3 <i>Surfacing and Wearing Course Selection</i>	112
4.5 MAINTAINABILITY	113

4. PRACTICAL AND FUNCTIONAL ASPECTS

4.1 INTRODUCTION

The rehabilitation design approach outlined in Figure 2⁴ gives guidelines for the technical side of an investigation. However, as shown, managerial considerations and practical and functional aspects need also to be considered and accommodated by the designer in the selection of rehabilitation options. The managerial considerations influencing pavement rehabilitation design have been addressed in Section 1 of this document and many practical and functional aspects such as the availability of materials and the influence of geometric changes have already been discussed.

However, many more practical and functional aspects exist that could influence pavement rehabilitation design. These aspects relate to the applicability, constructability, performance (structural and functional) and maintainability of methods, materials and procedures. These aspects differ considerably from project to project and the designer requires detailed knowledge of each project and experience in pavement rehabilitation design in general to use effectively take these into account.

This section covers some of the more common practical and functional aspects that should be considered in pavement rehabilitation design. The omission of these aspects could easily result in an otherwise technical sound design being unsuccessful. Practical and functional aspects influencing rehabilitation design should not be seen in isolation and this section should be considered together with the rest of the document.

4.2 APPLICABILITY

4.2.1 *General*

Many procedures, methods and other aspects may not be applicable to specific project conditions. Hence, before embarking on rehabilitation design, the applicability of these aspects to the project should be ascertained. In this regard, "applicability" could refer to the use of methods of investigation and design, material selection, modification and application, and most important, the practicality of available rehabilitation options.

4.2.2 *Methods of Investigation and Design*

Methods of investigation and design and their applicability to specific projects are discussed in detail in Sections 2 and 3. These sections and accompanying references should be studied to ensure that applicable tests and methods are being used on a particular project.

4.2.3 *Materials*

The availability of materials has been identified in Section 1 as a logistical input which could influence pavement rehabilitation design. The available materials influence rehabilitation design in that they may only be suitable for use in particular pavement layer types. The characteristics of the materials may be such that strengthening or modification may be required, or in some cases the available materials may not be suitable for modification or strengthening. Hence the rehabilitation design should ensure that the available materials can be used as specified.

4.2.4 *Rehabilitation Options*

Several rehabilitation options or strategies could normally be used to rectify a distressed pavement. However, it is often found that some options are more suitable than others, and the designer could save considerable effort and time by concentrating on these options. The suitability of rehabilitation options is often a function of the characteristics of the pavement, such as the cause and mechanism of distress and the pavement situation. For example, if pavement deformation has been identified as originating in the asphalt surfacing of a pavement, it could be more applicable to replace the existing surfacing with a deformation-resistant surfacing than to cover the deformed layer with another asphalt layer.

4.3 **CONSTRUCTABILITY**

4.3.1 *General*

Although many designs may be applicable, or certain alterations desirable, the actual construction thereof could present major obstacles. These could include aspects such as the accommodation of traffic during rehabilitation, the widening of the current paved surface, the availability of equipment and the

influence of the rehabilitation action on the environment.

4.3.2 Accommodation of Traffic

The accommodation of traffic has been identified as a logistical input into rehabilitation design in Section 1, which is influenced by the type of terrain and area in which the road is to be constructed. Traffic accommodation could be the decisive factor in the selection of a specific rehabilitation option and requires consideration from the outset of a project as it could account for ten to twenty per cent of the total costs of the project.

4.3.3 Widening of the Paved Surface

Some sub-standard roads may technically require the widening of the paved surface. However, where little extra width may be required the constructability and compatibility with the existing pavement should be kept in mind. Because of confined space, it could be difficult to work to tight specifications, making widening very expensive and hence not cost-effective. Where widening is essential, the improvement of existing pavement layers or the additional surfacing of the shoulders at little extra cost should be investigated. Widening should be planned so that the joint does not coincide with one of the wheeltracks.

The widening of the road should also take into account the drainage capacity of the existing and new pavement layers. If the additional width is not compatible with the existing pavement it could result in the trapping of water and hence, early failure.

4.3.4 Layer Thickness Constraints

Existing surface irregularities and geometry should be taken into consideration in the final design of layer thicknesses. It is considered essential to take cross sections of the existing road before final design documents are prepared to ensure that the required rehabilitation needs are met, allowing for existing irregularities.

4.3.5 Availability of Resources

In practice, rehabilitation investigations and design should take into account the availability of specialized equipment. This aspect has been discussed under logistical inputs to rehabilitation design

in Section 1 of this document.

4.3.6 *Environmental Influence*

The rehabilitation of a pavement could cause considerable environmental pollution which could play an important role in the selection of a rehabilitation option. For example, dust pollution (granular materials) or smoke pollution (hot-mix recycling) could exclude some options for use in built-up, sensitive areas. On the other hand, the creation of large quantities of waste material is often not desirable, prompting the investigation of options which allow for the re-use of materials.

4.4 **PERFORMANCE ADEQUACY**

4.4.1 *General*

The performance of the rehabilitated pavement is often associated with only the structural adequacy of the design. These structural aspects are fully covered by the approach to rehabilitation design in Sections 2 and 3 in this document. However, both the structural and functional performance of the pavement are influenced by additional aspects such as the adequacy of drainage facilities and the type of surfacing or wearing course. These aspects should also be taken into consideration during the design of rehabilitation options.

4.4.2 *Drainage*

4.4.2.1 General

Pavement distress can often be related to the lack of drainage⁵ facilities, their ineffectiveness or inadequacy. A detailed survey of the adequacy and performance of the existing drainage facilities and recommendations for their improvement where required, are considered an essential part of a rehabilitation investigation. Drainage improvements^{6,7} should receive the highest priority, and should include surface drainage, side drains, subsurface drainage and cross drainage.

4.4.2.2 Surface drainage

The rehabilitated pavement surface should allow for the free flow of rain water from the paved surface through the provision of an

adequate side slope.

4.4.2.3 Side drains

Blocked and overgrown side drains are ineffective, often resulting in the ponding of water. Concrete side-drains should be considered in cases of erosion or obvious blockage or in cuttings. The placement and influence of concrete side drains on safety, maintenance and future pavement rehabilitation should be taken into account in their design.

4.4.2.4 Sub-surface drainage

Sub-surface drains are relatively expensive and, if required, should be thoroughly investigated and motivated. These would usually be appropriate in cuttings where localized pavement failures have occurred. Specialized testing^{66,5} should be done to determine the most appropriate materials for use in sub-surface drains.

4.4.2.5 Cross drainage

Additional cross drains should only be considered in cases where regular flooding of the road occurs. It is important to improve existing ineffective cross-drains to ensure that they will function properly in the future.

4.4.3 *Surfacing and Wearing Course Selection*

Several functional and practical aspects should be considered in the selection and design of the surfacing or wearing course. The design of asphalt surfacings is covered in detail in TRH8²⁸, where aspects such as deformation and fatigue characteristics are discussed. Similarly, surface treatments and the design thereof are covered in detail in TRH3²⁷. These documents should be studied before the selection and design of the surfacing or wearing course.

However, in addition to the structural qualities of the surfacing or wearing course, functional aspects^{28,67} such as riding quality, skid resistance, traffic noise and even optical (i.e. light reflections) properties should be considered.

4.5 MAINTAINABILITY

Pavements tend to have a long lifespan which could include several maintenance and rehabilitation cycles. Hence, in the selection of the type of rehabilitation of a pavement, the future maintenance or even rehabilitation of the pavement should be considered. It is unwise⁵⁹ to invest in an asset (pavement) if the future availability or quality of maintenance is at risk. Especially with the use of innovative, modified or new materials, the availability of material, equipment, expertise and funds for the maintenance of the pavement in the future need to be considered. For example, problems could arise with the possible re-use of modified binders in the future rehabilitation of a road.

CONTENTS

SECTION 5

	Page
5. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	115
5.1 INTRODUCTION	115
5.2 AGENCY COSTS	116
5.2.1 <i>Rehabilitation costs</i>	116
5.2.2 <i>Maintenance Costs</i>	116
5.2.3 <i>Future Capital Costs</i>	118
5.3 ROAD USER COSTS	120
5.3.1 <i>General</i>	120
5.3.2 <i>Delay Costs Due to Rehabilitation Activities</i>	120
5.3.2.1 <i>General</i>	120
5.3.2.2 <i>Vehicle operating costs</i>	121
5.3.2.3 <i>Time costs</i>	121
5.3.3 <i>Accident Costs Due to Rehabilitation Activities</i>	122
5.4 PRINCIPLES OF THE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	122
5.4.1 <i>Present Worth of Costs (PWOC)</i>	122
5.4.2 <i>Specific Aspects</i>	123
5.4.2.1 <i>Analysis period</i>	123
5.4.2.2 <i>Inflation</i>	123
5.4.2.3 <i>Discount rate</i>	124
5.5 INCORPORATING UNCERTAINTY	124

5. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

5.1 INTRODUCTION

A full-scale economic analysis to determine the cost-benefit ratio and the Internal Rate of Return (IRR) of a project to justify its commission relative to competing capital projects, is usually done prior to the awarding of contracts for project-level rehabilitation investigations as part of the prioritisation of rehabilitation projects. The methodology appropriate for use in such cases is described in References 6, 7 and 8 which may be referred to for more details.

However, after completion of the rehabilitation design as described in the preceding sections of this document, it is usually found that a number of options and various strategies can be used for the rehabilitation of the pavement. A choice among these options and strategies is now made by comparing the economical consequences of the various alternatives. The complexity of the economic analysis at this stage of the investigation is much reduced by many common factors such as traffic conditions and project length. Nevertheless, the basic principles are similar to those in a full-scale analysis and users are advised to study Reference 6.

The economic analysis is an aid in the decision-taking process. Other factors such as political or strategic considerations are also taken into account and are often decisive in the process of decision-taking. Nevertheless, the economic analysis provides an important basis for sound and objective decision-taking.

The objective of the economic analysis as part of the project-level rehabilitation investigation procedure is to determine the most economical of the appropriate remedial options. This is done by taking into account agency costs and road user costs⁶.

These include :

i. *Agency Costs:*

- initial rehabilitation costs (including costs for traffic accommodation),
- maintenance costs during the analysis period (including administration costs),

- future capital costs (e.g. stage remedial action), and
- salvage value at the end of the analysis period.

ii. *Road User Costs:*

- delay costs due to rehabilitation activities,
- vehicle operating costs,
- accident costs, and
- time costs.

The various rehabilitation options are compared by calculating the total present worth of the costs (PWOC) of the various items listed above for each option. Ideally, this comparison should incorporate for each option the probability of different outcomes and resultant actions during the analysis period to obtain the most probable cost of each option. This can be facilitated^{68,69,70,71,72,73} by the use of decision trees and Bayesian analysis. Software⁷² has been developed to assist designers in the economic appraisal of various rehabilitation options.

The recommended procedure for the economic analysis of rehabilitation options for a specific project is outlined in Figure 26⁶⁹. Although the principles of the economic analysis are described fully in Reference 6, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72 and 73 for completeness some of the aspects are repeated in this section.

5.2 AGENCY COSTS

5.2.1 *Rehabilitation costs*

This cost item is the initial cost of the rehabilitation of the section of road for the option under investigation. Current unit costs, which should include all relevant capital cost items associated with the rehabilitation construction (such as the costs of traffic accommodation), should be used in the calculations.

5.2.2 *Maintenance Costs*

Although the rehabilitation of the road provides for the structural requirements of the pavement for the duration of the design period, routine maintenance will still be needed. Maintenance costs should be included in the economic analysis and should include costs involved in the regular inspection of the pavement,

APPROPRIATE REHABILITATION OPTIONS




FIGURE 26⁷¹
FLOW DIAGRAM OF THE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
AS PART OF PROJECT LEVEL PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN

administration costs and repair costs due to natural ageing and weathering of the pavement, e.g. restoring the skid resistance and the sealing of cracks.

Many of these costs are difficult to assess since rehabilitation options often react differently with time, due to the susceptibility of certain materials to ageing, cracking or weathering. Therefore, the economic analysis should provide for the likelihood that these additional costs will occur. These costs are included as current unit costs.

Table 11 gives an indication of the expected life of pavement surfacings in South Africa under normal conditions, after which maintenance is usually required.

5.2.3 *Future Capital Costs*

This cost item includes all major capital costs foreseen during the rehabilitation design period as a result of the adoption of a specific rehabilitation option. These costs occur when, for monetary or political reasons, a holding action and future interim rehabilitation actions are considered, or when stage rehabilitation construction is considered. Current unit costs are used.

This cost item also includes the cost of major rehabilitation because of distress or lack of maintenance. Provision should be made for interim rehabilitation, taking into account the category of road, the policy of the road authority and the rehabilitated pavement structure.

5.2.4 RESIDUAL VALUE (SALVAGE VALUE)

Recent developments in the technology for the recycling of materials in South Africa, especially bituminous materials, emphasized the need to include the salvage value of a pavement in an economic analysis. This value of the pavement at the end of the analysis period is dependent on various factors, including :

- the anticipated uses of the material or pavement at the end of the analysis period, such as:
 - . the recycling of the material,
 - . the removing and selling of the material,
 - . the rehabilitation of the pavement and hence re-use of the material as a foundation for a new road,

- . the abandoning of the road with or without restoring the original vegetation, and
- . combinations of the above,
- the volume, type, age and expected life of the material, and
- the market value of the pavement or material at the end of the analysis period.

The salvage value is often difficult to assess due to various unknown factors. However, due to the length of the analysis period and the discount rate, small differences in salvage value estimates usually have little influence on the final result.

The obvious method for calculating the salvage value is to determine the value of the material at the end of the analysis period. This may be the difference between the costs of new material and costs of using the existing material on the road. For example, the salvage value of asphalt overlay can be determined by using a straight line depreciation⁷⁵, where:

$$\text{Salvage value} = \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right) \text{Cost of new overlay}$$

with

A = age of the overlay

B = expected life of the overlay.

However, the above method can be misleading if it is expected that the road will have to be rehabilitated again at the end of the analysis period. The fact that the road has already been established and is carrying traffic is worth a considerable amount and this could be a major contribution to the salvage value of a road. In these cases the difference between the cost of constructing a new road and the cost of rehabilitating and/or upgrading the existing road would best represent the salvage value of the pavement.

Since the objective of the economic analysis as part of the rehabilitation procedure is to determine the most economical rehabilitation option, the salvage value is most conveniently included in the analysis by considering the **difference in costs at the end of the analysis period, to bring all the options to the same end condition.**

5.3 ROAD USER COSTS

5.3.1 *General*

Road user costs are usually difficult to determine and hence are often neglected or disregarded in the economical evaluation of transportation facilities. This can lead to gross inaccuracies in calculating total costs, as road user costs, taken over the design period, usually far exceed the agency costs. The procedure recommended and described in detail in Reference 6 should be used to calculate road user costs.

A prerequisite of effective rehabilitative design is the provision of at least a minimum level of service throughout the design period. Therefore, differences in road user costs between different options for the same project will, in effect, be minimal and may be disregarded in a comparative study if taken over the same analysis period under the same traffic conditions. However, with current shortages in available funds, minimum standards can often not be afforded and in such cases it is essential to take account of road user costs. The effect of an increase in road roughness on vehicle operating costs is shown in Reference 6.

However, road user costs cannot be disregarded completely in comparative studies since alternative remedial options may require different construction techniques and different construction periods. This will result in differences in the disruption of traffic during the actual rehabilitation of the pavement and hence, differences in the delay costs and accident costs to the road user. Under heavy traffic conditions these costs may contribute significantly to the total cost of some remedial options and should be taken into account. In these studies, vehicle operating costs and time costs are only of importance when calculated as part of the delay costs incurred during the actual rehabilitation of the road.

5.3.2 *Delay Costs Due to Rehabilitation Activities*

5.3.2.1 *General*

This cost item can be significant, especially when a heavily trafficked road such as an interurban freeway is rehabilitated. Only the differences between the costs of the various options need to be considered.

If all the available options disrupt the flow of traffic for the same period of time and to the same extent, this cost item will be the same for all the alternatives and may be disregarded. However, comparing, for example, an overlay and reconstruction, differences in both the degree of disruption and the rehabilitation construction time will occur. In this case, delay costs may prove to be the decisive factor in selecting a rehabilitation option.

Delay costs, as a result of longer time spent on the road, the slower speeds and the more congested traffic conditions, include time costs, vehicle operating costs and accident costs. The compatible effect of all these contributory items should be included in a thorough study.

5.3.2.2 Vehicle operating costs

This cost item, taken over the design period, can usually be disregarded in the economic analysis because it is usually the same for the different options. This is due to the meeting of design requirement by the various options, e.g. the provision of an acceptable service on the same route over the design period.

Vehicle operating costs comprise the following components⁸:

- vehicle capital cost,
- vehicle maintenance cost,
- fuel costs,
- oil costs, and
- tyre costs.

Procedures for the calculation of these costs, together with data applicable for use in South Africa, are given in Reference 8. Some of the components of vehicle operating costs, such as depreciation costs, are of course not applicable when delay costs due to rehabilitation activities are calculated. Each component requires careful consideration and should not be blindly incorporated into any analysis.

5.3.2.3 Time costs

This cost item is the most difficult to assess, but is only of consequence as a part of delay costs due to rehabilitation activities. Procedures for the calculation of time costs for use in the various regions of South Africa are given in Reference 6.

5.3.3 Accident Costs Due to Rehabilitation Activities

This cost item is determined by using accident frequency and accident unit costs. Reference 6 gives information applicable for use in South Africa for calculating accident costs.

Taken over the rehabilitation design period, these costs may be equal for the various rehabilitation options and, in such cases, can be disregarded (assuming that all options will ensure that a minimum level of service is maintained during the rehabilitation design period). However, during the rehabilitation construction period, some options may cause traffic congestion, resulting in an increase in the number of accidents and hence an increase in accident cost.

5.4 PRINCIPLES OF THE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

5.4.1 Present Worth of Costs (PWOC)


The different cost items discussed in the preceding sections need to be meaningfully combined into a comparative figure for each rehabilitation option. The present worth of cost (PWOC) method is suggested for this purpose. In this method all future costs are discounted to the present worth of costs by using an acceptable discount rate. The rehabilitation option which produces the lowest expected PWOC should be selected as the most appropriate rehabilitation option.

The costs incurred during the rehabilitation design period of a rehabilitation option are graphically shown in Figure 27⁷¹. The present worth of costs of the option shown in Figure 27⁷¹ is calculated by using the following formula:

$$PWOC = P + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i (1+r)^{-i} + S_n (1+r)^{-n}$$

with:

PWOC	= Present worth of costs
P	= Initial rehabilitation costs
A_i	= Relevant costs occurring during the analysis period after i years
S_n	= Salvage value costs at the end of the analysis period n years later
n	= Analysis period
r	= Discount rate



- P = Initial rehabilitation costs (including delay costs)
 A_i = Any future costs during the analysis period occurring i years from the time of the initial rehabilitation (i.e. maintenance costs, future capital costs, etc.)
 S_n = Salvage value costs at the end of the analysis period
 n = Analysis period

FIGURE 27⁷¹
COST-FLOW DIAGRAM OF A REHABILITATION OPTION

5.4.2 *Specific Aspects*

5.4.2.1 Analysis period

The analysis period is the period over which the different remedial options are compared and during which all relevant cost items are taken into account. Usually this period is taken to be the same as the rehabilitation design period. When the PWOC method is used for the economic analysis, the analysis period must be the same for all the alternative options within a specific rehabilitation study.

5.4.2.2 Inflation

When all cost items escalate at the same rate, inflation is taken into account by calculating all future expenditures at current unit costs. The real discount rate is then used to determine the PWOC of these future costs.

However, the cost of some materials (e.g. petrol from 1974-1983) escalates at much higher or lower rates than the average inflation rate. These differences can be taken into account by applying the approach adopted in the following example:

Assume that the price of asphalt will escalate at an annual rate of 15 per cent for the next 10 years, whereas the average rate of inflation is expected to be 10 per cent per annum over the same period. The PWOC of a 50 mm asphalt overlay placed in 10 years' time is now calculated as follows:

(Assume a current discount rate of 8 %)

Current cost (1989) of 50 mm of asphalt overlay = R50 000/km

Discount rate = 8 per cent

The expected 1999 value of a 50 mm asphalt overlay in 1999

money = $R50\,000 (1 + 0,15)^{10}$

= R202 278

The 1999 value of a 50 mm asphalt overlay in 1989 money

= $R202\,278 (1 + 0,10)^{-10}$

= R77 987

The PWOC (1989) of a 50 mm asphalt overlay placed in 1999

= $R77\,987 (1 + 0,08)^{-10}$

= R36 123

5.4.2.3 Discount rate

The use of the present worth of cost method requires the selection of a suitable discount rate. This rate is dependent on various factors, including:

- the effective rate of borrowing money, and
- the rate of return that money can earn if invested.

At present, a rate of 8 per cent is prescribed by CEAS¹⁰.

5.5 INCORPORATING UNCERTAINTY

The discussion on the various cost items, highlighted the need to provide for the possible occurrence of future events which could influence pavement behaviour in the economic analysis. This is done by incorporating the principles^{68,69,70,71,72 & 73} of the Bayesian analysis and decision trees into the PWOC method. These principles are outlined in Appendix 3.

Uncertainty about the future behaviour of any rehabilitation alternative warrants the inclusion of these decision-making aids in the economic analysis. Although a designed option may provide

for the structural needs of a pavement for the next 20 years, the expected life of the asphalt surfacing for example, may only be about 10 years. Therefore, it is probable that some action will be required to seal cracks or to restore skid resistance. Furthermore, varying quality in materials, construction techniques or personal experience of conditions may possibly warrant the inclusion of other actions after a period of time.

Decision trees and the Bayesian theory are ideally suited to assess various probable outcomes within the PWOC method. This system further provides for the incorporation of personal experience of conditions and materials in a formal analysis procedure. The application of these procedures for the economic analysis of applicable rehabilitation options is demonstrated in Appendix 4.

CONTENTS

SECTION 6

	Page
6. BIBLIOGRAPHY	127

6. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Grant, M.C. and Curtayne, P.C. *Incorporating the experience of the past behaviour of pavements into rehabilitation design*. NITRR Technical Report RC/5/82, Pretoria, CSIR, 1982.

Jordaan, G.J. *Bituminous pavement rehabilitation design*. MEng (Civil) Thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 1984.

Jordaan, G.J. *A practical approach to the economic appraisal of pavement rehabilitation options*. NITRR Technical Report RP/10, CSIR, Pretoria, 1985.

Jordaan, G.J. *Analysis and development of some pavement rehabilitation design methods*. PhD (Civ Eng) thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 1988.

Jordaan, G.J., Van Zyl, G.D. and Maree, J.H. *Managerial Considerations in a comprehensive approach to pavement rehabilitation design*. Proceedings of the 1990 Annual Transportation Convention (ATC'90), Pretoria, 1990.

Rose, D.A. and Jordaan G.J. *Pavement rehabilitation: Stellenbosch to Somerset West (MR27, MR12 and Municipal MR27) - Detailed assessment, rehabilitation design and economic analysis*. CPA Roads Department CRM 85/2, Cape Town, 1985.

Servas, V.P. and Curtayne, P.C. *Pavement rehabilitation design*. NITRR Technical Report RC/3/82, Pretoria, CSIR, 1982.

CONTENTS

SECTION 7

	Page
7. REFERENCES	129

7. REFERENCES

1. HUDSON, W.R., HAAS R. and PEDIGE, R.D. *Pavement management system development*. NCHRP Report 215, Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC, 1979.
2. COMMITTEE OF STATE ROAD AUTHORITIES. *TRH22: Pavement Management Systems*. CSRA, Pretoria, 1997.
3. JORDAAN, G.J. *Analysis and development of some pavement rehabilitation design methods*. PhD (Civ Eng) thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 1988.
4. JORDAAN, G.J., VAN ZYL, G.D. and MAREE, J.H. *Managerial considerations in a comprehensive approach to pavement rehabilitation designs*. Proceedings of the 1990 Annual Transportation Convention (ATC '90), Pretoria, 1990.
5. NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR TRANSPORT AND ROAD RESEARCH. *Subsurface drainage for roads*. Draft TRH15, Pretoria, 1984.
6. SOUTH AFRICAN ROADS BOARD. *Cost-benefit analysis of rural road projects: Program CB-ROADS Version 3 - Description of methodologies*. Department of transport, Prepared by Jordaan & Joubert Inc, Pretoria, 1990.
7. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT. *Sub-manual for cost-benefit analysis of rural road projects*. Department of Transport, Pretoria, 1990.
8. CENTRAL ECONOMIC ADVISORY SERVICES. *Manual for cost-benefit analysis in South Africa*. CEAS, Pretoria, 1989.
9. CURTAYNE, P.C., and VISSER, A.T. *Road management systems: Progress in South Africa*. Proceedings of the 1986 Annual Transportation Convention (ATC '86), Pretoria, 1986.
10. MAREE, J.H., KLEYN E.G. and VAN ZYL, G.D. *A multiple analysis approach to pavement rehabilitation design*. Proceedings of the 1987 Annual Transportation Convention (ATC '87). Pretoria, 1987.
11. MITCHELL, M.F., CURTAYNE, P.C. and BOTHA, J.L. *Strategic planning and road management systems*. Proceedings of the 1986 Annual Transportation Convention (ATC '86), Pretoria, 1986.

12. TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD. *Designing safer roads: Practices for Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation*. TRB Special Report 214, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1987.
13. JORDAAN, G.J. *Bituminous pavement rehabilitation design*. M.Eng. (Civil) thesis, University of Pretoria, 1984.
14. COMMITTEE OF STATE ROAD AUTHORITIES. *Draft TRH6: Standard nomenclature and methods for describing the condition of asphalt pavements*. CSRA, Pretoria, 1980.
15. COMMITTEE OF STATE ROAD AUTHORITIES. *TMH9: Pavement managements systems: Standard visual assessment manual for flexible pavements*. CSRA, Pretoria, South Africa, 1992.
16. JORDAAN, G.J., VENTER, J.S.M. AND VAN AS, S.C. *Optimisation of the number and type of testing needed for the evaluation of pavements*. Research Report 91/249, Department of Transport, Pretoria, 1993.
17. JORDAAN, G.J. AND VAN AS, S.C. *Pavement evaluation: testing frequency requirements for deflection bowl parameters*. Proceedings of the Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa (CAPSA '94), Cape Town, South Africa, 1994.
18. RICKWOOD, D.R and CURTAYNE, P.C. *NRB data management system: Interim report: a program for assessing the special maintenance requirements of the national road network*. NITRR Technical Report RC/1/79, Pretoria, CSIR, 1979.
19. JORDAAN, G.J. and SERVAS, V.P. *Suggested condition classification for initial assessments in pavement rehabilitation design*. NITRR Technical Report RC/7/83, Pretoria, CSIR, 1983.
20. COMMITTEE OF STATE ROAD AUTHORITIES. *TRH4: Structural design of flexible pavements for interurban and rural roads*. CSRA, Pretoria, South Africa, 1997.
21. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT. *Guidelines for upgrading of low volume roads*. DOT Research Report RR 92/466/2, Pretoria, 1993.
22. KANNEMEYER, L. *Evaluation of roughness measurements in South Africa*. Draft Report, Department of Transport, Pretoria, 1993.

23. KENNEDY, C.K and LISTER, N.W. *Prediction of pavement performance and design overlays*. TRRL Laboratory Report 833, Crowthorn Berkshire, TRRL, 1978.
24. KLEYN, E.G. and SAVAGE, P.F. *The application of the pavement DCP to determine the bearing properties and performance of road pavements*. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Bearing Capacity of Roads and Airfields. Trondheim, Norway, 1982.
25. HUDSON, W.R. *Other input variables. Traffic and Environmental*. Proceedings of a symposium on the Structural Design of Asphalt Concrete Pavements to Prevent Fatigue Cracking. Highway Research Board Special Report 140, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1973.
26. COMMITTEE OF STATE ROAD AUTHORITIES. *TRH16: Determination of traffic loading parameters for pavement and rehabilitation design*. CSRA, Pretoria, 1994.
27. COMMITTEE OF STATE ROAD AUTHORITIES. *TRH3: Bituminous surface treatments for newly constructed rural roads*. Pretoria, 1971.
28. COMMITTEE OF STATE ROAD AUTHORITIES. *TRH8: Selection and design of hot-mix asphalt surfacings for highways*. Pretoria, CSIR, 1978.
29. JORDAAN, G.J., SERVAS, V.P. and FREEME, C.R. *Applicability of pavement rehabilitation design methods*. The Civil Engineer in South Africa. Year 32 No 7, Johannesburg, 1990.
30. LISTER, N.W. *Deflection criteria for flexible pavements*. TRRL Laboratory Report 375, TRRL, Crowthorn, 1972.
31. NORMAN, P.J., SNOWDON, R.A. and JACOBS, J.C. *Pavement deflection measurements and their application to structural maintenance and overlay design*. TRRL Report LR 571, Berkshire, 1973.
32. KENNEDY, C.K. FEVRE, P. and CLARKE, C.S. *Pavement deflection equipment for measurement in the United Kingdom*. TRRL Laboratory Report 834, TRRL, Berkshire, 1978.
33. KENNEDY, C.K. *Pavement deflection: operating procedures for use in the United Kingdom*. TRRL Laboratory Report 835, TRRL, Crawthorne, 1978.

34. JOOSTE, J.P. *The measurement of deflection and curvature of road surfaces*. CSIR Manual K16, National Institute for Road Research, CSIR, Pretoria, 1970.
35. LACANTE, S.C. AND DE BEER, M. *Deflection basin analysis*. Project Report 88/025, Department of Transport, Pretoria, 1991.
36. MAREE, J.H. AND JOOSTE, F.J. *Structural classification of pavements through the use of IDM deflection basin parameters*. Department of Transport, Research Report RR 91/325, Pretoria, 1992.
37. KLEYN, E.G. *Die gebruik van die dinamiese kegelpenetrometer (DKP)*. Transvaalse Paaiedepartement, Tak Materiale, Verslag 2072, Pretoria 1975.
38. KLEYN, E.G., MAREE, J.H. and SAVAGE, P.F. *The application of a portable dynamic cone penetrometer to determine in situ bearing properties of road pavement layers and subgrades in South Africa*. Proceedings of the Second European Symposium on Penetration Testing. The Netherlands, 1982.
39. KLEYN, E.G., VAN HEERDEN, M.J.J and ROSSOUW, A.J. *An investigation to determine the structural capacity and rehabilitation utilization of a road pavement using the pavement Dynamic Cone Penetrometer*. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Bearing Capacity of Roads and Airfields. Trondheim, Norway, 1981.
40. KLEYN, E.G. *Aspekte van plaveiselevaluering en -ontwerp soos bepaal met behulp van die dinamiese kegelpenetrometer*. M.Ing verhandeling, Universiteit van Pretoria, Pretoria, 1984.
41. KLEYN, E.G. and VAN HEERDEN, M.J.J. *Using DCP soundings to optimize pavement rehabilitation*. Proceedings of the 1983 Annual Transportation Convention. Johannesburg.
42. THE ASPHALT INSTITUTE. *Asphalt overlays and pavement rehabilitation*. Asphalt Institute Manual (MS-17), USA, 1969.
43. KLEYN, E.G., DE WET, L.F. AND SAVAGE, P.F. *The development of an equation for strength balance of road pavements structures*. The Civil Engineer in South Africa, Johannesburg, 1989.
44. DE BEER, M., KLEYN, E.G. AND SAVAGE, P.F. *Towards a classification system for the strength balance of thin surfaced flexible pavements*. Proceedings of the Annual Transportation Convention (ATC'88), Pretoria, 1988.

45. HORAK, E. *Aspects of deflection basin parameters used in mechanistic rehabilitation design procedures for flexible pavement in South Africa.* PhD Thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 1988.
46. FREEME, C.R. *Evaluation of pavement behaviour for major rehabilitation of roads.* NITRR Technical Report RP/19/83, Pretoria, CSIR, 1983.
47. DE BEER, M. *Aspects of the design and behaviour of road structures incorporating lightly cementitious layers.* PhD (Civ. Eng) thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 1990.
48. JORDAAN, G.J. *Towards improved procedures for the mechanistic analysis of cement-treated layers in pavements.* Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Asphalt Pavements, Nottingham, England, 1992.
49. JORDAAN, G.J. *Pavement situation identification and the influence thereof on rehabilitation design.* Proceedings of the Annual Transportation Convention of 1987 (ATC '87) Pretoria, 1987.
50. JORDAAN, G.J. *The classification of pavement rehabilitation design methods.* DRTT Research Report DPVT5, CSIR, Pretoria, 1988.
51. BARKSDALE, R.D. and HICKS, R.G. *Material characterization and layered theory for use in fatigue analysis.* Proceedings of the Symposium on the Structural Design of Asphalt Concrete Pavements to Prevent Fatigue Cracking. Highway Research Board, Washington, DC, 1973, pp 20-48.
52. BURMISTER, D.M. *The theory of stresses and displacements in layered systems and applications to the design of airport runways.* Proceedings, Highway Research Board. Vol. 23. Washington, DC, 1943, pp 126-147.
53. JORDAAN, G.J. *Guidelines towards the use of the Asphalt Institute's method for pavement rehabilitation design.* DRTT Research Report DPVT 55, CSIR, Pretoria, 1989.
54. JORDAAN, G.J. *Guidelines towards the use of a rehabilitation design method based on dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP) measurements as developed in South Africa.* DRTT Research Report DPVT 43, CSIR, Pretoria, 1989.

55. JORDAAN, G.J. *An assessment of the TRRL surface deflection method for pavement rehabilitation design*. DRTT Research Report DPVT 54, CSIR, Pretoria, 1989.
56. KOOLE, R.C. *Overlay design based on falling weight deflectometer measurements. Pavement evaluation and overlay design*. A Symposium and related papers. Transportation Research Record 700, TR5, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC, 1979, pp 59-72.
57. CLAESSEN, A.I.M. and DITMARCH, R. *Pavement evaluation and overlay design. The Shell Method*. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on the Structural Design of Asphalt Pavements, Vol. 1, Ann Arbor, 1977, pp 649-662.
58. CLAESSEN, A.I.M. and VALKERING, C.O. and DITMARCH, R. *Pavement evaluation with the falling weight deflectometer*. Proceedings of the AAPT, Vol 46, New Orleans, 1976, pp 122-157.
59. CLAESSEN, A.I.M. and DITMARCH, R. Presentation and discussion of the paper. *Pavement evaluation and overlay design. The Shell method*. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on the Structural Design of Asphalt pavements. Vol. 2, Ann Arbor, 1977, pp 202-209.
60. SHELL INTERNATIONAL PETROLEUM COMPANY LIMITED. *Shell pavement design manual - asphalt pavements and overlays for road traffic*. London, 1978.
61. JORDAAN, G.J. *An assessment of the South African (SA) mechanistic pavement rehabilitation design method based on the linear elasticity theory*. DRTT Research Report DPVT 69, CSIR, Pretoria, 1990.
62. JORDAAN, G.J. *Pavement rehabilitation design based on maximum surface deflection measurements*. Research Report 91/243, Department of Transport, Pretoria, 1994.
63. JORDAAN, G.J. *Pavement rehabilitation design based on pavement layer component tests (CBR and DCP)*. Research Report 91/241, Department of Transport, Pretoria, 1994.
64. JORDAAN, G.J. *The South African mechanistic pavement rehabilitation design method*. Research Report 91/242, Department of Transport, Pretoria, 1994.

65. PROVINCIAL ADMINISTRATION OF THE ORANGE FREE STATE. *Riglyne vir Raadgewende Ingenieurs ten opsigte van die rehabilitasie van paaie.*
66. VAN DER MERWE, C.J. *Some aspects of road subsurface drainage in South Africa.* PhD (Civ Eng) thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 1989.
67. KLEYN, E.G. and STRAUSS, P.J. *The selection of asphalt wearing courses in the management of pavements.* Proceedings of the 1986 Annual Transportation Convention (ATC'86). Pretoria, 1986.
68. ANG, A.H.S and TANG, W.H. *Probability concepts in engineering planning and design.* Volume 1, Basic Principles, New York, Wiley, 1975.
69. WOWKER, A.H. and LIEBERMANN, B.J. *Engineering statistics.* 2nd Edition, Englewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall, 1972.
70. CURTAYNE, P.C. and SERVAS, V.P. *Economic considerations in the design of pavement rehabilitation.* NITRR Technical Report RC/2/83, Pretoria, CSIR, 1983.
71. JORDAAN, G.J. *A practical approach to the economic appraisal of pavement rehabilitation options.* NITRR Technical Report RP/10, Pretoria, CSIR, 1983.
72. JORDAAN, G.J., OLWAGEN, C.D. and VOS, R.M. *The economic appraisal of short-term rehabilitation options.* Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa, Cape Town, 1994.
73. OLWAGEN, C.D., JORDAAN, G.J. and VOS, R.M. *The REACT computer program for the economic evaluation of short-term rehabilitation options.* Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa, Cape Town, 1994.
74. SABITA. REACT - Economic analysis of short-term rehabilitation actions. Manual 16, Cape Town, 1994.
75. EPS, J.A., FIN, F.N. and MONISMITH, C.L. *Pavement Management including recycling.* University of California, Berkeley, 1982.

76. JORDAAN, G.J, SERVAS, V.P. and MARAIS, C.P. *The effective use of non-destructive testing methods in pavement rehabilitation design*. Proceedings of the 1986 Conference on Bearing Capacity of Roads and Airfields, Plymouth, England, 1986.
77. HORAK, E. *Aspects of deflection basin parameters used in mechanistic rehabilitation design procedures for flexible pavements in South Africa*. PhD Thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 1988.
78. HORAK, E. MAREE, J.H. and VAN WIJK, A.J. *Procedures for using Impulse Deflectometer (IDM) measurements in the structural evaluation of pavements*. Proceedings of the 1989 Annual Transportation Convention (ATC'89), Pretoria, 1989.
79. MAREE, J.H. *Structural classification of pavement structures using measured deflection bowl parameters. The IDMP program*. Scott & de Waal Inc, Sandton, 1990.

CONTENTS

SECTION 8

	Page
8. GLOSSARY OF TERMS	138

8. GLOSSARY OF TERMS (See Figure 28)

Analysis period - a selected period over which the present worth of construction costs, maintenance costs (including user costs) and salvage value are calculated for alternative designs and during which full reconstruction of the pavement is undesirable.

Base - the layer(s) occurring immediately beneath the surfacing and over the subbase or, if there is no subbase, over the subgrade.

Behaviour - the function of the condition of the pavement with time.

Cause of distress - a set of circumstances necessary for the occurrence of the distress.

Coefficient of skid resistance - coefficients of friction obtained by instruments used to assess skid resistance under conditions similar to those experienced by skidding vehicles.

Cumulative number of equivalent 80 kN axles - the total equivalent standard axle loads (E80s) over a design period.

Deflection (representative) - the value of surface deflection measured under a standard 40 kN dual wheel load that is used to represent the deflection of a section of road. It is that deflection below which the deflection of 95 per cent of the section length occurs.

Deflection (surface) - the recoverable vertical movements of the pavement surface caused by the application of a wheel load.

Deformation - a mode of distress, the manifestation of unevenness of the surface profile.

Degree of distress - a measure of the severity of the distress.

Design CBR of subgrade - the representative laboratory California Bearing Ratio value for the subgrade which is used in the structural design.

Design cumulative equivalent traffic (N_e) - the cumulative equivalent traffic on the heaviest trafficked lane predicted for the structural design period.

Distress - the visible manifestation of the deterioration of the pavement with respect to either the serviceability of the structural capacity.




FIGURE 28
PAVEMENT STRUCTURE TERMINOLOGY

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) - an instrument for assessing the in-situ CBR of materials.

Equivalent traffic - the number of equivalent 80 kN (standard) single axle loads (E80's or ESA's) which cause the same cumulative damage as the actual traffic spectrum.

Equivalent vehicle unit (e.v.u.) - the number of through-moving passenger cars to which a given vehicle is equivalent, based on its headway and delay-creating effects.

Extent of distress - proportion of the pavement exhibiting this distress or the frequency of occurrence of the distress.

Fill - subgrade material placed over the roadbed.

Geometric design - the design of the geometry of the road surface for traffic flow and for the safety and convenience of the road user.

Heavy vehicle - a vehicle with an axle load $> 4\,000$ kg, usually with dual rear wheels.

Heavy Vehicle Simulator (HVS) - a machine for the accelerated simulation of traffic loads on a section of pavement.

Initial equivalent traffic - the average daily equivalent traffic predicted for the first year of the structural design period.

Interurban road - a primary road between urban areas carrying from light to heavy traffic with a high level of service.

Length of distress - a measure of the extent of distress.

Lacroix deflectograph - a machine for the measurement of deflection on the surface of a pavement under a standard 40 kN dual wheel load.

Maintenance - a remedial measure to improve the serviceability (not usually the geometric properties) or the structural capacity of a road.

Maintenance priorities - the selection of certain maintenance tasks deemed to be of greater economic value than others.

Material depth - the depth defining the pavement and the minimum depth within which the material CBR should be at least 3 percent at in situ density.

Material properties - the reaction of various road building materials to changes in stress under varying conditions of moisture and density.

Maximum annual deflection - the deflection measured under a standard 40 kN dual wheel load adjusted for seasonal variations to represent the maximum measurable deflection during a one-year period on a section of road.

Maximum legally permissible axle load - maximum axle load legally allowed on South African roads from 1996 (South African, 1996) (see below):

Type of axle	No of tyres per axle	Mass (kg)	Load (kN)*
Single axle (steering)	2 or 3	7 700 (7 700)	76
Single axle (non-steering)	2 or 3	8 000 (7 700)	78
Single axle	4 or more	9 000 (8 200)	88
Tandem axle	4 or more	18 000 (16 400)	176
Tridem axle	4 or more	24 000 (21 000)	235

() Previous legal load limits

* $g = 9,8 \text{ m/s}^2$

Mechanistic analysis - analysis of a system taking into account the interaction of various structural components as a mechanism, here used to describe a design procedure based on fundamental theories of structural and material behaviour in pavements.

Mechanism of distress - the physical process by which the cause of the distress results in the distress.

Modes of distress - the six major classes of distress.

Modified material - a material the physical properties of which have been improved by the addition of a stabilising agent but in which cementation has not occurred.

Multi-depth Deflectometer (MDD) - instrument for the measurement of in-situ deflections at various depths in a pavement.

Pavement behaviour - the function of the condition of the pavement with time.

Pavement description - description of the condition of a road in terms of its ability to fulfill its functional requirements.

Pavement evaluation - the assessment of the degree to which the road fulfils its functional requirements.

Pavement layers - the combination of material layers constructed over the subgrade in order to provide an acceptable facility on which to operate vehicles.

Pavement situation - incorporates all the design (structural, traffic and environmental) variables and performance (surface and material) variables that could influence pavement behaviour.

PCA Roadmeter - instrument for assessing riding quality, developed by the Portland Cement Association.

Performance - the measure of satisfaction given by the pavement to the road user over a period of time, quantified by a serviceability/age function.

Position of distress - the situation of distress with respect to the surface of the road.

Present worth of costs - sum of the costs of the initial construction of the pavement, the later maintenance costs and the salvage value discounted to a present monetary value.

PSI - Present Serviceability Index, a measure of riding quality obtained by the use of instruments.

Pumping - the mechanism causing water to move soil fines from within the pavement up through the surface of the pavement. Also used as a description of the appearance of the pavement as a result of the mechanism working.

Reflection cracks - cracks in asphalt overlays or surface treatments that reflect the crack pattern of the pavement structure underneath.

Rehabilitation design period - the chosen minimum period for which a pavement rehabilitation is designed to carry the traffic in the prevailing environment, with a reasonable degree of confidence, without necessitating further pavement rehabilitation.

Riding quality - the general extent to which road users experience a ride that is smooth and comfortable or bumpy and thus unpleasant and perhaps dangerous.

Roadbed - the in situ material below selected layers and fill (see previous Figure 28).

Rural road - a surfaced secondary road serving small rural communities and carrying very light traffic with a relatively low level of service.

SCRIM - instrument for the assessment of skid resistance.

Selected layer - the lowest of the pavement layers, comprising controlled material, either in situ or imported (classification codes G7 to G10).

Serviceability - the measure of satisfaction given by the pavement to the road user at a certain time, quantified by factors such as riding quality and rut depth.

Skid resistance - the general ability of a particular road surface to prevent skidding of vehicles.

Slab - the pavement layer of concrete which is placed over a prepared subbase and acts as base and surfacing combined.

Smoothing of surface texture - mode of distress, loss of macrotexture and/or microtexture.

Standard Axle (SA) - 80 kN single axle dual wheel configuration is the Standard Axle (SA) in South Africa. The tyre contact stress is 520 kPa. (The maximum legally permissible single axle load (4 or more tyres) is 88 kN.)

Structural capacity - the ability of the pavement to withstand the effects of climate and traffic.

Structural description - a list of values of properties of the pavement relevant to a mechanistic evaluation.

Structural design - the design of the pavement layers for adequate structural strength under the design conditions of traffic loading, environment and subgrade support.

Structural design period - the chosen minimum period during which the pavement is designed to carry the traffic in the prevailing environment with a reasonable degree of confidence that structural maintenance will not be required.

Structural distress - distress pertaining to the load-bearing capacity of the pavement.

Structural evaluation - the assessment of the structural capacity of a pavement.

Structural maintenance - measures that will strengthen, correct a structural flaw in, or improve the riding quality of an existing pavement, e.g. overlay, smoothing course and surface treatment, partial reconstruction (say base and surfacing), etc.

Structural response - the stresses and strains introduced in to the pavement by loading on the surface.

Structural strength - an assessment of the strains that can be tolerated by the pavement in relation to those induced by a standard axle load under prevailing environmental conditions.

Subbase - the layer(s) occurring beneath the base or concrete slab and over the selected layer.

Subgrade - the completed earthworks within the road prism prior to the construction of the pavement. This comprises the in situ material of the roadbed and any fill material. In structural design only the subgrade within the material depth is considered.

Subgrade design unit - a section of subgrade with uniform properties and/or load-bearing capacity.

Surface distress - distress pertaining to the wearing and skid resistance of the surfacing.

Surfacing - the uppermost pavement layer which provides the riding surface for vehicles.

Surfacing integrity - a measure of the condition of the surfacing as an intact and durable matrix (it includes values of porosity and texture).

Surfacing maintenance/rehabilitation - measures that maintain the integrity of the surface in respect of skid resistance, disintegration and permeability, without necessarily increasing the structural strength of the pavement.

Terminal level - a minimum acceptable level of some feature of the road in terms of its serviceability.

Types of distress - the sub-classification of the various manifestations of a particular mode of distress.

Volume binder concentration - the volume of binder in a sample of asphalt expressed as a percentage of the bulk volume of the asphalt.

CONTENTS

APPENDIX 1

	Page
1. CONDITION ASSESSMENT: PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR THE EVALUATION OF PAVEMENTS	147
1.1. GENERAL	147
1.2 VISUALLY RECORDED DISTRESS	149
1.3 MECHANICAL PAVEMENT SURVEILLANCE MEASUREMENTS	151
1.3.1 <i>Assessment of serviceability</i>	152
1.3.2 <i>Assessment of structural capacity</i>	153
1.4 PAVEMENT EVALUATION FORM	155

APPENDIX 1

1. CONDITION ASSESSMENT: PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR THE EVALUATION OF PAVEMENTS

1.1. GENERAL

Depending on the parameter under investigation, performance criteria could depend on the category of road (importance and traffic loading), the pavement structure and the drainage of the pavement. Criteria are established for three condition classifications, i.e. sound, warning and severe, the definition of which depends on the parameter under investigation.

In reference to the present condition of the pavement as recorded during the detailed visual inspection and the measurement of the present serviceability of the pavement, the criteria refer to acceptability of the pavement in terms of existing distress (e.g. cracking, deformation, etc.), the existence of which is usually recorded on visual inspection forms. An example of a form to be used at project level is shown in Figure 29. In these cases the criteria are defined as follows:

- sound condition: present condition is adequate
- warning condition: uncertainty exists about the adequacy of the present condition, and
- severe condition: present condition is inadequate.

In terms of the measured pavement or material response, the parameters are used to assess the structural capacity of the pavement. In these cases the pavement condition is defined as follows:

- sound condition: the measured or recorded parameter is of such magnitude that the pavement should be able to carry the design traffic for the specific category of road without deteriorating to a critical state (stage considered most economical for rehabilitation);
- warning condition: the measured or recorded parameter is of such magnitude that the pavement should be able to carry the minimum design traffic, but not the maximum design traffic for that specific category of road without reaching a critical state

DATE 29/4/82 ROAD P12/1 DIRECTION S N PAGE No 1
 NAME: J SMITH LANE: SLOW CHAINAGE 16,0 - 16,5
 NB: READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE USE

CHAINAGE (km)			16,0	16,1	16,2	16,3	16,4	16,5
CRACKING	POSITION	ROAD WIDTH						
	TYPE		L	C	T			
	DEGREE		3	4	3			
	SPACING (m)				2			
DEFORMATION	POSITION	ROAD WIDTH						
	TYPE			RU	CO			
	DEGREE			3/3	2			
	SPACING (m)				2			
BREAKING - UP OF SURFACE	POSITION	ROAD WIDTH						
	TYPE		EB	PH	PA	PA		EB
	DEGREE		5	4	5		5	
				5x1	10x1			
SMOOTHING OF SURFACE	POSITION	ROAD WIDTH						
	TYPE				BL			
	DEGREE				3			
CONSTRUCTION DETAILS AND DEFICIENCIES	POSITION	ROAD						
			BLOCKED DRAIN					
	CUT / FILL		F1		CI	F4		
				XXXX				
TOPOGRAPHY VEGETATION GEOLOGY	POSITION	ROAD						
	TYPE		GRASS REEDS					

FIGURE 29
 A COMPLETED EXAMPLE OF A FORM USED FOR A DETAILED VISUAL
 INSPECTION AS PART OF THE PROJECT LEVEL INVESTIGATION

(the pavement is expected to deteriorate to a level between a critical and failed state); and

- severe condition: the measured or recorded parameter is of such magnitude that the pavement is expected to deteriorate beyond a critical state before the minimum design traffic loading for a specific category of road had been reached.

1.2 VISUALLY RECORDED DISTRESS

The criteria refer to the extent of the distress measured as a percentage of a unit length. Recommendations towards appropriate basic unit lengths to be used for the analysis of pavements for project level investigations are given in Table 14¹⁶. For visually recorded distress these are dependent on the category of road and the type of distress, as shown in Figure 30⁷⁶. The criteria recommended in Table 15⁷⁶ are derived from Figure 30⁷⁶, based on South African experience. Only distress with a severity rating (degree) of 3 to 5 is used for determining the condition of the pavement.

The following general rules apply:

	Extent of distress (severity rating 3 to 5)	< X	Sound condition
X ≤	Extent of distress (severity rating 3 to 5)	< Y	Warning condition
Y ≤	Extent of distress (severity rating 3 to 5)		Severe condition

However, for combinations of cracking or cracking other than crocodile and longitudinal cracking the percentage of length of the different types of cracking are added together and considered as "other" cracking.

For patching:

- replace the "extent of distress" with the equation:

$$Lp + \sqrt{A_L}$$

where

Lp = % of unit length covered by patching

A_L = area of patches in m²

TABLE 14¹⁶: SOME RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE BASIC UNIT LENGTHS TO BE USED DURING PROJECT LEVEL INVESTIGATIONS FOR THE PROCESSING OF DATA

ROAD CLASSIFICATION	LENGTH* OF BASIC EVALUATION SECTIONS FOR USE DURING PROJECT LEVEL INVESTIGATIONS
Major inter city freeway	50 m to 100 m
Rural freeway (Category A road)**	100 m to 200 m
Major rural road (Category B road)**	100 m to 400 m
Tertiary rural road (Category C and D road)**	100 m to 1 000 m
Major city road	50 m to 100 m
Collectors	50 m to 200 m
Residential roads	50 m to 400 m

(Note: Several basic unit lengths are usually combined to form a uniform pavement section which is used to determine structural needs.)

* It is expected that these recommended lengths could change as a result of a thorough investigation on appropriate standards.

** Categories of road as contained in Table 3²⁰.

TABLE 15⁷⁶: PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR VISUALLY RECORDED DISTRESS

Distress Parameters	Category of road					
	A		B		C and D	
	X	Y	X	Y	X	Y
Cracking:						
- Crocodile	5	15	10	20	15	25
- Longitudinal	30	60	45	75	60	90
- Other*	10	30	20	40	30	50
Deformation	5	15	10	20	15	25
Disintegration						
- Patching	10	30	20	40	30	50
- Ravelling	20	40	30	50	40	60
Smoothing	20	40	30	50	40	60

* Combinations of cracking or cracking other than crocodile or longitudinal




FIGURE 30⁷⁶
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR VISUALLY RECORDED DISTRESS

Drainage problems such as blocked side drains, lack of drain facilities and standing water next to the road should be recorded as severe on the detailed visual inspection forms.

1.3 MECHANICAL PAVEMENT SURVEILLANCE MEASUREMENTS

It is considered acceptable for a small percentage of a length of road to perform unsatisfactorily at the end of the rehabilitation design period. This percentage depends on the category of road. The percentile levels recommended are given in Table 16¹³.

TABLE 16¹³: PERCENTILE LEVELS RECOMMENDED FOR DATA PROCESSING

Category of road	Length of road allowed to perform unsatisfactorily at the end of its design life (%)	Percentile levels recommended for data processing
A	5	95
B	10	90
C	20	80
D	50	50

1.3.1 *Assessment of serviceability*

The following parameters are measured to assess serviceability*:

- Riding quality in Present Serviceability Index (PSI), International Road Index (IRI) or Half-car Roughness Index (HRI)
- Rut depth in mm, and
- Skid resistance in the sideways force coefficient at a speed of 50 km/h (SPC50).

Criteria recommended for riding quality and rut depth are given in Table 17^{13,20}. Skid resistance criteria are risk related and thus vary, depending on logistics and other pragmatic issues relevant to particular circumstances.

TABLE 17^{13,20}: PERFORMANCE CRITERIA RECOMMENDED FOR THE ASSESSMENT

Road category	Riding quality (PSI)		Riding quality (IRI)		Riding quality (HRI)		Rut depth (mm)		Skid resistance (SFC80)	
	X	Y	X	Y	X	Y	X	Y	X	Y
A	3,0	2,5	2,9	3,5	2,0	2,7	10	20	Refer road authority	
B	2,5	2,0	3,5	4,2	2,7	3,5	10	20		
C	2,0	1,5	4,2	5,1	3,5	4,5	10	20		

* Riding quality and rut depth could also be used in the assessment of the structural capacity of the pavement.

1.3.2 Assessment of structural capacity

The following parameters are generally measured in South Africa to assess structural capacity:

- maximum surface deflection in mm (using an 80kN single axle load),
- deflection bowl parameters (using an 80kN single axle load),
- DCP measurements in mm/blow.

Performance criteria recommended for these parameters are given in Table 18⁷⁶. The criteria for deflection and radius of curvature measurements are shown in Figures 31(a)⁷⁶ and 31(b)⁷⁶.

In recent years sophisticated apparatus for the evaluation of the structural capacity of pavements has become available for use in South Africa. This includes various instruments for the measurement of the deflection bowl, e.g. the Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) and Deflectograph.

TABLE 18⁷⁶: PERFORMANCE CRITERIA RECOMMENDED FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF STRUCTURAL

Parameter	Basecourse material	Moisture regime	Category of road					
			A		B		C	
			X	Y	X	Y	X	Y
Deflection (Benkelman beam) (mm)	NGB (USB)	-	0,4	0,7	0,5	1,0	0,7	1,4
	NGB (TSB)	-	0,3	0,6	0,4	0,9	0,6	1,3
	LCB	-	0,3	0,6	0,4	0,8	0,6	1,2
	BTB	-	0,2	0,5	0,3	0,7	0,5	1,0
	CTB	-	0,2	0,4	0,3	0,6	0,4	0,8
Radius of curvature (m) (Dehlen Curvature Meter)	NGB (USB)	-	100	60	80	45	60	30
	NGB (TSB)	-	150	80	110	60	80	40
	LCB	-	200	100	150	75	100	50
	BTB	-	300	130	200	90	130	65
	CTB	-	300	150	200	100	150	80
DCP measurements (DSN ₈₀₀) *	-	M1	350	155	230	110	155	70
	-	M2	430	190	290	130	190	90
	-	M3	540	240	360	160	240	110
	-	M4	670	300	440	200	300	140

* DSN = DCP structure number




FIGURE 31(a)⁷⁶
 CRITERIA FOR MEASURED MAXIMUM SURFACE DEFLECTION
 (BENKELMAN BEAM)




FIGURE 31(b)⁷⁶
 CRITERIA FOR MEASURED RADIUS OF CURVATURE
 (DEHLEN CARVATURE METER)

where

$$DSN_{800} = \sum_{n=1}^x \frac{h_n}{DN_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^x H_n = 800 \text{ mm}$$

h_n = thickness of the n^{th} pavement layer

DN_n = the DCP number of the n^{th} pavement layer, i.e. the penetration of the DCP in mm/blow

x = the number of pavement layers to a depth of 800 mm.

In general, the deflection basin parameters as defined in Table 19⁷⁷ are used to assess the structural capacity of a pavement.

The magnitude of these parameters not only depends on the characteristics of the pavement, but also on the type of instrument used to measure the deflection bowl. Hence, different criteria for the various parameters should be determined for each type of instrument. Currently, limited work in this regard has been done in South Africa.

Research is still in progress on criteria applicable for use, for these deflection bowl parameters as a rough indicator of pavement condition and available criteria^{77,78,79} differ somewhat. Table 20^{77,78} and Table 21⁷⁹ contain criteria for the rough assessment of deflection bowl parameters measured with the Falling Weight Deflectometer (Impulse Deflection Meter). Table 21⁷⁹ was derived from Figure 32⁷⁹. It is clear from a comparison between Table 20^{77,78} and 21⁷⁹ that some significant differences in the criteria exists and extreme care should be taken in the application of these criteria which should only be used as a rough first indicator of pavement condition.

1.4 PAVEMENT EVALUATION FORM

All information gathered on the road is taken into account when dividing it into uniform pavement sections. It follows that the information needs to be combined in an easily understandable and manageable format. An example of a form used for this purpose is given in Figures 33¹³.

TABLE 19⁷⁷: SUMMARY OF THE MOST COMMONLY USED DEFLECTION BOWL PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	FORMULA	MEASURING DEVICE
1. Maximum Deflection	δ_0	Benkelman beam Lacroix deflectograph
2. Radius of Curvature	$R = \frac{r^2}{2 \delta_0 (1 - \delta_r / \delta_0)}$ $r = 127 \text{ mm}$	Curvaturemeter
3. Spreadability	$\frac{[(\delta_0 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3) / 5] 100}{\delta_0}$	Dynaflect
4. Area	$A = 6 [1 + 2 (\delta_1 / \delta_0) + 2 * (\delta_2 / \delta_0) + \delta_3 / \delta_0]$	Falling weight deflectometer (FWD)
5. Shape Factors	$F_1 = (\delta_0 - \delta_2) / \delta_1$ $F_2 = (\delta_1 - \delta_3) / \delta_2$	FWD
6. Surface Curvature Index	SCI = $(\delta_0 - \delta_2)$, where $r = 305 \text{ mm}$ or $r = 500 \text{ mm}$	Benkelman beam Road rater FWD
7. Base Curvature Index	BCI = $\delta_{610} - \delta_{915}$	Road rater
8. Base Damage Index	BDI = $\delta_{305} - \delta_{610}$	Road rater
9. Deflection Ratio	$Q_r = \delta_r / \delta_0$ where $\delta_r \approx \delta_0 / 2$	FWD
10. Bending Index	BI = δ / a where $a = \text{Deflection Basin Length}$	Benkelman beam
11. Slope of Deflection	SD = $\tan^{-1} (\delta_0 - \delta_r) / r$ where $r = 610 \text{ mm}$	Benkelman beam

TABLE 20^{77,78}: TYPICAL MEASUREMENT RANGES FOR DEFLECTION BOWL PARAMETERS AS MEASURED WITH THE IDM

GRANULAR BASE PAVEMENT						
TRAFFIC CLASS	BEHAVIOUR STATE	MAX. DEFL. (mm)	SD ($\times 10^{-6}$)	SCI (mm)	BDI (mm)	BCI (mm)
E4	Very stiff	< 0,30	150	< 0,08	< 0,05	< 0,04
E3	Stiff	0,30 - 0,50	150 - 400	0,08 - 0,25	0,05 - 0,15	0,04 - 0,08
E2	Flexible	0,50 - 0,75	400 - 900	0,25 - 0,50	0,15 - 0,20	0,08 - 0,10
E1	Very flexible	> 0,75	> 900	> 0,50	> 0,20	> 0,10
ASPHALT BASE PAVEMENT						
TRAFFIC CLASS	BEHAVIOUR STATE	MAX. DEFL. (mm)	SD ($\times 10^{-6}$)	SCI (mm)	BDI (mm)	BCI (mm)
E4	Very stiff	< 0,25	100	< 0,05	< 0,03	< 0,03
E3	Stiff	0,25 - 0,40	100 - 300	0,05 - 0,20	0,03 - 0,10	0,03 - 0,05
E2	Flexible	0,40 - 0,60	200 - 750	0,20 - 0,40	0,10 - 0,15	0,05 - 0,08
E1	Very flexible	> 0,60	> 750	> 0,40	> 0,15	> 0,08
CEMENTED BASE PAVEMENT (CRUSHED STONE CEMENTED) [SUGGEST SEPARATE SET FOR STAB. GRAVELS]						
TRAFFIC CLASS	BEHAVIOUR STATE	MAX. DEFL. (mm)	SD ($\times 10^{-6}$)	SCI (mm)	BDI (mm)	BCI (mm)
E4	Initial phase slab state	< 0,15	< 80	< 0,04	< 0,03	< 0,03
E3	Initial fatigue cracking	0,15 - 0,25	80 - 250	0,04 - 0,10	0,03 - 0,06	0,03 - 0,05
E2	Substantial fatigue cracking	0,25 - 0,40	250 - 400	0,10 - 0,30	0,06 - 0,10	0,05 - 0,08
E1	Flexible phase	> 0,40	> 400	> 0,30	> 0,10	> 0,08
CONCRETE BASE PAVEMENT						
TRAFFIC CLASS	BEHAVIOUR STATE	MAX. DEFL. (mm)	SD ($\times 10^{-6}$)	SCI (mm)	BDI (mm)	BCI (mm)
E4	Initial phase slab state	< 0,10	NA	NA	NA	NA
E3	Initial fatigue cracking	0,10 - 0,20	NA	NA	NA	NA
E2	Substantial fatigue cracking	0,20 - 0,30	NA	NA	NA	NA
E1	Flexible phase	> 0,30	NA	NA	NA	NA

NA = Not available

TABLE 21⁷⁹: TYPICAL CRITERIA FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF DEFLECTION BOWL PARAMETERS MEASURED WITH THE IDM

GRANULAR BASE PAVEMENT					
E80 CLASS	ALLOWED TRAFFIC (E80 X 10 ⁶)	LIMITS (μm)			
		YMAX.	SCI	BDI	BCI
EOL	0,05 - 0,1	1 050 - 1 300	700 - 900	410 - 560	230 - 310
EOH	0,1 - 0,2	870 - 1 050	540 - 700	310 - 410	170 - 230
E1L	0,2 - 0,4	700 - 870	420 - 540	230 - 310	125 - 170
E1H	0,4 - 0,8	580 - 700	320 - 420	170 - 230	94 - 125
E2L	0,8 - 1,6	470 - 580	250 - 320	130 - 170	70 - 94
E2H	1,6 - 3,0	390 - 470	200 - 250	100 - 130	54 - 70
E3L	3,0 - 6,0	320 - 390	160 - 200	75 - 100	40 - 54
E3H	6,0 - 12,0	260 - 320	120 - 160	55 - 75	29 - 40
E4L	12,0 - 24,0	210 - 260	90 - 120	40 - 55	21 - 29
E4H	24,0 - 50,0	170 - 210	70 - 90	30 - 40	16 - 21
E5	50,0 - 100,0	0 - 170	0 - 70	0 - 30	0 - 16
ASPHALT BASE PAVEMENT					
E80 CLASS	ALLOWED TRAFFIC (E80 X 10 ⁶)	LIMITS (μm)			
		YMAX.	SCI	BDI	BCI
EOL	0,05 - 0,1	1 000 - 1 220	610 - 800	340 - 460	200 - 270
EOH	0,1 - 0,2	790 - 1 000	470 - 610	260 - 340	150 - 200
E1L	0,2 - 0,4	640 - 790	360 - 470	190 - 260	110 - 150
E1H	0,4 - 0,8	510 - 640	270 - 360	145 - 190	80 - 110
E2L	0,8 - 1,6	410 - 510	210 - 270	110 - 145	59 - 80
E2H	1,6 - 3,0	340 - 410	160 - 210	84 - 110	44 - 59
E3L	3,0 - 6,0	270 - 340	125 - 160	63 - 84	33 - 44
E3H	6,0 - 12,0	220 - 270	95 - 125	47 - 63	24 - 33
E4L	12,0 - 24,0	170 - 220	70 - 95	35 - 47	18 - 24
E4H	24,0 - 50,0	140 - 170	54 - 70	26 - 35	13 - 18
E5	50,0 - 100,0	0 - 140	0 - 54	0 - 26	0 - 13
CEMENT-STABILISED BASE PAVEMENT					
E80 CLASS	ALLOWED TRAFFIC (E80 X 10 ⁶)	LIMITS (μm)			
		YMAX.	SCI	BDI	BCI
EOL	0,05 - 0,1	940 - 1 150	560 - 750	300 - 400	180 - 240
EOH	0,1 - 0,2	740 - 940	420 - 560	230 - 30	130 - 180
E1L	0,2 - 0,4	590 - 740	320 - 420	170 - 230	96 - 130
E1H	0,4 - 0,8	470 - 590	240 - 320	125 - 170	70 - 96
E2L	0,8 - 1,6	370 - 470	180 - 240	94 - 125	52 - 70
E2H	1,6 - 3,0	300 - 370	140 - 180	72 - 94	39 - 52
E3L	3,0 - 6,0	240 - 300	110 - 140	54 - 72	29 - 39
E3H	6,0 - 12,0	190 - 240	80 - 110	40 - 54	21 - 29
E4L	12,0 - 24,0	150 - 190	60 - 80	29 - 40	15 - 21
E4H	24,0 - 50,0	120 - 150	45 - 60	22 - 29	11 - 15
E5	50,0 - 100,0	0 - 120	0 - 45	0 - 22	0 - 11




FIGURE 32⁷⁹
LIMITING CRITERIA FOR FWD DEFLECTION PARAMETERS




FIGURE 33
EXAMPLE OF A PLOT OF THE PROCESSED DATA FOR THE EVALUATION OF PAVEMENTS

CONTENTS

APPENDIX 2

Page

1.	SOME PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS USED IN SOUTHERN AFRICA: - PRINCIPLES AND MAIN CHARACTERISTICS	162
1.1	THE ASPHALT INSTITUTE METHOD ^{37,43}	162
1.1.1	<i>Principles of the Method</i>	162
1.2	THE DCP METHOD ^{22,32,33,34,35,36,44}	165
1.2.1	<i>Principles of the Method</i>	165
1.3	THE TRRL SURFACE DEFLECTION METHOD ^{21,28,29,30,31,45}	166
1.3.1	<i>Principles of the method</i>	166
1.4	THE SHELL OVERLAY DESIGN METHOD ^{46,47,48,49,50}	169
1.4.1	<i>Principles of the method</i>	169
1.5	THE SOUTH AFRICAN MECHANISTIC DESIGN METHOD ^{3,39,51}	174
1.5.1	<i>Principles of the method</i>	174

APPENDIX 2

1. SOME PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN METHODS USED IN SOUTHERN AFRICA: - PRINCIPLES AND MAIN CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 THE ASPHALT INSTITUTE METHOD^{37,43}

1.1.1 *Principles of the Method*

The method was published in 1969 by the Asphalt Institute. The design manual covers both geometric and structural improvements of pavements in order to increase the traffic capacity, load carrying ability and the safety of the road user.

The manual identifies the following causes of a structurally inadequate pavement:

- increase in traffic;
- change in the pavement material properties, and
- inadequate design procedures.

Different causes of the pavement distress problem are identified, but no distinction is made between the recommended approaches to evaluation and rehabilitation design of any pavement. Two empirically derived procedures for the evaluation of structural adequacy and overlay design are given. These procedures are presented as applicable to all flexible pavements and any cause and mechanism of distress. The recommended procedures are based on the pavement component analysis and pavement response analysis (surface deflection) approaches.

Although seemingly different, both the recommended procedures are empirically derived and aim at providing adequate protection to the subgrade of the pavement. In this case the pavement rehabilitation problem is approached in a similar way to well-known empirical methods used for the design of new pavements, such as the CBR approach. Some subjective use of the existing condition of the pavement is made in the pavement component analysis procedure.

The main characteristics of the Asphalt Institute method are summarized in Figure 34⁴ and in Table 22⁴.




FIGURE 34⁴
MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ASPHALT INSTITUTE METHOD (MANUAL 17)
FOR THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF PAVEMENT REHABILITATION

TABLE 22⁴: MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ASPHALT INSTITUTE PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN METHOD

DEVELOPED	BASIS OF DERIVATION	CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO APPROACH	PAVEMENT EVALUATION SURVEYS	APPLICABILITY	INPUT TO DESIGN	LIMITATIONS (main)	ADVANTAGES (main)
Asphalt Institute in the USA	Empirical	Pavement response analysis 1 Deflection-based 2 Pavement component analysis	1 Surface deflections 2 CBR of subgrade Type, thickness and condition of pavement layers	- Developed with data from pavements with granular sub-layers and thin surfacings - Pavement component analysis method incorporates all types of material using conversion factors and subjective condition ratings	- Surface deflection - Temperature - Past traffic loading - Future traffic loading - CBR of subgrade (DSS) - Type, condition, thickness of pavement layers - Past traffic loading - Future traffic loading	- Empirically base with limitations to applicability - Design based on protection of subgrade only - No seasonal adjustment factors given - AI curve applicable to traffic loading up to $7,3 \times 10^6$ EBOs - Component analysis procedure applicable up to $36,5 \times 10^6$ EBOs	- Based on easy-to-apply and well-known concepts
ASSUMPTIONS			MATERIAL CHARACTERIZATION	RESIDUAL LIFE	BASIS OF DESIGN LIFE	PAVEMENT TRANSFER FUNCTIONS CONSIDERATION	
- Those applicable to deflection-based methods - Deflection overlay thickness relation incorporates assumptions of linear elasticity theory - Those applicable to pavement component analysis method - The cover requirement of the pavement is independent of the requirements of the individual pavement layers. - All pavement materials can be converted to an equivalent asphalt thickness.			1 - 2 Uses subjective assessment of the condition of pavement layers	1 Use of deflection versus life curve 2 Uses nomograph relating pavement equivalent thickness to life	- Protection of the subgrade - Pavement deformation	1 Deflection of structure	

1.2 THE DCP METHOD^{22,32,33,34,35,36,44}

1.2.1 *Principles of the Method*

The DCP pavement rehabilitation design method is empirically derived and based on observations and experience with the use of the DCP mainly in the Transvaal region of South Africa. The assumptions applicable to the pavement component analysis approach are also applicable to this method. The method was basically developed for use on pavements with thin surfacings and natural gravel sublayers. However, research has shown that the method can also be used on pavements with lightly cemented layers ($UCS < 3\ 000\text{ kPa}$). Research is continued to determine the applicability of this method to pavements other than those consisting of layers with granular materials only.

In the development of the method the first objective was to improve the utilization of DCP tests as a measurement of the structural capacity of pavements. Many of the concepts used originated from practical experience with the use of the instrument. Results from HVS tests (58 HVS tests performed from 1976 to 1983) were used to verify these concepts and to establish structural capacity curves. The development of these curves was based on a rut depth of 20 mm measured under a 2 m straight-edge which was defined as representing a terminal pavement condition. The design curves were derived using the mean values measured and are therefore only an indication of mean expected life.

The method aims at achieving a balanced pavement design and to optimizing the utilization of the in-situ pavement material strength. This is done through the design of a pavement capable of carrying the expected future traffic and by comparing the existing pavement DCP properties with those of the design pavement. This method could lead to various applicable design pavements as several pavement layer configurations will be capable of carrying a specified design traffic. Therefore, a trial-and-error procedure will have to be used to determine the most economical rehabilitation option, taking into account the existing pavement structure and the materials locally available. Ample opportunity is allowed for the assessor to incorporate his own experience in the design of applicable rehabilitation alternatives.

The main characteristics of the method are summarized in Figure 35⁴ and in Table 23⁴.

1.3 THE TRRL SURFACE DEFLECTION METHOD^{21,28,29,30,31,45}

1.3.1 *Principles of the method*

The pavement evaluation and rehabilitation design method developed at the Transport and Road Research Laboratory (TRRL) was published in 1978. The method is empirically derived and uses surface deflection as a design parameter. It was based on many years of research and experimental work on roads dating back to 1956.

The main objective of the method is to provide a system for the design of pavement-strengthening measures that will enable the engineer to:

- predict the remaining life of a pavement before a critical condition is reached, and
- design the thickness of overlay required to extend the life of the pavement to carry a given design traffic.

The method is based on the characterization of the structural condition of the pavement through the measurement of surface deflection. Although all measurements were based on deflections under a dual wheel load of 3 175 kg moving at creep speed, this can easily be adopted to deflections measured under a 80 kN (8 175 kg) dual wheel load. The design manual pays special attention to the analytical procedures and the correct measurements, adjustment and use of pavement surface deflections in the various design charts. The method also takes into account and distinguishes between deflections measured on the main types of roadbase.

The recommended method entails the following:

- i. measurement of the surface deflection of the pavement;
- ii. adjustment of the measured deflection;
- iii. estimation of past, present and future expected traffic on the pavement;




FIGURE 35⁴
MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DYNAMIC CONE PENETROMETER (DCP) METHOD
FOR PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN

TABLE 23⁴: MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DCP PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN METHOD

DEVELOPED	BASIS OF DERIVATION	CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO APPROACH	PAVEMENT EVALUATION SURVEYS	APPLICABILITY	INPUT TO DESIGN	BASIS OF DESIGN LIFE	PAVEMENT TRANSFER FUNCTIONS CONSIDERED
South Africa (Transvaal Roads Department)	Empirical	Pavement component analysis	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) measurements	- Light pavements with granular sub-layers and thin surfacings - Pavements with lightly cemented layers (further research) - Developed with data from balanced pavements only	- DCP curve (DN of layers) - Moisture regime - Design traffic	- Prevention of excessive stress in layers through balanced design - Prevention of excessive deformation through shear of pavement layers	
ASSUMPTIONS		MATERIALS CHARACTERIZATION	RESIDUAL LIFE	LIMITATIONS (main)		ADVANTAGES (main)	
- Those applicable to pavement component analysis methods - The bearing capacity of the pavement is a function of the combined strength of all the pavement layers to a depth of 800 mm - Pavement requiring rehabilitation are "in balance"		- DCP penetrations (DN) used to determine the CBR or UCS of materials	- Not provided for but the structural capacity versus DSN800 relation can be used to estimate residual life	- Empirically based with limitations associated with the component analysis approach - Does not take seasonal variations into account - Some concepts have not been properly verified in practice - For use on balanced pavements only - Design curves applicable to traffic between $0,2 \times 10^6$ and 10×10^6 E80s		- Based on NDT in situ pavement tests - Allows for the assessment of individual pavement layers - Based on the optimum utilization of in situ pavement layer properties	

- iv. prediction of the residual life of the pavement before reaching a critical condition;
- v. design of an overlay to extend the life of the pavement to carry the design traffic; and
- vi. matching the overlay design to variations in the structural condition of the pavement.

The main characteristics of the TRRL deflection method are summarized in Figure 36⁴ and Table 24⁴.

1.4 THE SHELL OVERLAY DESIGN METHOD^{46,47,48,49,50}

1.4.1 *Principles of the method*

The Shell overlay design method was developed at the Koninklijke Shell Laboratorium in Amsterdam from the Shell design procedure for new pavements. The charts originally developed for the design of new pavements are also used for the design of asphalt overlays for existing pavements. Non-destructive test (NDT) methods are used to assess the properties of the existing pavement.

In the Shell method, a number of design charts are used to determine the required thickness of overlays for the rehabilitation of a pavement. The design charts were derived from the results of analyses of pavements by means of the theory of linear elasticity. In these analyses the pavement was assumed to be adequately represented by a three-layered model consisting of a top layer (surfacing) of asphaltic material, a middle layer (base) of granular or cementitious material and a bottom layer (subgrade) of semi-infinite dimensions as shown in Figure 37. In this model the pavement materials are characterized by the following properties:

- surfacing layer: the effective modulus of deformation (E_1 value), a Poisson's ratio (ν_1) and a layer thickness (h_1),
- base layer: the effective modulus of deformation (E_2 value), a Poisson's ratio (ν_2) and a layer thickness (h_2), and
- subgrade layer: the effective modulus of deformation (E_3 value) and a Poisson's ratio (ν_3) (the layer thickness is assumed to be infinite).




FIGURE 36⁴
MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TRRL METHOD FOR THE
STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF PAVEMENT REHABILITATION

TABLE 24⁴: MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TRRL SURFACE DEFLECTION METHOD

DEVELOPED	BASIS OF DERIVATION	CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO APPROACH	PAVEMENT EVALUATION SURVEYS	APPLICABILITY	INPUT TO DESIGN	LIMITATIONS (main)	ADVANTAGES (main)
United Kingdom (TRRL)	Empirical	Pavement response analysis (deflection based)	- Surface deflections - Temperature	- Design charts developed for main types of flexible pavement - Some limitations of use for pavements with cemented layers	- Surface deflections - Temperature at time of measurements - Thickness of asphalt layer - Type of pavement - Past traffic loading - Future traffic loading	- Empirically based with limitations in applicability - No seasonal adjustment given - Applicable for traffic loading up to 10×10^6 E80s - Design (except for pavement with cemented layers) based on deformation originating from the subgrade only	- Base on easy NDT testing - Is easy to use - Distinguishes between the types of pavement - Identifies some limitations in the use of the method on pavements with cemented layers - Adjust deflections to take the effect of temperature variations into account
ASSUMPTIONS			MATERIALS CHARACTERIZATION	RESIDUAL LIFE	BASIS OF DESIGN LIFE	PAVEMENT TRANSFER FUNCTIONS CONSIDERED	
- Those applicable to deflection-based methods - Pavement life is a function of type of pavement and surface deflection			-	Use of deflection versus life curves	Deformation in the form of rut depth except for pavements with cemented layers where cracking is used as a criterion	Deflection of structure	




FIGURE 37
SIMPLIFIED PAVEMENT STRUCTURE

In the development of the method, the BISAR computer program was used to calculate the stresses and strains in pavement structures. The results obtained were used to compile the design charts. The primary design criteria used for the compilation of these charts were:

- the compressive vertical strain in the surface of the subgrade which controls deformation of the subgrade material;
- the horizontal tensile strain in the asphalt layer which controls cracking of the asphalt layer, and
- the tensile stress of strain in any cementitious base layer which controls cracking of the cementitious layer.

In the evaluation of pavements using the derived charts, fixed values are assumed for the Poisson's ratios for all the layers. Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) measurements, material tests and available data are used to determine the other in-situ properties. The maximum deflection and the shape of the deflection bowl, as measured by the FWD, are used to determine the subgrade modulus (E_3) and the effective thickness of the asphalt layer (h_1). The deflection bowl is characterized by the ratio (Q_r) of the deflection at a distance r from the load (s_r) to the deflection under the center of the test load (s_0). The distance r should preferably be such that $Q_r \sim 0,5$.

The design charts ensure that the strains (mentioned above) are limited to such an extent that virtually no cracking will occur in the structure and that there will be no excessive permanent deformation in the subgrade during the design life of the pavement.

Practical constraints made it impossible to develop design charts for every conceivable pavement configuration. Hence, the method will often require design charts to be interpolated for overlay design. The use of such charts eliminates the need to calculate the critical parameters of the pavement through computer simulation of the pavement response.

Although the design approach is based on the abovementioned strain criteria, the Shell method also provides for the testing of the expected permanent deformation in the asphalt layer and for checking of the maximum overlay thickness. Provision is made to include climatic variations (temperature changes) in the design of

the overlay. Procedures included in the method take into account the variations in asphalt mix properties available for overlays and assess their differences in comparison with the asphalt on the existing pavement and their influence on the expected future behaviour of the rehabilitated pavement.

The main characteristics of the Shell overlay design method are summarized in Figure 38⁴ and Table 25⁴.

1.5 THE SOUTH AFRICAN MECHANISTIC DESIGN METHOD^{3,39,51}

1.5.1 *Principles of the method*

The South African mechanistic rehabilitation design method was developed at the Division of Roads and Transport Technology, CSIR. It incorporates experience in pavement behaviour gathered through many years of accelerated pavement testing with the fleet of Heavy Vehicle Simulators (HVSs).

The method is based on the theory of linear elasticity. In practice, this theory is applied to pavement analysis through the use of a catalogue of behaviour states or, alternatively, through a computer-aided simulation of the response of the pavement under loading. The catalogue includes assessments of the behaviour and condition of a large number of pavements. Information is given on average values for several pavement indicators and on possible visual distress, recommended test methods, recommended analysis methods and possible rehabilitation options. Therefore, the catalogue can be used to do a comprehensive analysis of a pavement. The theory of linear elasticity as well as results and experience gained over many years of HVS testing have been combined to compile the catalogue.

A prerequisite for the use of the method is the identification of the class, type and state of the pavement and the state of the pavement materials as shown in Figure 39. The material in each pavement layer is classified and material properties are assigned to each pavement layer through the use of published values selected from tables. These tables were compiled from practical experience with HVS testing and from the theoretical analysis of pavement under various conditions. (Naturally, elastic properties obtained by means of other procedures may also be used.) The state of the pavement and the material properties are used in the




FIGURE 38
MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SHELL OVERLAY DESIGN METHOD

TABLE 25: MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SHELL OVERLAY DESIGN METHOD

DEVELOPED	BASIS OF DERIVATION	CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO APPROACH	PAVEMENT EVALUATION SURVEYS	APPLICABILITY	INPUT TO DESIGN	LIMITATIONS (main)	ADVANTAGES (main)
The Netherlands (Koninklijke Shell Laboratorium Amsterdam)	Theoretical (linear elasticity theory)	Application through the use of design charts	Falling weight Deflectometer (FWD)	All types of flexible pavements (special provision for cementitious layers)	- Maximum deflection - Deflection ratio (Qr) - Temperature	- Incorporates only temperature changes - as a climate effect - Does not assess unbound pavement layers - Charts based on asphalt fatigue and subgrade deformation only	- Bases on NDT tests - Tests overlay mix properties into account - Incorporates and allows for temperature gradients in the asphalt layer - Allows for the checking of deformation in asphalt layers - Incorporates different climates through w-MAAT factor
ASSUMPTIONS			MATERIALS CHARACTERIZATION	RESIDUAL LIFE	BASIS OF DESIGN LIFE	PAVEMENT TRANSFER FUNCTIONS CONSIDERED	
- Those applicable to linear elasticity theory - Distress = f (asphalt strain, subgrade strain) - Modulus of base is a function of modulus of subgrade and the thickness of the base layer - Poisson's ratio for all layers = 0,35			Use NDT (FWD) measurements - maximum deflections (σ) - deflection ratio (Qr) - temperature - thickness of base (h_2)	Use FWD measurements between the wheel tracks to determine as built pavement life from design charts	- Tensile strain in asphalt - Compressive strain in subgrade - Viscous-nature of asphaltic material	- Deflection basin - Asphalt tensile strain - Subgrade compressive stress	

PAVEMENT CLASS (TRAFFIC)	PAVEMENT TYPE	PAVEMENT STATE	PAVEMENT LAYER STATE	PRINCIPAL DESIGN INPUTS	EVALUATION OF FATIGUE PROPERTIES
--------------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------------




FIGURE 39
IDENTIFICATION OF THE STATE AND CONDITION OF A PAVEMENT AS REQUIRED BY THE
MECHANISTIC PAVEMENT REHABILITATION DESIGN METHOD

the catalogue of behaviour states to identify and correlate the condition of the existing pavement with one of the analysed pavements from the catalogue. The assessor is advised only to do a computer-aided analysis of the pavement when no correlation can be achieved.

The method relies strongly on the correct identification of the current state and condition of the pavement. The role of specialized tests to assist in the identification of the behaviour state is discussed. These tests are not used for the direct characterization of material properties and pavement behaviour. Instead the pavement class, type and state as well as the material state are used somewhat subjectively to assign pavement properties to the different layers. Due to the emphasis on pavement behaviour, much effort is put into a detailed description of the change in behaviour with time and traffic loading. All the main flexible types of pavement (granular, cemented and bituminous) are discussed and changes in conditions, such as in the pavement layer state, are also taken into account.

In a computer-aided analysis the material properties already assigned are used in computer programs to calculate the critical distress parameters. The modulus of deformation (E), Poisson's ratio (ν) and thickness (h) of each layer (subgrade considered as semi-infinite in thickness) are used in any of a number of available programs based on the theory of linear elasticity. The calculated critical parameters (stresses, strains and deformations) are weighted against limiting criteria to determine the need to strengthen the pavement. No method is given to determine directly the thickness of overlay, if applicable, or the amount and type of strengthening required. Rehabilitation options (e.g. thickness of an overlay) are determined through estimation or trial and error.

The method provides for a comprehensive theoretical analysis of various possible material conditions for the different pavement types. This method is one of the few that allows for the evaluation of all the types of pavement material in all the pavement layers, such as granular materials in a base or subbase layer. However, no provision is made for evaluation of possible permanent deformation in asphalt layers or of the pavement as a whole.

Although the method recognizes the importance of environmental changes such as temperature and moisture, no procedure is given for the inclusion of these factors in the rehabilitation design.

The main characteristics of the South African mechanistic design method are given in Figure 40⁴ and Table 26⁴.




FIGURE 40
MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN MECHANISTIC REHABILITATION DESIGN METHOD

TABLE 26: MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN MECHANISTIC REHABILITATION DESIGN METHOD

DEVELOPED	BASIS OF DERIVATION	CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO APPROACH	PAVEMENT EVALUATION SURVEYS	APPLICABILITY	INPUT TO DESIGN	LIMITATIONS (main)	ADVANTAGES (main)
South African (NITRR)	Theoretical (Linear elasticity theory)	1 Application through the use of a catalogue of behaviour states or alternatively 2 Non-simplified approach using computer assisted simulation	- Deflections - Cores - Test pits	All flexible and rigid types of pavement	- Pavement class - Pavement type - Pavement state - Pavement layer state	- Catalogue includes a limited number of analyses covering only the main trends in behaviour - No direct procedure of material characterization is given - Does not take into account variations in environmental conditions - Does not take into account past life of the pavement	- Allows for analysis of all types of material in all type of layers - Allows for analysis of pavements with thin asphalt layers
ASSUMPTIONS	MATERIALS CHARACTERIZATION	RESIDUAL LIFE		BASIS OF DESIGN LIFE		PAVEMENT TRANSFER FUNCTIONS CONSIDERED	
- Those applicable to the use of linear elasticity theory - State of the pavement is a function of surface deflection	Assign moduli from tables using: - state of material - state of pavement - type of material	Not discussed but: 1 Catalogue difficult to use for the assessment of residual life 2 Non-simplified approach is used for the assessment of various distress parameters and thus for prediction of residual life		- Bituminous layers: Tensile strain at bottom of layer - Cemented layers: Tensile strain at bottom of layer - Granular layers: Stress state of layer - Subgrade: Vertical strain at the top of the layer		- Stress in granular materials - Strain in bituminous and cementitious materials	

CONTENTS

APPENDIX 3

	Page
1. CONCEPTS IN DECISION THEORY	183

APPENDIX 3

1. CONCEPTS IN DECISION THEORY

The object of this appendix is to give a basic introduction to the concepts of Bayesian analysis and decision trees recommended for use in the economic appraisal of alternative rehabilitation options. The Bayesian approach is described in detail by Ang and Tang⁵⁷ and Bowker and Liebermann⁵⁸.

In pavement rehabilitation design there is considerable doubt about the condition of the pavement after a certain period. This is due to various uncertainties such as variations in the quality of material and in construction techniques, and uncertain traffic conditions. To allow for possible deterioration in the condition of the pavement, a technique is introduced whereby the possibility of different outcomes after a certain period of time is included in the analysis. This approach allows subjective judgment, based on experience of conditions and materials, to be incorporated in the system with observed data to obtain a balanced estimation of the expected cost of an alternative. For any given action (rehabilitation option) the engineer often has some knowledge of the possible outcome or outcomes (conditions) to be expected after a certain period of time. He may also expect one outcome to be more likely to occur than others, and therefore could subjectively assign probabilities of occurrence to each possible outcome. For example, in Figure 41, an illustration of a branch of a decision tree, a given action a may have three possible outcomes with values θ_1 , θ_2 and θ_3 , which, it is judged, may occur with respective probabilities of P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 .

In this case the expected value of a is given as:

$$E(a) = P_1 \theta_1 + P_2 \theta_2 + P_3 \theta_3$$

$$= \sum_i P_i \theta_i$$

$$\text{where } \sum_i P_i = 1$$




FIGURE 41
EXAMPLE OF A GIVEN ACT WITH
THREE POSSIBLE OUTCOMES

In practice one action is generally followed by another after a certain time, for example an overlay is followed by a seal when the overlay is cracked after a time. The principle illustrated in Figure 41 may now be extended to include a further action with its own possible outcomes, as illustrated in the decision tree in Figure 42. The information in Figure 41 is contained in Table 27.

TABLE 27: THE RESULTANT OUTCOMES AND ACTIONS FOR TWO TIME PERIODS FOR ANY ONE INITIAL ACTION AS ILLUSTRATED IN FIGURE 36

Initial Act	Probability	Outcome	Second Act	Probability	Outcome
a_1	P_{11}	θ_{11}	b_{11}	P_{1111} P_{1112}	θ_{1111} θ_{1112}
			b_{112}	P_{1121} P_{1122}	θ_{1121} θ_{1122}
	P_{12}	θ_{12}	b_{121}	P_{1211} P_{1212}	θ_{1211} θ_{1212}
			b_{122}	P_{1221} P_{1222} P_{1232}	θ_{1221} θ_{1222} θ_{1232}
			b_{123}	P_{1231} P_{1232}	θ_{1231} θ_{1232}
	P_{13}	θ_{13}	b_{131}	P_{1311} P_{1312}	θ_{1311} θ_{1312}
			b_{132}	P_{1321} P_{1322}	θ_{1321} θ_{1322}




FIGURE 42
DECISION TREE WITH OUTCOMES AND POSSIBLE SECOND ACTS
FOR TWO TIME PERIODS

The expected value of the example contained in Table 20 is given as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\alpha_i) &= P_{11} \max \{ (P_{1111} \theta_{1111} + P_{1112} \theta_{1112}) ; (P_{1121} \theta_{1121} + P_{1122} \theta_{1122}) \} \\
 &+ P_{12} \max \{ (P_{1211} \theta_{1211} + P_{1212} \theta_{1212}) ; (P_{1221} \theta_{1221} + P_{1222} \theta_{1222} \\
 &+ P_{1223} \theta_{1223}) ; (P_{1231} \theta_{1231} + P_{1232} \theta_{1232}) \} \\
 &+ P_{13} \max \{ (P_{1311} \theta_{1311} + P_{1312} \theta_{1312}) ; (P_{1321} \theta_{1321} + P_{1322} \theta_{1322}) \} \\
 &= \sum_i P_{ii} \max \left(\sum_j P_{1i1j} \theta_{1i1j} ; \sum_j P_{1i2j} \theta_{1i2j} ; \sum_j P_{1i3j} \theta_{1i3j} \right) \\
 &\text{where } \sum_i P_{ii} = 1 \\
 &\sum_j P_{1i1j} = 1 ; \sum_j P_{1i2j} = 1 ; \sum_j P_{1i3j} \theta_{1i3j} = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

The example illustrated in Figure 42 and Table 27 represents the analysis of one rehabilitation alternative only. All appropriate options should be analysed in a similar manner. The expected values of each appropriate option, analysed over the same period, may then be compared to determine the option with the lowest expected value.

CONTENTS

APPENDIX 4

	Page
1. EXAMPLE: ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF APPLICABLE REHABILITATION OPTIONS USING THE CONCEPTS OF DECISION TREES AND BAYESIAN THEORY	188
1.1 BACKGROUND	188
1.2 SECTIONS REQUIRING STRUCTURAL STRENGTHENING .	188
1.3 SECTIONS REQUIRING RESEALING	192

APPENDIX 4

1. EXAMPLE: ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF APPLICABLE REHABILITATION OPTIONS USING THE CONCEPTS OF DECISION TREES AND BAYESIAN THEORY

In this hypothetical example a rehabilitation option is analysed using decision trees and the Bayesian theory to calculate the PWOC of the option. Various outcomes and appropriate actions are considered over the rehabilitation design period of 20 years.

1.1 BACKGROUND

The slow lane of a pavement consisting of a 50 mm asphalt surfacing on top of two 115 mm cement treated base course (CTB) layers exhibited severe distress in the form of cracking and pumping and was identified for rehabilitation. A detailed condition assessment indicated that the distress was confined to the wearing course and the upper zone of the base.

The road was divided into uniform pavement sections according to their rehabilitation requirements. These sections were divided into those requiring:

- no rehabilitation,
- sealing of cracks, and
- structural strengthening.

For this example the options applicable to the sections requiring structural strengthening and those requiring sealing of cracks are analysed to show the application of the various principles as discussed in Section 5 and Appendix 3.

1.2 SECTIONS REQUIRING STRUCTURAL STRENGTHENING

The subsequent rehabilitation design showed the following options to be applicable for restoring the pavement sections requiring structural strengthening:

- a. Replacing the upper CTB with a virgin bitumen-treated base (BTB) and reinstating the wearing course with asphalt.
- b. Replacing the upper CTB with a recycled mix, using available

material reclaimed from the wearing course, and reinstating the wearing course with a virgin asphalt (40 mm) to match the existing asphalt.

- c. Replacing the upper CTB with granular base material (G2). Reinstating wearing course with asphalt (40 mm) to match.
- d. Replacing the upper CTB with the milled CTB material as a granular base, treated with emulsion (+ 1,0 per cent net bitumen) to aid compaction and reduce water sensitivity. Reinstating wearing course with asphalt (40 mm) to match.

The options listed above are now economically analysed and compared (1990 money):

- a. Removal of wearing course by milling, milling of upper 100 mm of CTB, replacing removed CTB with a BTB (90 mm) and replacing asphalt wearing course.

Milling of asphalt (30 mm)	: R 0,44/m ³
Milling of CTB (100 mm)	: R 17,68/m ³
Hauling of milled CTB to spoil (10 km)	: R 7,00/m ³
BTB supply & compact	: R120,00/t
Asphalt wearing course	: R130,00/t

Costs calculated per km for 3,7 m lane width.

Milling of asphalt	: R1 628,00
Milling of CTB	: R6 541,60
Hauling of CTB to spoil	: R3 174,60 (includes 1.3 bulk factor)
BTB, supply and place	: R 91 908,00
Asphalt wearing course	: <u>R 44 252,00</u>

R147 504,20

Costs which will be common to all rehabilitation options being investigated, e.g. transportation of milled wearing course and possible seal on top of new asphalt wearing course (for uniformity of texture), have not been included in the economic comparison.

- b. Removal of wearing course by milling, milling of upper 100 mm of CTB, replacing the removed CTB with a BTB using reclaimed wearing course to constitute 25 % of the new mix, reinstating the asphalt wearing course.

Milling of asphalt (30 mm)	: R 0,44/m ²
Milling of CTB (100 mm)	: R 17,68/m ³
Hauling of milled CTB to spoil (10 km)	: R 7,00/m ³
Recycled asphalt (BTB)	: R 100,00/t
Asphalt wearing course	: R 130,00/t

Costs calculated per km for 3,7 m lane width

Milling of asphalt	: R 1 628,00
Milling of CTB	: R 6 541,60
Hauling of CTB to spoil (10 km)	: R 3 174,60
Asphalt (90 mm)	: R 76 590,00
Asphalt wearing course	: <u>R 44 252,00</u>

R132 186,20

- c. Removal of wearing course by milling, milling of upper 100 mm of CTB, replacing removed CTB with crushed stone granular base (G2) and replacing wearing course.

Milling of asphalt (30 mm)	: R 0,44/m ²
Milling of CTB (100 mm)	: R 17,68/m ³
Hauling of milled CTB to spoil (10 km)	: R 7,00/m ³
G2 crushed B/C (90 mm)	: R 60,00/m ³
Asphalt wearing course (40 mm)	: R 130,00/t

Costs calculated per km for 3,7 m lane width

Milling of asphalt	: R 1 628,00
Milling of CTB	: R 6 541,60
Hauling of CTB to spoil	: R 3 174,60
G2 crushed B/C	: R 19 980,00
Asphalt wearing course	: <u>R 44 252,00</u>

R75 576,20

- d. Removal of wearing course by milling, milling of upper 100 mm CTB, retaining milled material and recompact with emulsion (+ 1,0 per cent net bitumen), replacing asphalt wearing course.

Milling of asphalt (10 mm)	: R 0,44/m ²
Milling of CTB (100 mm)	: R17,68/m ³
Addition of emulsion (1,0% net, bit)	
incl. spraying, mixing & compaction)	: R26,00/m ³
Compaction of B/C	: R 6,00/m ³
Asphalt wearing course (40 mm)	: R130/t

Costs calculated per km for 3,7 m lane width

Milling of asphalt (30 mm)	: R 1 628,00
Milling of CTB (100 mm)	: R 6 541,60
Addition of emulsion	: R 8 658,00
Mixing and compaction	: R 1 988,00
Asphalt wearing course (40 mm)	: <u>R44 252,00</u>

R63 077,60

From the above it is clear that for options (c) and (d) the initial costs are significantly lower than for the other options considered.

Past experience has shown that there should not be any significant difference in the expected life of any of the options and hence (a), (b) and (c) are rejected for economical reasons.

Option (c) has an initial cost 20 per cent greater than that of option (d). As no real difference can be expected in the salvage value between the options, nor any difference in maintenance during the design life, the initial costs may in this case be used for comparison without a detailed economic analysis.

Option (d), is thus recommended for those sections identified for rehabilitation.

1.3 SECTIONS REQUIRING RESEALING

These sections are structurally sound but have open cracks allowing for the ingress of water into the pavement. Although it was considered likely that a conventional seal would prove adequate for sealing these pavement sections, other applicable options such as a bitumen rubber seal or the option to postpone treatment ("do nothing now") should also be investigated.

Unit costs assumed for the economic comparisons of the various options (given per km for 3,7 m width) are:

- | | |
|---|-------------|
| a. Rehabilitation (according to option (d) in the preceding section) | R63 000/km |
| b. Conventional 9,5 mm reseal (R2,60/m ²) | R 9 600/km |
| c. Bitumen rubber 9,5 mm reseal (2,7 l/m ² , 104 c/l sprayed, 160 c/m ² chipping) | R16 280km/h |

Salvage Value Costs

The "salvage value cost" is the cost of rehabilitation required at the end of the analysis period for each option which would theoretically reinstate the road to a similar "end" condition. In this case it was decided to "reinstate" the road to a freshly rehabilitated condition, e.g. having an uncracked surface.

An accelerated test showed that even if the surfacing is kept sealed against the ingress of water, the slow lane, under its expected traffic loading, will deform to a terminal state in 20 years (requiring rehabilitation). Should the pavement be susceptible to water ingress, the pavement is expected to reach a terminal condition within 5 years.

Option "do nothing"

If no remedial action is taken to seal these pavement sections, it is assumed that the pavement will require rehabilitation after an additional 5 years.

For this option (do nothing at present - refer to Figure 43) two conditions are considered possible after 5 years, i.e.:

- Condition 1A: cracked and deformed condition with the probability of occurrence being 70 per cent (subjectively determined),
- Condition 1B: cracked condition (severe cracking and pumping) with the probability of occurrence being 30 per cent (subjectively determined).

If the pavement is cracked and deformed after 5 years (Option 1A) it will have to be rehabilitated (according to approach already recommended).

After a further 10 years the rehabilitated pavement may be cracked (Option 1A1, 40 per cent probability) or it may be in a sound condition (Option 1A2, 60 per cent probability).

For the cracked condition (Option 1A1) two options are considered, i.e. a conventional reseal (Option 1A1/1), which at year 20 should be sound (100 per cent), or alternatively to do nothing (Option 1A1/2), which at year 20 may be cracked (40 per cent) or cracked and deformed (60 per cent). For the sound condition (Option 1A2) no remedial action is deemed necessary and it is considered that at year 20 it may be cracked (70 per cent probability) or sound (30 per cent probability).

A similar approach of reasoning to the above is adopted for the other two options which are analysed in this example.

The analysis is done using a discount rate of 6 per cent.

Condition 1A: Pavement Cracked and Deformed

Abbreviations used	I/C	= initial cost
	B/R	= bitumen rubber
	C/S	= conventional seal
	R	= rehabilitation

1S1/1: Initial Cost of Conventional Seal (discounted for 15 years at 6 per cent p.a.) + (E Probability of occurrence X salvage value costs discounted for 20 years).



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{I/C C/S (15 yrs)} + 1,0 (\text{R52 050}) (20 \text{ yrs}) \\
&= (\text{R9 600}) (1,06) - 15 + 1,0 (\text{R52 050}) (1,06) - 20 \\
&= \text{R4 004} + \text{R16 228} \\
&= \text{R20 234/km}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1\text{A1/2: } &\text{I/C nil (15yrs)} + 0,6 (\text{R63 000})(20\text{yrs}) + \\
&(\text{R56 860})(20\text{yrs}) \\
&= \text{nil} + 0,6 (\text{R63 000})(1,06) - 20 + (\text{R56 860})(1,06) - 20 \\
&= \text{nil} + \text{R11 7860} + \text{R7 092} \\
&= \text{R18 878/km}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1\text{A2/1: } &\text{I/C nil (15yrs)} + 0,7 (\text{R56 860})(20 \text{ yrs}) + 0,3 \\
&(\text{R47 260})(20 \text{ yrs}) \\
&= \text{nil} + 0,7 (\text{R56 860})(1,06) - 20 + 0,3 (\text{R47 260})(1,06) - 20 \\
&= \text{nil} + \text{R12 410} + \text{R4 420} \\
&= \text{R16 830/km}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1\text{A: } &\text{I/C R (5yrs)} + 0,4 (\text{R18 878}) + 0,6 (\text{R16 830}) \\
&= (\text{R63 000})(1,06) - 5 + 0,4 (\text{R18 878}) + 0,6 (\text{R16 830}) \\
&= \text{R47 080} + \text{R7 552} + \text{R10 098} \\
&= \text{R64 730/km}
\end{aligned}$$

Condition 1B: Pavement Cracked

$$\begin{aligned}
1\text{B1/1: } &\text{I/C R (15yrs)} + 1,0 (\text{R15 760})(20\text{yrs}) \\
&= (\text{R63 000})(1,06) - 15 + 1,0 (\text{R15 760})(1,06) - 20 \\
&= \text{R26 288} + \text{R4 914} \\
&= \text{R31 202/km}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1\text{B1/2: } &\text{I/C nil} + 1,0 (\text{R63 000})(20\text{yrs}) \\
&= \text{nil} + \text{R19 644} \\
&= \text{R19 644/km}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1\text{B1: } &\text{I/C B/R (5yrs)} + 0,6 (\text{R31 202}) + 0,4 (\text{R19 644}) \\
&= \text{R16 280} (1,06) - 5 + 0,6 (\text{R31 202}) + 0,4 (\text{R19 644}) \\
&= \text{R38 746/km}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1\text{B2/1: } &\text{I/C R (10 yrs)} + 0,3 (\text{R41 100}) (20 \text{ yrs}) + 0,7 \\
&(\text{R31 500})(20 \text{ yrs}) \\
&= \text{R63 000} (1,06) - 10 + \text{R3 844} + \text{R6 876} \\
&= \text{R45 898/km}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 1B2/2: & \text{ I/C (10 yrs) + 1,0 (R63 000) (20 yrs)} \\
 & = (R16\ 280) (1,06) - 10 + 1,0 (R63\ 000)(1,06) - 20 \\
 & = R28\ 734/\text{km}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 1B2: & \text{ I/C (5 yrs) + 0,8 (R45 898) + 0,2 (R28 734)} \\
 & = (R9\ 600)(1,06) - 5 + 0,8 (R45\ 898) + 0,2 (R28\ 734) \\
 & = R7\ 174 + R36\ 718 + R5\ 746 \\
 & = R49\ 638/\text{km}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 1B3:/1: & \text{ I/C (10 yrs) + 0,3 (R41 100) (20 yrs) + 0,7 (R31 500)} \\
 & \text{ (20 yrs)} \\
 & = (R63\ 000) (1,06) - 10 + 0,3 (R41\ 100) (1,06) - 20 + 0,7 \\
 & \text{ (R31\ 500) (1,06) - 20} \\
 & = R35\ 178 + R3\ 844 + R6\ 876 \\
 & = R45\ 898/\text{km}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the present worth of cost (PWOC) for Option 1 (i.e. do nothing)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = \text{Initial Costs} + (\Sigma \text{ probability of occurrence} \times \text{PWOC of most economical remedial measure}) \\
 & = \text{nil} + 0,6 (R64\ 730) + 0,4 (R38\ 746) \\
 & = R38\ 838 + R15\ 498 \\
 & = R54\ 336/\text{km}
 \end{aligned}$$

Option 2: Conventional 9,5 mm Single Seal

A conventional single seal on a cemented base under E3 traffic is expected to have a life of 4 to 7 years (from Table 7 and from past experience). Since the cracking in question is not severe (sections requiring structural rehabilitation and more severely cracked sections having already been separated) the life of such a seal on these pavement sections is estimated at 7 years.

When these cracks have developed to a more severe stage, past experience indicates that a conventional reseal will retain the cracks for not more than 3 years. Bitumen rubber trials indicate that this product performs somewhat better under these circumstances.

The consequences of this option are shown in the decision tree in Figure 44. From the decision tree the PWOC for Option 2 is calculated as follows:

$$2A: \text{ I/C R (7 yrs) + 0,5 (R50 550)(20yrs) + 0,5 (R40 950)(20yrs) } \\ = \text{ R56 162/km}$$

$$2B1/1: \text{ I/C R(17yrs) + 1,0 (R9 450)(20yrs) } \\ = \text{ R26 342/km}$$

$$2B1/2: \text{ I/C nil (17yrs) + 1,0 (R63 000) (20 yrs) } \\ = \text{ R19 644/km}$$

$$2B1: \text{ I/C B/R (7 yrs) + 0,5 (R26 342) + 0,5 (R19 644) } \\ = \text{ R33 822/km}$$

$$2B2/1: \text{ I/C R (12 yrs) + 0,2 (R34 800) (20 yrs) + 0,8 (R25 200) (20 yrs) } \\ = \text{ R27 734/km}$$

$$2B2: \text{ I/C C/S (7yrs) + 0,4 (R39 766) + 0,6 (R27 734) } \\ = \text{ R38 930/km}$$

$$2B: = 2B1 = \text{ R33 822/km}$$

$$2C1: \text{ I/C R (10 yrs) + 0,4 (R41 100) (20 yrs) } \\ = \text{ R46 198/km}$$

$$2C2/1: \text{ I/C B/R (10yrs) + 1,0 (R63 000) (20 yrs) } \\ = \text{ R23 650km}$$

$$2C2/2/1: \text{ I/C R (15 yrs) + 1,0 (R15 750) (20 yrs) } \\ = \text{ R31 198/km}$$

$$2C2/2/2: \text{ I/C C/S (15 yrs) + 1,0 (R63 000) (20 yrs) } \\ = \text{ R31 276}$$

$$2C2/2: \text{ I/C C/S (10 yrs) + 0,3 (R31 198) + 0,7 (R23 650) } \\ = \text{ R31 276/km}$$

$$2C: \text{ I/C nil + 0,1 (R46 198) + 0,9 (R29 274) } \\ = \text{ R30 966/km}$$




FIGURE 44

Hence PWOC for Option 2 (i.e. conventional seal)

= Initial Cost + (E probability of occurrence X PWOC of most economical remedial action)

= R9 600 + 0,1 (R56 162) + 0,7 (R33 822) + 0,2 (R30 966)
= R9 600 + R5 616 + R23 676 + R6 194
= R45 086/km

Option 3: Bitumen Rubber Seal

The pavement sections under consideration are structurally sound and the cracking is not severe. Hence, the bitumen rubber, if used on these sections, will not be required to provide a structural contribution.

Following the decision tree in Figure 45 for the option, the PWOC is calculated according to the procedure used for Options 1 and 2 above.

Option 3(a) assumes that the bitumen rubber could have an extra life of 50 per cent over that of the conventional seal (i.e. 10 years as compared with 7 years) on this section with no severe cracking

3A: I/C R (10 yrs) + 0,4 (R41 100)(20 yrs) + 0,6 (R31 500)(20 yrs)
= R46 198/km

3B1: I/C R (10 yrs) + 1,0 (R63 000)(20 yrs)
= R28 734/km

3B/2/1: I/C R (15 yrs) + 1,0 (R15 750)(20 yrs)
= R31 198/km

3B2/2: I/C C/R (15 yrs) + 1,0 (R63 000)(20 yrs)
= R23 650/km

3B2: I/C C/S (10 yrs) + 0,4 (R31 198) + 0,6 (R23 650)
= R31 998/km

3B: 3B1 = R28 734/km




FIGURE 45
DECISION TREE FOR OPTION 3(a) (BITUMEN RUBBER)

$$3C1/1: I/C R (15 \text{ yrs}) + 1,0 (R15\ 750)(20 \text{ yrs}) \\ = R31\ 198/\text{km}$$

$$3C1/2: I/C C/S (15 \text{ yrs}) + 1,0 (R63\ 000)(20 \text{ yrs}) \\ = R23\ 648/\text{km}$$

$$3C1: I/C \text{ nil } (10 \text{ yrs}) + 0,4 (31\ 198) + 0,6 (R23\ 650) \\ = R26\ 670/\text{km}$$

Hence PWOC for Option 3(a) i.e. sealing with bitumen rubber

$$= \text{Initial Costs} + (\text{E probability of occurrence} \times \text{PWOC of most economical remedial measure}) \\ = I/C B/R + 0,1 (R46\ 198) + 0,7 (R28\ 734) + 0,2 (R26\ 670) \\ = R16\ 280 + R4\ 620 + R20\ 114 + R5\ 334 \\ = R46\ 348/\text{km}$$

(assuming that the bitumen rubber could have an extra life of 50 per cent over the conventional seal over not too severe cracks)

Option 3(b) assumes that, while the cracks are only of a lesser severity and no structural value is required from the bitumen rubber, the weathering characteristics of the bitumen rubber are the critical criterion. The assumption is that the bitumen rubber will oxidise within the same period as the conventional seal (since there is no proof to the contrary at present). When the cracking has become more severe it is suggested that the bitumen rubber will perform better than a conventional seal.

Hence, using the decision tree used for Option 2, only the initial cost changes. It follows that:

$$\text{PWOC for option 3(b)} = R51\ 766/\text{km}.$$

Discussion of options for pavement sections exhibiting less severe cracking

Option 1 - "do nothing now" : R54 336/km

Option 2 - conventional seal : R45 086/km

Option 3(a) bitumen rubber (assuming a 50 per cent longer life on not severe cracks) : R46 348/km

Option 3(b) bitumen rubber (assuming no longer life on not severe cracks): R51 766/km

From the above results of the economic analysis of the various options it is clear that the "do nothing" option is not to be recommended.

The most economical option was found to be a conventional seal.

The use of bitumen rubber only becomes economically equivalent to a conventional seal if it can be assured that at least a 50 per cent increase in life will be achieved on the pavement sections exhibiting less severe cracking.